Appendix A. Tables

- **Table 1. Existing Infrastructure Summary Table**
- **Table 2. Summary of Proposed or Ongoing Flood Mitigation Projects**
- **Table 3. Existing Condition Flood Risk Summary Table**
- Table 5. Future Condition Flood Risk Summary Table, By County
- **Table 6. Existing Floodplain Management Practices**
- Table 11. Regional Flood Plan Flood Mitigation and Floodplain Management Goals
- Table 12. Potential Flood Management Evaluations Identified by RFPG
- Table 13. Potentially Feasible Flood Mitigation Projects Identified by RFPG
- Table 14. Potentially Feasible Flood Management Strategies Identified by RFPG
- Table 15. Flood Management Evaluations Recommended by RFPG
- Table 16. Potentially Feasible Flood Mitigation Projects Recommended by RFPG
- Table 17. Potentially Feasible Flood Management Strategies Recommended by RFPG
- Table 19. FMS, FMP, FME Funding Survey

This page is intentionally left blank.

TABLE 1. Existing Infrastructure PLEASE SEE DIGITAL SUBMITTAL FOR COMPLETE LIST

			or Ongoing Flood Mitigation P	rojects										
Existing Project ID	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	Project Name	Description	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Project Status	Project Cost	Source of Funding	Funding for	Expected Year of Completi	Anticipated Benefit
12000001	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	TXDOT ID: 155201011	Karnes	12100303	121003030404	12000023	Ongoing	932474	TXDOT	Υ	2022	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
12000002	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	TXDOT ID: 142201009	Karnes	12100303	121003030304	12000041		1326780	TXDOT	Υ	2021	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
12000002	12	Jan Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - BRIDGE	1XD01_ID: 142201009	Railles	12100303	121003030304	12000041	Ongoing	1320/80	IADOI		2021	BRIDGE REPORCEMENT
12000003	12	San Antonio	REPLACEMENT	TXDOT_ID: 099102013	Karnes	12100303	121003030205	12000034	Proposed	402500	TXDOT	Υ		BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
12000004	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	TXDOT_ID: 008802062	Goliad	12100303	121003030604	12000049	Proposed	17550000	TXDOT	Υ		BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
12000005	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - CONVERT NON-FREEWAY	TXDOT ID: 025304138	Bexar	12100301,12100304	121003010103,121003040104	12000005,12000064	Ongoing	187918000	TXDOT	v	2022	CONVERT NON-FREEWAY
11000003		Sui ruitoillo	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS -	1,001_10.023304130	DCAU	11100301,11100304	121003020504,121003020502,121003020503,1210030	11000003,11000004	Ongoing	10/310000	INDOI		LULL	CONTENT NON TREE WAT
12000006	12	San Antonio	CONVERT NON-FREEWAY	TXDOT_ID: 002407059	Bexar	12100302	20505	12000106,12000107,12000108,12000109	Proposed	110000000	TXDOT	Υ		CONVERT NON-FREEWAY
			TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS -											
12000007	12	San Antonio	CONSTRUCT NEW ROAD	TXDOT_ID: 025304146	Bexar	12100301	121003010103	12000005	Ongoing	179542000	TXDOT	Y	2021	CONSTRUCT NEW ROAD
12000008	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - CONVERT NON-FREEWAY	TXDOT ID: 245203111	Bexar	12100304	121003040205,121003040206	12000071,12000072	Proposed	300000000	TXDOT	Υ		CONVERT NON-FREEWAY
			TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS -	_										
12000009	12	San Antonio	CONSTRUCT FRONTAGE ROADS	TXDOT_ID: 051602030	Goliad	12100303	121003030507,121003030604	12000046,12000049	Ongoing	11249500	TXDOT	Υ	2021	CONSTRUCT FRONTAGE ROADS
12000010	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - CONVERT NON-FREEWAY	TXDOT_ID: 245203112	Bexar	12100304	121003040202,121003040205	12000069,12000071	Proposed	45888900	TXDOT	Y		CONVERT NON-FREEWAY
12000011	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - CONSTRUCT FRONTAGE ROADS	TXDOT ID: 189001046	Bexar	12100301,12100304	121003010106,121003040205	12000007,12000071	Ongoing	14631400	TXDOT	Y	2021	CONSTRUCT FRONTAGE ROADS
11000011		Juli Pultollio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - BRIDGE	1,001_10.103001040	DCAU	11100301,11100304	121003010100,121003040203	11000007,11000071	Ongoing	14031400	INDOI		2027	CONSTRUCT RONTAGE ROADS
12000012	12	San Antonio	REPLACEMENT	TXDOT_ID: 001608039	Bexar	12100301	121003010105	12000002	Proposed	6694600	TXDOT	Υ		BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
12000013	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	TXDOT ID: 112101022	Karnes	12100303	121003030402	12000021	Proposed	1490600	TXDOT	Y		BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
			TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - BRIDGE											
12000014	12	San Antonio	REPLACEMENT	TXDOT_ID: 100902018	Wilson	12100304	121003040402	12000065	Proposed	2029110	TXDOT	Y	l	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
12000015	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	TXDOT_ID: 094302012	De Witt	12100303	121003030601	12000047	Proposed	600000	TXDOT	Υ		BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
			TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - BRIDGE											
12000016	12	San Antonio	REPLACEMENT City Wide - Drainage	TXDOT_ID: 014304072	Wilson	12100304	121003040401	12000060	Proposed	1776500	TXDOT	Y		BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
12000017	12	San Antonio	Improvements Project	City of Seadrift: Drainage Improvement Project	Calhoun	12100403	121004030200	12000074	Proposed	4850939	TX GLO	Υ		INCREASE CITY'S RESILIENCE
12000018	12	San Antonio	Drainage System Improvements Project	Calhoun County: Heron Slough Drainage System Improvements Project	Calhoun	12100403	121004030200	12000074	Proposed	11305233	TX GLO	Y		INCREASE DRAINAGE RESILIENCE
11000010		Surrencomo	City Wide - Drainage and	City of Marion: Citywide Water and Wastewater										
12000019	12	San Antonio	Stormwater Management Plan	Improvements	Guadalupe	12100304	121003040203	12000067	Proposed	9946170	TX GLO	Υ		IMPROVE WATER AND WASTEWATER
1		1	City Wide - Wastewater	City of Seadrift: Facilitate proper functioning of					1	1	1			FACILITATES FUNCTIONING OF CRITICAL STORMWATER
12000020		San Antonio	Improvements	critical wastewater-system components	Calhoun	12100403	121004030200	12000074	Proposed	1536580	TX GLO			SYSTEMS
12000021		San Antonio	Seeling Channel Phase 3	COSA_SAPNo_ID: 23-01635	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Ongoing	19968900	COSA	Y	2022	IMPROVES DRAINAGE
12000022		San Antonio	Barbara Drive Drainage Phase 2	COSA_SAPNo_ID: 23-01623	Bexar	12100301	121003010201	12000008	Ongoing	9665700	COSA	Y	2023	IMPROVES DRAINAGE
12000023	12	San Antonio	San Pedro Creek TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS -	COSA_SAPNo_ID: 23-01634	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Ongoing	14600000	COSA	Y	2021	IMPROVES DRAINAGE
12000024	12	San Antonio	CONSTRUCT NEW ROAD	TXDOT_ID: 354404002	Bexar	12100302	121003020503	12000108	Proposed	12572400	TXDOT	Υ		CONSTRUCT NEW ROAD
12000025	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - CONSTRUCT NEW ROAD	TXDOT ID: 354403002	Medina,Bexar	12100302	121003020307	12000075	Proposed	4009000	TXDOT	Y		CONSTRUCT NEW ROAD

		RFPG Name	or Ongoing Flood Mitigation I Project Name	Description	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Project Status	Project Cost	Source	Dedicated	Expected	Anticipated Benefit
Project ID	No.		,,,,,								of	Funding for	Year of	
			TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - BRIDGE								Funding	Construction	Completi	
12000026	12	San Antonio	REPLACEMENT	TXDOT_ID: 015503037	Goliad	12100303	121003030603	12000050	Ongoing	3587100	TXDOT	Υ	2021	BRIDGE REPLACEMENT
12000027	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - CONVERT NON-FREEWAY	TXDOT_ID: 002408138	Bexar	12100302	121003020405,121003020504	12000104,12000106	Proposed	10000000	TXDOT	Y		CONVERT NON-FREEWAY
12000028	12	San Antonio	TXDOT ROAD PROJECTS - BRIDGE MAINTENANCE	TXDOT_ID: 010005001	Karnes	12100303	121002020204 121002020202	12000027,12000030	0	394860	TXDOT	v		BRIDGE MAINTENANCE
12000028	12	San Antonio	MAINTENANCE	TXDO1_ID: 010005001	Karnes	12100303	121003030204,121003030202	12000027,12000030	Proposed	394860	IXDUI	Y		BRIDGE MAINTENANCE
			County Wide - Flood		Atascosa,De	12100204,12100303,12100304,12100202,12100406,121		12000014,12000016,12000019,12000020,1200 0021,12000022,12000023,12000024,12000025, 12000026,12000027,12000030,12000034,1200 0037,12000040,12000041,12000042,12000043,			TWDB			
12000029	12	San Antonio	Planning/Prevention Study	Karnes County Wide Flood Planning/Prevention Study	Witt, Wilson, Goliad, Karnes	10110,12110111	121003030607,121003030606,121003030608,1210040	12000045,12000052,12000057,12000070	Ongoing	618750	FIF	Y	2020	FLOOD PLANNING / PREVENTION
12000030	12	San Antonio	County Wide - Hazard Mitigation Improvements Project	Refugio County Hazard Mitigation Improvements Project	Aransas,Refugio,Calhoun, Goliad,Victoria	12100303,12100404,12100405,12100405	1210340533000,121004050300,1210030006,121004050301,1 40000,121004050305,121004050304,121004050301,1 21004050303,121004050302,121004050101,12100405 0102		Proposed	6910130	TX GLO	Y		HAZARD MITIGATION IMPROVEMENT
12000031	12	San Antonio	City Wide - Water and Wastewater Improvements	City of Goliad: Wastewater Treatment System Improvements Project	Goliad	12100303	121003030604,121003030603	12000049,12000050	Proposed	93535536	TX GLO	v		IMPROVE WASTEWATER TREATMENT
12000031	12	San Antonio	County Wide - Street	improvements Project	Gollad	12100303	121004040000,121004030200,121004050400,1210040 50304,121004050307,121004050303,121004050302,1		Proposed	93333330	1X GLO			IMPROVE WASTEWATER TREATMENT
12000034	12	San Antonio	Improvements	Aransas County: Improvement to Streets	Aransas,Refugio,Calhoun	12100404,12100403,12100405	21004050102	12000073,12000074	Proposed	53860300	TX GLO	Y		IMPROVEMENT TO STREETS DAMAGED BY FLOODING
12000035	12	San Antonio	County Wide - Storm water conveyances and reducing the impact of future flooding,	Calhoun County: Facilitating proper storm water conveyances and reducing the impact of future flooding, and ensuring emergecy response systems are fully operational during emergency siutations	Aransas,Refugio,Calhoun,V	12100204,12100303,12100402,12100404,12100403,121 00405	121002040404,121003030608,121004020500,1210040 40000,121004030200,121004030100,121004030300,1 21004050400	12000051,12000073,12000074	Proposed	5936550	TX GLO	Y		FACILITATES STORMWATER CONVEYANCE
				and a second sec		*****								
12000036	12	San Antonio	County Wide - Buyouts of storm- affected properties City Wide - Drainage	Goliad County: Buyouts of storm-affected properties - approximately 6 homes City of Goliad: Improve drainage and stormwater	De Witt,Refugio,Goliad,Victori a,Karnes	12100204,12100303,12100406,12100405		12000017,12000018,12000025,12000026,1200 0042,12000043,12000044,12000045,12000046, 12000047,12000048,12000049,12000050	Proposed	1583330	TX GLO	Y		BUYOUT OF STORM-AFFECTED PROPERTIES
12000037	12	San Antonio	Improvements Project	infrastructure	Goliad	12100303	121003030604,121003030603	12000049,12000050	Proposed	477108	TX GLO	Y		IMPROVES DRAINAGE
12000038	12	San Antonio	County Wide - Drainage Improvements	Karnes County Improve drainage and stormwater infrastructure Karnes County Buyouts of storm-affected properties	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,Goliad,Karnes Atascosa,De	12100204,12100303,12100304,12100202,12100406,121 10110,12110111 12100204,12100303,12100304,12100202,12100406,121		12000014,12000016,12000019,12000020,1200 0021,12000022,12000023,120000031,12000015,12000031,1200 120000026,12000027,12000031,12000031,12000 12000014,12000016,12000057,120000070 12000014,12000016,12000057,120000070 12000014,12000016,12000031,120000031,120000031,1200 12000016,12000027,12000031,1200031,120031,1200	Proposed	74177	TX GLO	Y		IMPROVES DRAINAGE
12000039	12	San Antonio	affected properties	approximately 12 homes	Witt, Wilson, Goliad, Karnes	10110,12110111		12000045,12000052,12000057,12000070	Proposed	1725610	TX GLO	Y		BUYOUT OF STORM-AFFECTED PROPERTIES
12000040	12	San Antonio	County Wide - Drainage Improvements Project	Facilitating proper storm water conveyance and reducing the impact of future flooding	De Witt,Refugio,Calhoun,Goli ad,Victoria	12100204,12100303,12100402,12100403	121002040205,121002040305,121002040403,1210020 40304,121002040404,121003030607,121003030605,1 21003030606,121003030608,121004030100	12000015,12000017,12000018,12000051	Proposed	3515650	TX GLO	Y		FACILITATES STORMWATER CONVEYANCE
12000041	12	San Antonio	Eisenhauer/Northwood- Devonshire Area Ph1	COSA_SAPNo_ID: 23-01628	Bexar	12100301	121003010105	12000002	Ongoing	9462630	COSA	Y	2022	IMPROVES DRAINAGE
			Auldine Dr & Burr Oak Dr(Alley -						00			<u> </u>		
12000042 12000043		San Antonio San Antonio	Outfall) Port San Antonio	COSA_SAPNo_ID: 23-01622 COSA_SAPNo_ID: 23-01633	Bexar Bexar	12100301 12100302	121003010201 121003020406	12000008 12000105	Ongoing Ongoing	4355740 28700300	COSA	Y	2021 2022	IMPROVES DRAINAGE IMPROVES DRAINAGE
12000043		San Antonio	Cedarhurst Dr Area(Dumont to Eaglerock)	COSA_SAPNO_ID: 23-01627	Bexar	12100302	121003020406	12000105	Ongoing	10133600	COSA	Y	2022	STORM DRAINAGE CONSTRUCTION
12000045		San Antonio	West Military Drive & Westmar Drive Area	COSA_SAPNo_ID: 23-01639	Bexar	12100302	121003020405	12000104	Ongoing	13637600	COSA	Υ	2022	IMPROVES DRAINAGE
12000046	12	San Antonio	Vance Jackson Road Low-Water Crossings	COSA_SAPNo_ID: 23-01638	Bexar	12100301	121003010201	12000008	Ongoing	8103650	COSA	v	2022	IMPROVE LOW WATER CROSSING
12000040	12	Juli Antolilo	Lake Medina Dam Modifications	Modify the Lake Medina Dam to address safety issues. Install and test post-tension anchors in the abutment		12100301	121003010201	1200000	Origonig	9103030	TWDB		2022	AFROYE LOW WATER CROSSING
12000047	12	San Antonio	Edic Mcdilid Dalif Modifications	sections of the dam.	Medina,Bandera	12100302	121003020303,121003020304,121003020305	12000098,12000099,12000100	Ongoing	4000000	DFUND	Y		IMPROVES STABILITY OF DAM
12000040	13	C A-+- :	City Wide - Drainage	Bandera City. City-side drainage improvements.	Dandan	12100202	121002020202 12100202020	12000000 12000000	D'	2420000	TWDB	V		MITIGATE DAMAGES AND CITY MAINTENANCE
12000048	12	San Antonio	Improvements	Riparian improvements on the Medina River.	Bandera	12100302	121003020203,121003020204	12000088,12000089 12000014,12000016,12000019,12000020,1200 0022,12000027,12000028,12000030,12000031, 12000033,12000034,12000035,12000036,1200 0037,12000041,12000052,12000053,12000057	Proposed	2430000	FIF	Y		ACTIVITIES CAUSED BY FLOOD EVENTS
12000049	12	San Antonio	Marcelinas Study	Marcelinas Study	Wilson,Karnes	12100303,12100304,12110110		12000060,12000065	Proposed		TX GLO	Y		Unknown
12000050	12	San Antonio	San Antonio Bay	San Antonio Bay	Aransas,Calhoun	12100402,12100404,12100403,12100405	121004020500,121004040000,121004030200,1210040 30100,121004030300,121004050400	12000073,12000074	Proposed		TX GLO	Y		Unknown

Table 3. Existing Condition Flood Risk Summary Table

									1% Annual Cha	nce Flood Risk				
	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	County	Area in Flood Planning Region (sqmi)	Area in Floodplain (sqmi)	Number of Structures in Floodplain	Residential Structures in Floodplain	Population (daytime)	Population (nightime)	Population	Roadway Crossings (#)	Roadways Segments (miles)	Agricultural Areas (sqmi)	Critical Facilities (#)
1	12	San Antonio	Aransas	36.932	12.217	0	0	0	0	0	0	7.477	0.016	0
2	12	San Antonio	Atascosa	15.844	0.962	57	51	32	95	95	17	2.205	0.045	0
3	12	San Antonio	Bandera	526.418	47.944	938	567	788	1027	1027	246	61.398	1.105	1
4	12	San Antonio	Bexar	1220.295	148.206	11261	8309	52003	31084	52003	1277	353.048	10.087	95
5	12	San Antonio	Calhoun	146.459	99.621	949	699	332	647	647	11	14.475	1.002	2
6	12	San Antonio	Comal	97.295	10.877	363	269	817	426	817	64	15.022	0.503	34
7	12	San Antonio	De Witt	77.455	10.927	22	6	3	8	8	58	6.976	0.483	0
8	12	San Antonio	Goliad	337.047	91.113	177	62	102	204	204	119	30.113	12.497	0
9	12	San Antonio	Guadalupe	172.968	33.497	2239	1768	8128	5336	8128	157	65.287	4.876	42
10	12	San Antonio	Karnes	596.240	120.558	336	161	195	422	422	286	58.800	22.649	0
11	12	San Antonio	Kendall	127.762	6.970	628	398	1812	1650	1812	58	12.465	0.067	5
12	12	San Antonio	Kerr	59.833	1.267	20	8	6	17	17	7	1.053	0.034	0
13	12	San Antonio	Medina	195.694	23.166	478	299	401	550	550	81	20.457	5.024	1
14	12	San Antonio	Refugio	98.006	37.193	163	67	101	166	166	10	10.128	2.712	1
15	12	San Antonio	Victoria	43.156	26.582	30	11	9	19	19	9	5.101	1.858	1
16	12	San Antonio	Wilson	658.237	129.100	1459	1020	1449	1823	1823	367	89.064	16.790	9
	Total			4409.64	800.20	19120	13695	66178	43474	67738	2767	753.07	79.75	191

Table 3. Existing Condition Flood Risk Summary Table

									0.2% Annual Ch	ance Flood Risk				
	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	County	Area in Flood Planning Region (sqmi)	Area in Floodplain (sqmi)	Number of Structures in Floodplain	Residential Structures in Floodplain	Population (daytime)	Population (nightime)	Population	Roadway Crossings (#)	Roadways Segments (miles)	Agricultural Areas (sqmi)	Critical Facilities (#)
1	12	San Antonio	Aransas	36.932	5.574	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.592	0.017	0
2	12	San Antonio	Atascosa	15.844	0.000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0
3	12	San Antonio	Bandera	526.418	10.705	663	290	551	637	637	20	20.348	0.179	4
4	12	San Antonio	Bexar	1220.295	9.328	2347	1895	7839	5583	7839	26	44.710	1.762	8
5	12	San Antonio	Calhoun	146.459	25.328	604	457	338	316	338	13	18.604	0.785	2
6	12	San Antonio	Comal	97.295	2.121	286	238	665	323	665	6	4.639	0.097	0
7	12	San Antonio	De Witt	77.455	1.556	25	8	3	9	9	5	1.412	0.077	0
8	12	San Antonio	Goliad	337.047	11.125	110	33	56	130	130	5	8.297	1.297	0
9	12	San Antonio	Guadalupe	172.968	4.080	1570	1355	8080	5882	8080	9	20.323	0.765	3
10	12	San Antonio	Karnes	596.240	17.822	227	94	123	172	172	50	27.294	3.222	0
11	12	San Antonio	Kendall	127.762	0.826	333	208	2510	707	2510	0	4.626	0.027	5
12	12	San Antonio	Kerr	59.833	0.348	14	2	0	6	6	0	0.239	0.006	0
13	12	San Antonio	Medina	195.694	8.525	751	553	1603	1104	1603	3	20.828	4.217	5
14	12	San Antonio	Refugio	98.006	1.894	16	2	8	22	22	1	2.096	0.444	0
15	12	San Antonio	Victoria	43.156	0.998	7	3	1	2	2	0	0.557	0.048	0
16	12	San Antonio	Wilson	658.237	24.111	580	381	370	799	799	34	34.763	5.197	2
	Total			4409.64	124.34	7533	5519	22147	15692	22812	172	214.33	18.14	29

Table 3. Existing Condition Flood Risk Summary Table

								Possible Floor	d Prone Areas				Average SVI of
	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	County	Area in Flood Planning Region (sqmi)	Area (sqmi)	Number of Structures in Flood Prone Area	Residential Structures in in Flood Prone Area	Population	Roadway Crossings (#)	Roadways Segments (miles)	Agricultural Areas (sqmi)	Critical Facilities (#)	features in floodplain or flood prone areas
1	12	San Antonio	Aransas	36.932	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.474
2	12	San Antonio	Atascosa	15.844	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.750
3	12	San Antonio	Bandera	526.418	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.017	0.000	0	0.417
4	12	San Antonio	Bexar	1220.295	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.534
5	12	San Antonio	Calhoun	146.459	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.788
6	12	San Antonio	Comal	97.295	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.159
7	12	San Antonio	De Witt	77.455	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.412
8	12	San Antonio	Goliad	337.047	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.595
9	12	San Antonio	Guadalupe	172.968	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.309
10	12	San Antonio	Karnes	596.240	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.464
11	12	San Antonio	Kendall	127.762	0.054	10	8	26	0	1.159	0.000	0	0.327
12	12	San Antonio	Kerr	59.833	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.550
13	12	San Antonio	Medina	195.694	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.391
14	12	San Antonio	Refugio	98.006	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.628
15	12	San Antonio	Victoria	43.156	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.439
16	12	San Antonio	Wilson	658.237	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.480
	Total			4409.64	0.05	10	8	26	0	1.18	0.00	0	

Table 5: Future Condition Flood Risk Summary Table

				Area in					1% Annual Cha	nce Flood Risk				
	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	County	Flood Planning Region (sqmi)	Area in Floodplain (sqmi)	Number of Structures in Floodplain	Residential Structures in Floodplain	Population (daytime)	Population (nightime)	Population	Roadway Crossings (#)	Roadways Segments (miles)	Agricultural Areas (sqmi)	Critical Facilities (#)
1	12	San Antonio	Aransas	36.932	17.791	0	0	0	0	0	0	13.069	0.033	0
2	12	San Antonio	Atascosa	15.844	0.962	57	51	32	95	95	17	2.205	0.045	0
3	12	San Antonio	Bandera	526.418	58.648	1601	857	1339	1664	1664	266	81.746	1.284	5
4	12	San Antonio	Bexar	1220.295	157.539	13608	10204	59842	36667	59842	1303	397.758	11.849	103
5	12	San Antonio	Calhoun	146.459	124.950	1553	1156	670	963	963	24	33.078	1.787	4
6	12	San Antonio	Comal	97.295	13.000	649	507	1482	749	1482	70	19.661	0.600	34
7	12	San Antonio	De Witt	77.455	12.484	47	14	6	17	17	63	8.388	0.560	0
8	12	San Antonio	Goliad	337.047	102.239	287	95	158	334	334	124	38.410	13.794	0
9	12	San Antonio	Guadalupe	172.968	37.577	3809	3123	16208	11218	16208	166	85.629	5.640	45
10	12	San Antonio	Karnes	596.240	138.381	563	255	318	594	594	336	86.113	25.871	0
11	12	San Antonio	Kendall	127.762	7.798	961	606	4322	2357	4322	58	17.109	0.093	10
12	12	San Antonio	Kerr	59.833	1.615	34	10	6	23	23	7	1.292	0.039	0
13	12	San Antonio	Medina	195.694	31.692	1229	852	2004	1654	2004	84	41.284	9.241	6
14	12	San Antonio	Refugio	98.006	39.090	179	69	109	188	188	11	12.255	3.156	1
15	12	San Antonio	Victoria	43.156	27.580	37	14	10	21	21	9	5.658	1.906	1
16	12	San Antonio	Wilson	658.237	153.218	2039	1401	1819	2622	2622	433	123.846	21.987	11
	Total			4409.64	924.57	26653	19214	88325	59166	90379	2971	967.50	97.89	220

Table 5: Future Condition Flood Risk Summary Table

				Area in					0.2% Annual Ch	ance Flood Risk				
	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	County	Flood Planning Region (sqmi)	Area in Floodplain (sqmi)	Number of Structures in Floodplain	Residential Structures in Floodplain	Population (daytime)	Population (nightime)	Population	Roadway Crossings (#)	Roadways Segments (miles)	Agricultural Areas (sqmi)	Critical Facilities (#)
1	12	San Antonio	Aransas	36.932	1.059	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.897	0.003	(
2	12	San Antonio	Atascosa	15.844	0.232	22	19	9	30	30	2	0.472	0.012	(
3	12	San Antonio	Bandera	526.418	15.181	1095	631	938	1363	1363	58	22.146	0.098	ī,
4	12	San Antonio	Bexar	1220.295	43.917	22277	19061	94501	74892	94501	358	237.517	2.056	149
5	12	San Antonio	Calhoun	146.459	2.335	121	104	11	49	49	8	8.941	0.111	(
6	12	San Antonio	Comal	97.295	2.660	441	382	980	797	980	22	9.525	0.055	1
7	12	San Antonio	De Witt	77.455	4.341	44	12	5	18	18	25	9.799	0.242	(
8	12	San Antonio	Goliad	337.047	25.613	263	114	434	400	434	89	40.699	1.106	3
9	12	San Antonio	Guadalupe	172.968	10.807	1483	1251	4468	4033	4468	61	37.138	1.644	10
10	12	San Antonio	Karnes	596.240	34.492	471	204	408	416	416	267	80.011	3.441	(
11	12	San Antonio	Kendall	127.762	3.025	536	391	1612	1868	1868	17	6.922	0.016	3
12	12	San Antonio	Kerr	59.833	0.899	47	19	5	19	19	1	0.832	0.008	(
13	12	San Antonio	Medina	195.694	3.988	285	171	288	413	413	7	7.419	0.522	1
14	12	San Antonio	Refugio	98.006	4.722	78	27	234	130	234	13	20.397	0.722	3
15	12	San Antonio	Victoria	43.156	1.968	22	12	6	25	25	4	4.586	0.119	(
16	12	San Antonio	Wilson	658.237	44.082	1666	1229	1941	2478	2478	205	115.094	2.928	-
	Total			4409.64	199.32	28851	23627	105840	86931	107296	1137	604.40	13.08	182

Table 5: Future Condition Flood Risk Summary Table

				Area in				Possible Floor	d Prone Areas				Average SVI of
	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	County	Flood Planning Region (sqmi)	Area (sqmi)	Number of Structures in Flood Prone Area	Residential Structures in in Flood Prone Area	Population	Roadway Crossings (#)	Roadways Segments (miles)	Agricultural Areas (sqmi)	Critical Facilities (#)	features in floodplain or flood prone areas
1	12	San Antonio	Aransas	36.932	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.47
2	12	San Antonio	Atascosa	15.844	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.74
3	12	San Antonio	Bandera	526.418	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.017	0.000	0	0.40
4	12	San Antonio	Bexar	1220.295	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.52
5	12	San Antonio	Calhoun	146.459	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.78
6	12	San Antonio	Comal	97.295	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.15
7	12	San Antonio	De Witt	77.455	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.41
8	12	San Antonio	Goliad	337.047	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.59
9	12	San Antonio	Guadalupe	172.968	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.29
10	12	San Antonio	Karnes	596.240	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.46
11	12	San Antonio	Kendall	127.762	0.054	10	8	26	3	1.159	0.000	0	0.31
12	12	San Antonio	Kerr	59.833	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.55
13	12	San Antonio	Medina	195.694	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.39
14	12	San Antonio	Refugio	98.006	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.62
15	12	San Antonio	Victoria	43.156	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.439
16	12	San Antonio	Wilson	658.237	0.000	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0	0.47
	Total			4409.64	0.05	10	8	26	3	1.18	0.00	0	

Table 6. Existing Floodplain Management Practices

Table 6. Existing Floodplain Management Practice	S									
Entity	Туре	Entity ID	Floodplain Management Regulations (Yes/ No/ Unknown)A	Adopted minimum regulations pursuant to Texas Water Code Section 16.3145? (Yes/ No)A	NFIP Participant (Yes/ No)A,D	Higher Standards Adopted (Yes/ No)B	Floodplain Management Practices (Strong/Moder ate/ Low/None)B	Level of Enforcement of Practices (High/ Moderate/ Low/ None)B,C	Existing Stormwater or Drainage Fee (Yes/ No)B	Web Link to Entity Regulations
24 1		2222222	.,		.,	.,	61			and the second second
Medina Bexar	County County	00000005 00000007	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Strong Strong	High Moderate		medinacountytexas.org
Guadalupe	County	0000007	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Strong	Moderate		Not Available online
Bandera	County	00000011	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	Moderate		www.banderacounty.org
Comal	County	00000011	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	High	No	https://cceo.org/flood/documents/Flood_Damage_Prevention_Order.pdf
Kendall	County	00000017	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	High	110	
Kerr	County	00000022	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	Moderate	No	https://www.co.kerr.tx.us/engineer/Flood_Damage_Prevention_Order_37967_02. 24.2020.pdf https://www.aransascountytx.gov/main/docs/ordinances/OAmended%20Aransas
										%20County%20Floodplain%20Management%20Watershed%20Protection%20Orde
Aransas	County	00000083	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	Moderate		r%200-23-2019.pdf
Refugio	County	00000084	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	Low		
Calhoun	County	00000088	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
Goliad	County	00000090	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Victoria	County	00000094	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Karnes	County	00000095	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Moderate	Moderate		None
Atascosa	County	00000096	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
De Witt	County	00000099	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Wilson	County	00000100	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	Moderate	No	Flood_Order_Final_10272010.pdf
Nordheim	Municipality	00002402	No	No	No	No	None	None		
Fair Oaks Ranch	Municipality	12002436 12002437	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate Moderate	None		
Alamo Heights Balcones Heights	Municipality Municipality	12002437	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes No	Low	None None		
Castle Hills	Municipality	12002439	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
China Grove	Municipality	12002440	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
Converse	Municipality	12002441	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Elmendorf	Municipality	12002442	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	High	No	https://library.municode.com/tx/elmendorf/codes/code of ordinances
Terrell Hills	Municipality	12002475	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None	-	
Windcrest	Municipality	12002476	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
Grey Forest	Municipality	12002506	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Hill Country Village	Municipality	12002507	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Hollywood Park	Municipality	12002508	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Kirby	Municipality	12002510	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Leon Valley	Municipality	12002511	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
Live Oak	Municipality	12002512	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Strong	None		
Cibolo	Municipality	00002615	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Bulverde New Braunfels	Municipality Municipality	00002669 00002670	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Moderate Strong	None None		
Schertz	Municipality	00002670	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
Karnes City	Municipality	12002756	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Runge	Municipality	12002757	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Boerne	Municipality	12002757	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
Olmos Park	Municipality	12002889	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Floresville	Municipality	12002925	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
LaCoste	Municipality	12002954	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
Marion	Municipality	12002966	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Universal City	Municipality	12002967	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
New Berlin	Municipality	00002973	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Falls City	Municipality	12002974	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Kenedy	Municipality	12002975	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
Goliad	Municipality	12002986	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Shavano Park	Municipality	12003000	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		
Helotes	Municipality	12003002	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Moderate	None		

Table 6. Existing Floodplain Management Practices

Management Man	Table 6. Existing Floodplain Management Practices				1						
Marked Municipality 12000304 Yes	Entity	Туре	Entity ID	Regulations (Yes/ No/	regulations pursuant to Texas Water Code Section 16.3145?		Adopted	Practices (Strong/Moder ate/	Practices (High/ Moderate/		Web Link to Entity Regulations
St. Teckerg	Somerset	Municipality	12003003	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Low	None		
Multipliphy 12003103 Pec Yes Yes Yes No											
Septiff Municipality 12003176 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Noberate Nobe											
La Vereira											
Profit Municipality 12001181 Yes Yes Yes No Low None											
Stockdafe											
Sandy Cales											
Serien										No	
Selma	-										
Santa Clara											
Ven Cirry											
San Antonion										Yes	
Castroville	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
Bandera Municipality 12003414 Ves Ves Ves Ves Moderate Moderate Moderate San Antonic New Authority Royer Authority 00002292 Unknown No No No No No No No N											
San Antonio River Authority River Authority											
Nuces Neer Authority Neer Authority 00000291 Unknown No No No No No No No N											
Guidalque-Blanco Rover Authority Niver Authority Nover Nov											
Upper Guadaluge River Authority O0000299											
Beanders Assacoss Counties WCD 1 River Authority 00000239 Unknown No No No No No No No N											
Bandera County Rever Authority											
Alamo Area Council of Severnments											
Costal Bend Country (Commission Other 00000256 Unknown No No No No No No No N											
Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission Other 000000364 Unknown No No No No None None											
Caryon Regional Water Authority											
Falcon Point WCID 1											
Escondido Watershed District											
Hondo Creek Watershed Improvement District											
West Side Calhoun County Navigation District											
Medina County NCID 1	·										
Victoria Country Navigation District											
Wilson County FWSD 1 of Wilson County Texas											
Westside 211 Special Improvement District											
Refugio County WCID 2											
osswinds at South Lake Special Improvement Distri Other 12000731 Unknown No No None None Refugio County Navigation District Other 00000758 Unknown No No No None None Green Valley SUD Other 00000821 Unknown No No No None None Medina County WCID 2 Other 12000874 Unknown No No No None None Kendall County WCID 2 Other 12000937 Unknown No No No None None Kendall County WCID 2A Other 12000937 Unknown No No No None None Acade County WCID 2A Other 12000937 Unknown No No No None None Beleast County WCID 1 Other 1200037 Unknown No No No None None La Salle WCID 1-A Other 1200157 Unknown No											
Refugio County Navigation District											
Green Valley SUD Other 00000821 Unknown No No No No None None											
Medina County FWSD 1	<u> </u>										
Kendall County WCID 2											
Rendall County WCID 2A											
bolo Canyon Conservation and Improvement Distric Other 12000959 Unknown No No No None None Ecleto Creek Watershed District Other 00001006 Unknown No No No None None Refugio County WCID 1 Other 12001057 Unknown No No No None None La Salle WCID 1-A Other 12001130 Unknown No No No None None La Salle WCID 1-B Other 12001132 Unknown No No No None None Lerin Hills MUD Other 12001324 Unknown No No No None None San Antonio MUD 1 Other 12001344 Unknown No No No None None Cibolo Creek Municipal Authority Other 00001485 Unknown No No No None None Flying L PUD Other 12001520 Unknown N	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
Ecleto Creek Watershed District											
Refugio County WCID 1											
La Salle WCID 1-A Other 12001130 Unknown No No No No None None La Salle WCID 1-B Other 12001132 Unknown No No No No No None None Lerin Hills MUD Other 12001324 Unknown No No No No No None None San Antonio MUD 1 Other 12001484 Unknown No No No No No None None Cibolo Creek Municipal Authority Other 00001485 Unknown No No No No No None None Bexar County WCID 10 Other 12001486 Unknown No No No No No None None Flying L PUD Other 12001520 Unknown No No No No No None None Bandera County FWSD 1 Other 12001521 Unknown No No No No None None None None											
La Salle WCID 1-B Other 12001132 Unknown No No No None None Lerin Hills MUD Other 12001324 Unknown No No No None None San Antonio MUD 1 Other 12001484 Unknown No No None None Cibolo Creek Municipal Authority Other 00001485 Unknown No No None None Bexar County WCID 10 Other 12001486 Unknown No No No None Flying L PUD Other 12001520 Unknown No No No None Bandera County FWSD 1 Other 12001521 Unknown No No No None None	ů ,										
Lerin Hills MUD Other 12001324 Unknown No No No No None None											
San Antonio MUD 1 Other 12001484 Unknown No No No None None Cibolo Creek Municipal Authority Other 00001485 Unknown No No No None None Bexar County WCID 10 Other 12001486 Unknown No No No None None Flying L PUD Other 12001520 Unknown No No No None None Bandera County FWSD 1 Other 12001521 Unknown No No No None None											
Cibolo Creek Municipal Authority Other 00001485 Unknown No No No None None Bexar County WCID 10 Other 12001486 Unknown No No No None None Flying L PUD Other 12001520 Unknown No No No None Bandera County FWSD 1 Other 12001521 Unknown No No No None											
Bexar County WCID 10 Other 12001486 Unknown No No No None None Flying L PUD Other 12001520 Unknown No No No None None Bandera County FWSD 1 Other 12001521 Unknown No No No None None											
Flying L PUD Other 12001520 Unknown No No No None None Bandera County FWSD 1 Other 12001521 Unknown No No No None None											
Bandera County FWSD 1 Other 12001521 Unknown No No No None None											
	, ,										
	Northeast Medina County WCID 1	Other	12001521	Unknown	No	No	No	None	None		
Johnson Ranch MUD Other 12001578 Unknown No No No None None											

Table 6. Existing Floodplain Management Practices

Entity	Туре	Entity ID	Floodplain Management Regulations (Yes/ No/ Unknown)A	Adopted minimum regulations pursuant to Texas Water Code Section 16.3145? (Yes/ No)A	NFIP Participant (Yes/ No)A,D	Higher Standards Adopted (Yes/ No)B	Practices (Strong/Moder ate/	Enforcement of Practices	Existing Stormwater or Drainage Fee (Yes/ No)B	Web Link to Entity Regulations
East Central SUD	Other	12001595	Unknown	No	No	No	None	None		
Refugio County Drainage District 1	Other	00001608	Unknown	No	No	No	None	None		
Espada Development District	Other	12001650	Unknown	No	No	No	None	None		
Port O'Connor MUD	Other	00001672	Unknown	No	No	No	None	None		
Comal County WCID 6	Other	00002121	Unknown	No	No	No	None	None		
Kendall County WCID 4	Other	12002226	Unknown	No	No	No	None	None		
Kendall County WCID 3	Other	12002367	Unknown	No	No	No	None	None		

A At a minimum, the RFPGs must list all counties, cities and districts in the region with flood related authority in the region and identify whether entity they have any established floodplain management practices.

high – actively enforces the entire ordinance, performs many inspections throughout construction process, issues fines, violations, and Section 1316s where appropriate, and enforces substantial damage and substantial improvement; moderate – enforces much of the ordinance, performs limited inspections and is limited in issuance of fines and violations;

low – provides permitting of development in the floodplain, may not perform inspections, may not issue fines or violations;

none – does not enforce floodplain management regulations.

D Communities Participating in the National Flood Program- Texas, FEMA Community Status Book Report, May 15, 2021. FEMA NFIP Participation Book – TX 5-15-21.pdf

B This field may be left blank during the 1st planning cycle. However, RFPGs are strongly encouraged to provide this information when applicable and available.

C The following may serve as a guide for evaluating enforcement:

Table 11. Regional Flood Plan Flood Mitigation and Floodplain Management Goals

Goal ID	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	Goal	Term of Goal	Target Year	Applicable To	Residual Risk	How will the Goal be Measured	Overarching Goal(s)	Associated Goal IDs
12000001	12	San Antonio	Track and document existing public outreach and education activities that improve awareness of flood hazards and benefits of flood planning, including nature based solutions, in the region and ensure there are at least 6 additional occurrences per year.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Establishing a baseline and ensure a minimum number of occurrences.	Education and Outreach	
12000002	12	San Antonio	Increase to 12 per year and maintain and increase public outreach and education activities to improve awareness of flood hazards and benefits of flood planning including nature based solutions in the region.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Number of activities.	Education and Outreach	
12000003	12	San Antonio	Increase the proficiency of stakeholders and floodplain managers across the region through training from Region 12 entities, TFMA, ASFPM and FEMA and provide certificates of completion. Improve 50% of FPM knowledge of nature based solutions, floodplain preservation, and cost/benefit of traditional structural solutions.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Number of trainings reaching FPMs.	Education and Outreach	
12000004	12	San Antonio	Increase the proficiency of stakeholders and floodplain managers across the region through training from Region 12 entities, TFMA, ASFPM and FEMA and provide certificates of completion. Improve 100% of FPM knowledge of nature based solutions, floodplain preservation, and cost/benefit of traditional structural solutions.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Number of trainings reaching FPMs.	Education and Outreach	
12000005	12	San Antonio	Support the development of a regionally coordinated warning and emergency response program that can detect the flood threat and provide timely warning of impending flood danger to reduce flood deaths and high water rescues across the region.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Increase the number of NFIP communities by 25%.	Flood Warning and Readiness	12000009
12000006	12	San Antonio	Expand the development of a regionally coordinated warning and emergency response program that can detect the flood threat and provide timely warning of impending flood danger to reduce flood deaths and high water rescues across the region.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Increase the number of NFIP communities too 100%.	Flood Warning and Readiness	12000010
12000007	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of flood gauges (rainfall, stream, reservoir, etc.) in the region to provide localized information to emergency responders, and storage and accessibility of data to agencies by 25% of existing or at minimum 10.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Establish a baseline and increase the number of gages by 25% over 2022.	Flood Warning and Readiness	12000009
12000008	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of flood gauges (rainfall, stream, reservoir, etc.) in the region to provide localized information to emergency responders, and storage and accessibility of data to agencies by 50% of existing.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Increase the number of gages by 50% over 2022.	Flood Warning and Readiness	12000010
12000009	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of entities that communicate real time flood warnings to the public. Leverage mobile phone navigation apps to provide real time rerouting for the public.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Increase by 40% of the NFIP communities.	Flood Warning and Readiness	12000007
12000010	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of entities that communicate real time flood warnings to the public. Leverage mobile phone navigation apps to provide real time rerouting for the public.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Increase to 100% of the NFIP communities.	Flood Warning and Readiness	12000008

Table 11. Regional Flood Plan Flood Mitigation and Floodplain Management Goals

Goal ID	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	Goal	Term of Goal	Target Year	Applicable To	Residual Risk	How will the Goal be Measured	Overarching Goal(s)	Associated Goal IDs
12000011	12	San Antonio	Establish a baseline and increase the number of NFIP communities which utilize Atlas 14 (Volume 11) or best available data from NOAA revised rainfall data as part of revisions to design criteria and flood prevention regulations by 50% percent. (region specific)	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Percentage of entities in the region.	Flood Studies and Analysis	
12000012	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of NFIP communities which utilize/adopt Atlas 14 (Volume 11) or best available data from NOAA revised rainfall data as part of revisions to design criteria and flood prevention regulations by 100%. (region specific)	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Percentage of entities in the region.	Flood Studies and Analysis	
12000013	12	San Antonio	Decrease the number of Zone X by 30% and increase the number of entities that conduct detailed studies to update their local flood risk by 25%.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Percentage of entities in the region.	Flood Studies and Analysis	
12000014	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of entities that conduct detailed studies to update their local flood risk to 100%.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Percentage of entities in the region.	Flood Studies and Analysis	
12000015	12	San Antonio	Decrease the average age of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (NFHL/FIRMs/FIS) to less than 10 years.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		100% of maps.	Flood Studies and Analysis	
12000016	12	San Antonio	Establish a baseline number of existing studies and process for analyzing watersheds to identify existing Natural Flood Mitigation Features (NFMF) such as headwaters, buffers, and conservation easements.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Establishing a baseline/ process and increasing the number of entities that use the process.	Flood Studies and Analysis	
12000017	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of participating Community Rating System (CRS) entities in the FPR by 5.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Number of entities in the region.	Flood Prevention	12000018
12000018	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of participating entities within Community Rating System (CRS) in the FPR by 100% or improve their rating.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Percentage of entities in the region.	Flood Prevention	12000017
12000019	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of entities which regulate to the 1% annual chance future conditions floodplains as part of new development and redevelopment by 10%.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Percentage of entities in the region.	Flood Prevention	
12000020	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of entities which regulate to the 1% annual chance future conditions floodplains as part of new development and redevelopment by 50%.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Percentage of entities in the region.	Flood Prevention	

Table 11. Regional Flood Plan Flood Mitigation and Floodplain Management Goals

Goal ID	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	Goal	Term of Goal	Target Year	Applicable To	Residual Risk	How will the Goal be Measured	Overarching Goal(s)	Associated Goal IDs
12000021	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of entities above the established baseline that have adopted a holistic watershed approach using existing Natural Flood Mitigation Features (NFMF) such as headwaters, buffers, and conservation easements for flood risk reduction as a basis for comprehensive subdivision regulations.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Number of entities in the region.	Flood Prevention	12000016
12000022	12	San Antonio	Establish a baseline and increase the number of acres of publicly protected open space by 10 % as part of land conservation and acquisitions to reduce future impacts of flooding.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Establish a baseline and increase the number of protected acres.	Non-Structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	12000016
12000023	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of restored acres of publicly protected open space land in the region.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Number of restored acres.	Non-Structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	12000016
12000024	12	San Antonio	Reduce the number of NFIP repetitive-loss properties in the FPR by 25%.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Percentage of entities in the region.	Non-Structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	
12000025	12	San Antonio	Reduce the number of NFIP repetitive-loss properties in the FPR by 75%.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Percentage of entities in the region.	Non-Structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	
12000026	12	San Antonio	Reduce the number of existing (2022) residential properties in the future 1% annual chance floodplain by 10%.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Number of residential properties.	Structural and Non- structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	
12000027	12	San Antonio	Reduce the number of existing (2022) residential properties in the future 1% annual chance floodplain by 50%.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Number of residential properties.	Structural and Non- structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	
12000028	12	San Antonio	Reduce the number of vulnerable critical facilities located within the existing and future 1% annual chance (100-year) floodplain by 50%.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Number of vulnerable critical facilities.	Structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	
12000029	12	San Antonio	Reduce the number of vulnerable critical facilities located within the existing and future 1% annual chance (100-year) floodplain by 100%.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Number of vulnerable critical facilities.	Structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	

Table 11. Regional Flood Plan Flood Mitigation and Floodplain Management Goals

Goal ID	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	Goal	Term of Goal	Target Year	Applicable To	Residual Risk	How will the Goal be Measured	Overarching Goal(s)	Associated Goal IDs
12000030	12	San Antonio	Identify the eligible top 50 vulnerable roadway segments and low water crossings located within the existing and future 1% annual chance (100-year) floodplain.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Number of entities in the region.	Structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	
12000031	12	San Antonio	Eliminate or mitigate the eligible top 50 vulnerable roadway segments and low water crossings located within the existing and future 1% annual chance (100-year) floodplain.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Number of entities in the region.	Structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	
12000032	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of structural projects by 10% that include a NBS or Green Infrastructure (GI) component.	Short Term (10 year)	2033	Entire RFPG		Number of structural projects with NBS component.	Structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	
12000033	12	San Antonio	Increase the number of structural projects by 50% that include a NBS or Green Infrastructure (GI) component.	Long Term (30 year)	2053	Entire RFPG		Number of structural projects with NBS components.	Structural Flood Infrastructure Projects	

Table 12. Potential F	ood Manage	ment Evaluations Identifie	d by RFPG		1	I	1						T					1					1			1	
FME ID RFPG No	. RFPG Name	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need	Estimated Study Cost	Potential Funding Sources	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Habitable structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk	Critical facilities at flood risk (#)	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)			Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year) Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)	RFPG Recommendat on (Y/N)	Reason for ti Recommendatio n
121000001 12	San Antonio		Install steam gauges and develop a study to identify solutions to flooding. Implement engineering findings to reduce and mitigate risks.	12000007, 12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Karnes	12100303	121003030306, 121003030404	12000016,12000023	Project Planning	1.18	Riverine, Urban,	12002757	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00001006, 12002757	No	250000		4	3	14	0	0	4	0.116999999	1.163869977		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000002 12	San Antonio	7820 Rolling Acres Trail	Low water crossing. Road closure gate is deployed at this crossing during large storm events.	12000033	Kendall	12100304	121003040103	12000063	Project Planning	0		12002436	00000017,00000255, 00000291,12002436	No	804293		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000003 12	San Antonio	7900 Fair Oaks Parkway	Analysis needed to confirm no adverse impacts on the solution that was implemented.	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Bexar	12100304	121003040103	12000063	Project Planning	0		12002436	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002436	No	60282		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000004 12	San Antonio	Ammann Road Low Water Crossing	Low water crossing runs over the street due to insuffici ent culverts that pass under Ammann Road. Replacing the current road with an elevated concrete bridge above the flood stage.	12000033	Kendall	12100304	121003040103	12000063	Project Planning	0		12002436	00000017,00000255, 00000291	No	1256001		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000005 12	San Antonio	7420 Rolling Acres Trail Low Water Crossing	Low Water crossing moves toward home on Meadow Creek Trail. Road Closure gate is deployed at this crossing during large storm events.	12000033	Kendall	12100304	121003040103	12000063	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12002436	00000017,00000255, 00000291,12002436	No	1185000		1	0	11	0	0	0	0	0		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000006 12	San Antonio	8402 Battle Intense Low Water Crossing	Battle intense is often shut down in large rain events. Debris collects and damages this low water crossing	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Bexar	12100304	121003040103	12000063	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12002436	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002436	No	3617820		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000007 12	San Antonio	Battle Intense LWC Flow- activated Sensors	Add flow-activated sensors and automated drop-down arms to close off a road when the water has surpassed the road.	12000005	Bexar,Comal	12100304	121003040103	12000063	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12002436	00000007,00000014, 00000255,00000282, 00000291,12002436	Yes	179792		0	0	0	0	1	1	0.25999999	0.030920001		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000008 12	San Antonio	Rolling Acres Trail LWC Flow- activated Sensors	Add flow-activated sensors and automated drop-down arms to close off a road when the water has surpassed the road.	12000005	Kendall	12100304	121003040103	12000063	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine,	12002436	00000017,00000255, 00000291,12002436	No	359585		0	0	0	0	0	2	0.289999992	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000009 12	San Antonio	Karnes Hwy at Escondido Creek	Raise bridge on Hwy and channel expansion on 181/5th in Kenedy	12000029	Karnes	12100303	121003030402	12000021	Project Planning	0.11	Riverine,	00000282	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	No	417398		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.07	0.163351998		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000010 12	San Antonio	Damage Center 1 Project1 – Detention in East Branch Poth Creek	Storage in this area would reduce downstream flooding and remove existing structures from the FEMA floodplain	12000029, 12000030	Wilson	12100303	121003030204	12000027	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12003181	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003181	No	1689053		0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (0.324212998		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000011 12	San Antonio	D/O Center M(HWY 1604	Oak Island Drainage Improvements. Culvert upgrades at two locations on Oak Island Dr and 1604 with channel work.	12000029, 12000030	Bexar	12100302	121003020508	12000093	Project Planning	0.56	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000290, 00000392,12003327	No	4556575		57	41	65	0	0	2	0.93999998	10.49750042		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000012 12	San Antonio	Damage Center 1 (Stockdale Creek)	Stockdale Creek Stream Restoration with a natural channel design	12000029, 12000030	Wilson	12100304	121003040401	12000060	Project Planning	0.02	Riverine,	12003182	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003182	Yes	3569335		0	0	0	0	3	4	0.129999995	0.105281003		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000013 12	San Antonio	Karnes County Damage Centers Karnes A	Multiple structures at risk Within San Antonio River at US 181	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Karnes	12100303	121003030202	12000030	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12002974	00000095,00000255, 00000282,12002974	No	4243043		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.029999999	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000014 12	San Antonio	Karnes County Damage Centers Karnes B	Multiple structures at risk Within Marcelinas Creek at US 181	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Karnes	12100303	121003030204	12000027	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12002974	00000095,00000255, 00000282,12002974	No	4243043		0	0	0	0	0	2	0.109999999	0		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000015 12	San Antonio	o Master Drainage Plan	A detailed drainage study of the city of Selma	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Bexar,Guadalup e,Comal	12100304	121003040201, 121003040202	12000066,12000069	Watershed Planning	5.02	Riverine, Urban,	12003327	00000007,00000010, 00000014,00000255, 00000282,00000291, 00001485,12002512, 00002671,12002967, 12003258,12003327	Yes	577600		102	71	752	0	0	22	5.340000153	13.01469994		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000016 12	San Antonio	Antonio Drive Drainage Improvements	Bridge at Los Reyes Creek and Antonio Dr	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Bexar	12100302	121003020404	12000103	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12003002	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003002	No	3466811		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.029999999	0		Υ	Halff Identification
121000017 12	San Antonio	French Creek at Guilbeau Road NWWC	A basic trapezoidal channel with side slopes of 3:1, representing an earthen channel	12000029	Bexar	12100302	121003020402	12000078	Project Planning	0.1	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	9827999		27	26	234	0	0	5	0.639999986	0		Υ	Process Halff Identification Process
121000018 12	San Antonio	Huebner Creek Flood Contro Project Segment 1	The channel will be widened to 50" in front of Raymond Rimkus Park (6440 Evers Road) and then widened more from the park to the bridge.	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Bexar	12100302	121003020405	12000104	Project Planning	0.07	Riverine,	12002511	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002511	Yes	22471310		12	5	28	1	0	3	0.090000004	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000019 12	San Antonio	DC19: Salado Creek Tributary B	Improvement on IH 10 culvert crossing to reduce peak flood stages upstream of IH 10 channel improvements downstream of IH 10 to prevent peak flood stage increase	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010105	12000002	Project Planning	0.06	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	19790464		65	65	172	0	0	8	0.920000017	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000020 12	San Antonio	LWC#41 Vance Jackson 200ft south of Scenic	Low Water Crossing needs Bridge/Culvert Improvements with possible advanced warning signals. Associated street reconstruction to include curbs, sidewalks, and driveway approaches be incorporated into the project.	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100301	121003010201	12000008	Project Planning	0.01		12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	1013300		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000021 12	San Antonio	LWC 112.1 Pvt Rd. 300' North of Marbcah Rd.	Project consists of channel improvements and an outfall to Slick Creek to alleviate street flooding. Channel improvements include installing 10x4 MBC along the channel to improve flow at this portion of Slick Creek.	12000029	Bexar	12100302	121003020405	12000104	Project Planning	0.1		12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	100000		0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000022 12	San Antonio	LWC 100, Blakeley Area Drainage Improvement	This option consists of upsizing the Blakeley crossing to (3) 6'x3' RCB and providing a 7' bottom width concrete trap channel with 3:1 side slopes upstream of the crossing.		Bexar	12100301	121003010105	12000002	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	672778		5	5	8	0	1	1	0.050999999	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000023 12	San Antonio	LWC157 New Sulphur Springs Rd – East of Beck Rd	The proposed project will install 4-10' x 9' MBC at the LWC and reconstruct the portion of New Sulphur Springs Rd affected by the cultest installation. The	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010302	12000009	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12001595,12003327	Yes	942748		0	0	0	0	3	1	0.066	0.051725999		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000024 12	San Antonio	LWC#156 New Sulphur Springs Rd – btwn S. Foster & Gardner	The proposed project will replace the existing culvert system with a bridge approximately 1500' in length. The proposed bridge will span two streams at this location	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010302	12000009	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12001595,12003327	Yes	22845792		0	0	0	0	1	1	0.090000004	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000025 12	San Antonio	LWC #159.1 Southton Rd	The proposed project will replace the existing culvert system with a bridge approximately 1500' in length.	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010204	12000013	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	6102679		0	0	0	0	1	1	0.033	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000026 12	San Antonio	LWC #34 Sleepy Hollow @ Sunburst	This project requires the placement culverts or a bridge to eliminate a low water crossing . Street Reconstruction includes driveway approaches, curbs, and sidewalks as required.	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100301	121003010201	12000008	Project Planning	0.02	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	5421088		1	1	2	0	1	2	0.129999995	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000027 12	San Antonio	Damage Center 43-Olmos Creek Middle Reach near DeZavala	The depth of flooding for the 100-year event ranges	12000025	Bexar	12100301	121003010201	12000008	Project Planning	0.26	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003000	No	8878636		9	9	18	0	0	1	0.072999999	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000028 12	San Antonio		Majority of the flooding is caused by the undersized culverts downstream of West Woodlawn, providing addition of box culverts will provide adequate capacity to the existing storm drain system	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0.14	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	15077473		116	115	366	0	1	11	1.159999967	0		Y	Halff Identification Process

Table 12. Potential F	lood Manage	ement Evaluations Identifie	d by RFPG																								
FME ID RFPG No	o. RFPG Nam	e FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need	Estimated Study Cost	Potential Funding Sources	Estimated number of structures at flood risk		Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)		Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	flood rick	Existing or Existing or Anticipated Anticipated Models (year) Maps (year	Recommendat	Reason for ti Recommendatio n
121000029 12	San Antoni	o Apache Creek & Elmendorf Lake Dam	The Elmendorf Lake Dam area is prone to flooding and will require an extensive drainage project to mitigate the floodplain. A Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) will need to be provided to assess a feasible solution	12000012	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Watershed Planning	0.61	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	350000		470	410	1568	2	0	41	6.480000019	0.924710989		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000030 12	San Antoni	o Cibolo Creek Tributary 19 Mapping Improvements	Alternative Anylsis and Project recommendation	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Comal	12100304	121003040105, 121003040104	12000061,12000064	Project Planning	0.82	Riverine,	00002669	00000014,00000255, 00000291,00002121, 00002669	No	100000		6	6	4	0	0	1	0.129999995	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000031 12	San Antoni	o Improvements	Alternative Anylsis and Project recommendation	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Comal	12100201, 12100304	121003040104, 121002010404, 121002010401	12000064	Project Planning	13.08	Riverine,	00002669	00000014,00000255, 00000291,00002669	Yes	100000		33	18	41	5	0	7	1	58.65409851		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000032 12	San Antoni	Inventory of residences in floodplain	Identify residential structures that are located in flood zones or high hazard areas and develop plan and implement a program for floodproofing or acquistion.	12000011, 12000013,	Karnes	12100303	121003030204, 121003030202	12000027,12000030	Project Planning	0.91	Riverine, Urban,	12002974	00000095,00000255, 00000282,12002974	No	50000		37	19	53	0	0	15	0.949999988	31.62490082		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000033 12	San Antoni	Update flood information and policies	Identify and compile information on flood hazard areas and residential property in flood zones, establish and implement a volunteer acquisition / elevation program based on FEMA protocol in association with SARA studies, and review permitting process bas		Karnes	12100303	121003030401, 121003030402, 121003030403, 121003030205, 121003030206	12000020,12000021,12000022,12000034,1 2000037	Project Planning	2.31	Riverine,	00000095	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002756	No	100000		6	5	159	0	0	5	0.140000001	0.896929026		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000034 12	San Antoni	Inventory of residences in floodplain	Identify residential structures that are located in flood zones or high hazard areas and develop plan and implement a program for floodproofing or acquistion.	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Karnes	12100303	121003030402	12000021	Project Planning	3.67	Riverine, Urban,	12002975	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	No	50000		42	24	59	0	0	21	0.430000007	13.10529995		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000035 12	San Antoni	o Mitigate local flooding in identified problem areas	Identify problem flooding areas and implement a program to reduce loaclized flooding	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Wilson	12100303	121003030204, 121003030105	12000027,12000035	Project Planning	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003181	Yes	5000		69	50	100	0	6	25	1.549999952	11.58290005		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000036 12	San Antoni	Develop and implement a o Stormwater Management Plan for Stockdale Creek		12000013, 12000014	Wilson	12100304	121003040401	12000060	Project Planning	1.68	Riverine, Urban,	12003182	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003182	Yes	1203489		73	44	102	0	7	22	1.75	3.180809975		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000037 12	San Antoni	O Update flood information and policies	Identify and compile information on flood hazard areas and residential property in flood zones, establish and implement a volunteer acquisition / elevation program based on FEMA protocol in association with SARA studies, and review permitting process bas		Karnes	12100303	121003030204, 121003030202	12000027,12000030	Project Planning	0.91	Riverine, Urban,	12002974	00000095,00000255, 00000282,12002974	No	100000		38	19	53	0	0	15	0.94999988	31.62490082		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000038 12	San Antoni	o Inventory of residences in floodplain	Identify residential structures that are located in flood zones or high hazard areas and develop plan and implement a program for floodproofing or acquistion.	12000011, 12000013,	Karnes	12100303	121003030401, 121003030402, 121003030403, 121003030205, 121003030206	12000020,12000021,12000022,12000034,1 2000037	Project Planning	2.31	Riverine,	00000095	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002756	No	50000		6	5	159	0	0	5	0.140000001	0.896929026		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000039 12	San Antoni	O Update flood information and policies	Identify and compile information on flood hazard areas and residential property in flood zones, establish and implement a volunteer acquisition / elevation program based on FEMA protocol in association with SARA studies, and review permitting process bas		Karnes	12100303	121003030306, 121003030404	12000016,12000023	Project Planning	1.18	Riverine, Urban,	12002757	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00001006, 12002757	No	100000		4	1	14	0	0	4	0.116907999	1.163869977		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000040 12	San Antoni	o Install early warning system:	Conduct a feasibility study that evaluates the coverage area, property ownership and availability, power requirements, telemetry requirements, technology, cost, and other local considerations. Based on study findings, install an emergency warning systems	12000013, 12000014	Wilson	12100303	121003030204, 121003030105	12000027,12000035	Project Planning	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	00000100	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003181	Yes	100000		69	50	100	0	6	25	1.549999952	11.58290005		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000041 12	San Antoni	O Drainage Study Marcelinas Creek and its major tributary	Marcelinas Creek has a floodplain that runs through the center of the city. Install stream gauges and dientify alternatives to mitigate flooding. Implement study findings.	12000005	Wilson	12100303	121003030204, 121003030105	12000027,12000035	Project Planning	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003181	Yes	250727		69	50	100	0	6	25	1.549999952	11.58290005		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000042 12	San Antoni	o Build Detention Pond	Phase I: Perform a study to evaluate Poth Branch Watershed - Phase II: Purchase land and construct a drainage infrustructure facility in accordance with the engineering recommendations of the study.	42000044	Wilson	12100303	121003030204, 121003030105	12000027,12000035	Project Planning	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003181	Yes	203952		69	50	100	0	6	25	1.549999952	11.58290005		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000043 12	San Antoni	Drainage improvements to wastewater treatment plant	A drainage improvement was completed in 2018 with 2016 disaster relief funding. Internal plumbing was	12000029, 12000030,	Wilson	12100304	121003040401	12000060	Preparedness	1.68	Riverine, Urban,	12003182	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003182	Yes	852326		73	44	102	0	7	22	1.75	3.180809975		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000044 12	San Antoni	New Bridges on 6th and 8th Streets	New construction of waterway bridges on 6th and 8th Streets crossing Stockdale Creek. Lift elevation profile of the two bridges that provide access to critical facilities and services within the city as well as access	12000029, 12000030	Wilson	12100304	121003040401	12000060	Project Planning	1.68	Riverine, Urban,	12003182	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003182	Yes	651454		73	44	102	0	7	22	1.75	3.180809975		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000045 12	San Antoni	Detention/Retention pond on school property	from the City to the surrounding reg Install a Detention/Retention pond and reservoir to store excess stormwater on school property along Fordtran Street	12000029, 12000030	Wilson	12100304	121003040401	12000060	Project Planning	1.68	Riverine, Urban,	12003182	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003182	Yes	1604361		73	44	102	0	7	22	1.75	3.180809975		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000046 12	San Antoni	o 7840 Silver Spur Trail	Runoff collects from the northside of the city and passes this point before passing under Keeneland then to the Cibolo Creek Post Oak Creek low water crossing.		Kendall	12100304	121003040103	12000063	Project Planning	0		12002436	00000017,00000255, 00000291,12002436	No	809434		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000047 12	San Antoni	o 8410 Noble Lark Dr	Regrade channel and install erosin control measures, repair the eroded foundation of the culvert headwall		Bexar	12100304	121003040103	12000063	Project Planning	0		12002436	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002436	No	329349		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000048 12	San Antoni	o D/O Center A (Old Pearsall road at Medio Creek)	Old Pearsall Rd overtopping at Medio Creek Bridge and backwater conditions created from RailRoad Bridge DS Old pearsall rd		Bexar	12100302	121003020504	12000106	Project Planning	0.04	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	20530360		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.109999999	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000049 12	San Antoni		Creek crossing improvements on HWY 181. Ponding upstream to an elevation that inundates adjacent homes.	12000029, 12000030	Wilson	12100303	121003030204	12000027	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12003181	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003181	No	1928035		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.02	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000050 12	San Antoni	Damage Center 2-Project 1 Culvert Improvements at Menchaca	Significant overtopping at one 3' x 5' box culvert. Improving this culvert would provide emergency access to the areas of Poth west of Poth Creek	s 12000029, 12000030	Wilson	12100303	121003030105	12000035	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12003181	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282	No	276877		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.02	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000051 12	San Antoni	Damage Center 2- Project 2 Road connection from Mosspoint to Sunshine	residences adjacent to Mosspoint Street is compromised	12000033, 12000034	Wilson	12100303	121003030204	12000027	Project Planning	0		12003181	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003181	No	198959		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000052 12	San Antoni	Damage Center 2 (South Tributary to Stockdale Creek)		12000029, 12000030	Wilson	12100304	121003040401	12000060	Project Planning	0.03	Riverine,	12003182	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003182	No	660768		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.085732996		Υ	Halff Identification Process

Table 12. Potential Fl	ood Manager	ment Evaluations Identifie	d by RFPG																1								
FME ID RFPG No	. RFPG Name	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need	Estimated Study Cost	Potential Funding Sources	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Habitable structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk	Critical facilities at flood risk (#)	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)			Anticipated	Existing or Anticipated Recommendati On (Y/N)	Reason for ti Recommendatio n
121000053 12	San Antonio	Parrigin Road Drainage Improvements	Parrigin Road low water crossing at Helotes Creek Tributary A floods frequently, limiting access for nearby residences	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Bexar	12100302	121003020404	12000103	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12003002	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003002	No	1271228		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.02	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000054 12	San Antonio	Detailed Study of Unnamed Trib 3 to Helotes Creek	determine appropriate drainage improvements.	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Bexar	12100302	121003020404	12000103	Watershed Planning	0.02	Riverine,	12003002	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	40000		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000055 12	San Antonio	Detailed Study of Culebra Creek Trib C	Three low water crossings of Culebra Creek Tributary C, Beverly Hill Drive, Doheny at FM 1560, and FM 1560. A detailed hydrologic and hydraulic study is needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Bexar	12100302	121003020403	12000102	Watershed Planning	0.15	Riverine,	12003002	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003002	Yes	65000		0	0	0	0	1	3	0.280000001	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000056 12	San Antonio	Inventory of residences in floodplain	Identify residential structures that are located in flood zones or high hazard areas and develop plan and implement a program for floodproofing or acquistion.	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Karnes	12100303	121003030306, 121003030404	12000016,12000023	Project Planning	1.18	Riverine, Urban,	12002757	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00001006, 12002757	No	50000		4	3	14	0	0	4	0.116999999	1.163869977		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000057 12	San Antonio	French Creek RSWF	An on-channel RSWF provides approximately 150 acrefeet of storag	12000029	Bexar	12100302	121003020402	12000078	Project Planning	0.03	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	19117088		4	0	11	0	0	1	0.25	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000058 12	San Antonio	Culebra Creek Tributary A at Tezel Road Enhanced Conveyance	Increasing the flow area by widening the channel and increasing its side slope	12000029	Bexar	12100302	121003020404	12000103	Project Planning	0.18	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	9169814		99	99	344	0	0	19	0.889999986	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000059 12	San Antonio	Helotes Creek at Bandera Road Enhanced Conveyance	Channel modifications were designed as a basic trapezoidal channel with side slopes of 3:1.	12000029	Bexar	12100302	121003020404	12000103	Project Planning	0.18	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003002	No	2611481		29	16	43	0	0	7	1.340000033	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000060 12	San Antonio	Helotes Creek RSWF	An off-channel RSWF provides approximately 3330 acres-ft oof storage.	12000029	Bexar	12100302	121003020404	12000103	Project Planning	0.42	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	8978646		28	16	141	0	2	5	0.579999983	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000061 12	San Antonio	Hubner Creek Flood Protection Barier	This project includes proposed Flood Protection Barrier between Ingram Road and Culebra Road	12000029	Bexar	12100302	121003020402, 121003020404, 121003020405	12000078,12000103,12000104	Project Planning	0.57	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	35681132		115	101	1059	0	1	10	1.860000014	1.121000051		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000062 12	San Antonio	Damage Center 5-Salado Creek Trib F	Approximately 4,487 feet of channel improvements as well as constructing two inline reservoirs.	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010104	12000004	Project Planning	0.96	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	26845034		54	27	243	0	3	9	1.230000019	0.737982988		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000063 12	San Antonio	Damage Center 3-Lorence Creek	Approximately 10,000 feet of channel improvement. The proposed drainage improvements reduces the occurrence of structural flooding in several areas along the banks of the creek.	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010103	12000005	Project Planning	0.72	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	9093003		65	59	181	0	2	16	0.610000014	0.222395003		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000064 12	San Antonio	DC13/14: Walzem Creek	A proposed combination of regional detention and channel improvement to reduce flooding on Walzem Creek.	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010105	12000002	Project Planning	0.18	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12001486, 12002476,12003327	Yes	7035206		66	45	361	0	2	13	1.100000024	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000065 12	San Antonio	Damage Center 2- Martinez Creek	The downstream culvert system creates a backwater which will continue to affect properties near the inlet of that structure. Improved channelization and culvert/bridge replacement and voluntary property acquisition	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0.24	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	25112208		165	163	491	0	0	25	3.40000095	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000066 12	San Antonio	Woodlawn Lawn Lake Option 2	Detention, Storm drain improvements, Culvert Improvments, Roadway Improvements.	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0.06	Riverine,	12002438		No	6288547		8	8	94	0	0	4	0.140000001	0		Y	Halff Identification
121000067 12	San Antonio	Woodlawn Lawn Lake Option 1(Phase 1-3)	Detention, Storm drain improvements, Culvert Improvments, Roadway Improvements.	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0.06	Riverine,	12002438	12003327 00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002438, 12003327	No	11272772		8	8	94	0	0	4	0.140000001	0		Y	Process Halff Identification Process
121000068 12	San Antonio	Normoyle Ditch - Alt 1	Channel improvements are proposed from the Six Mile Creek outfall up to approximately 200 feet upstream of New Laredo Hwy. The project area was limited to the area south of Kelly AFB as the majority of habitable structures area		Bexar	12100302	121003020406	12000105	Project Planning	0.37		12003327	00000007,00000255,	No	150000		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000069 12	San Antonio	LWC 42 Dreamland south of RR Xing	The project will consist of proposed Bridge crossing with +/- 6300 LF of total channel grading upstream and downstream and excavating to eliminate a low water crossing. Street reconstruction includes driveway approaches, curbs, and sidewalks as required		Bexar	12100301	121003010201	12000008	Project Planning	0.14	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12002439,12003327	Yes	11470000		17	17	12	0	1	6	0.5	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000070 12	San Antonio	LWC No 113-116 and Associated Channel Improvements	This project proposes to upgrade LWC 115 & 116 and construct an underground storm system on Military to tie into the existing earthen channel. The underground system will consist of 10' curb inlets, 6'x3' box culverts, 24"-42" (RCP), outfall structures	12000029	Bexar	12100302	121003020405	12000104	Project Planning	0.04		12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	3666040		0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000071 12	San Antonio	LWC# 91 Weidner 500 ft N of Schertz	Construct a bridge on Weidner Rd. to pass a 100 yr	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100301	121003010104	12000004	Project Planning	0.01		12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	3118605		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000072 12	San Antonio	LWC #15 Copperhill Betweer Parkstone & Happy Hollow		12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010103	12000005	Project Planning	0		12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	471988		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000073 12	San Antonio	LWC #13 West Ave. @ Interpark	Since approximately 2006, residents have complained about flooding within a low point on West Ave. Approximately 173 acres drains through this area. This project will construct an underground drainage system with an ear	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010102	12000001	Project Planning	0		12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	5759953		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000074 12	San Antonio	New Sulphur Springs – East of Lodi Rd	This project will install a cross arm/barricade at the LWC. Construction of a bridge or culvertinstallation	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100301	121003010302	12000009	Project Planning	0.03	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003327	Yes	2317784		3	3	12	0	1	1	0.097999997	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000075 12	San Antonio	LWC #71 Danville and Overbrook	This project requires the replacement of existing low water crossing on Danville with an upgraded culvert (2-10'X10' MBC) or bridge to eliminate a low water crossing with some channel modifications upstream and downstream of the crossing	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	2890500		0	0	0	0	1	2	0.150000006	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000076 12	San Antonio	LWC#72 Spencer Lane, east of Balcones Rd.	During a rain storm event, storm water runoff from the	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	1889332		0	0	0	0	1	1	0.07	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000077 12	San Antonio	Mahncke Park Outfall	To convey the 100-yr ultimate development and relieve the current backwater conditions. This project proposes drainage improvement to watershed SA4.To reduce clogging and increase effciency.		Bexar	12100301	121003010201	12000008	Watershed Planning	0.08	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	10792673		16	14	53	0	0	5	0.239999995	0		Y	Halff Identification Process

Table 12. Potenti	al Flood N	/lanagem	nent Evaluations Identified	l by RFPG												,		ı	ı		1							
FME ID RFP0	6 No. RFP	'G Name	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need	Estimated Study Cost	Potential Funding Sources	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Habitable structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk	Critical facilities at flood risk (#)	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)		Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	ranch land at	Existing or Existing or Anticipated Anticipated Models (year)	RFPG Recommenda on (Y/N)	Reason for ti Recommendatio n
121000078	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 44-San Antonio River Near Center Road	This area consists of large agricultural lots. Buyouts appear to be the best option since the entire damage center is in the floodplain. The area can be converted to a recreational water park area or pavilions to encourage biking	12000025	Bexar	12100301	121003010203	12000011	Project Planning	0.34	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	7618557		7	4	3	0	0	0	0	10.35099983		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000079 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 40-San Antonio River DS Reach near Roosevelt	Three lots have 100-year flood depths greater than 2 feet and were therefore not considered for flood-proofing. Due to its location between parks,it appears reasonable to be buyout the flooed	12000025	Bexar	12100301	121003010203	12000011	Project Planning	0.31	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	12536093		73	52	892	0	1	9	0.949999988	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000080 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 39-Olmos Creek and Olmos Creek East Channel	properties and continue the park Antonian High School is just downstream of this damage center. There are a total of eight parcels that are flooded by the 100-year storm event. Flood- proofing appears to be a practical approach for these	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010201	12000008	Project Planning	0.12	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12002439,12003327	Yes	601643		6	4	5	0	0	0	0.0014	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000081 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 38-Olmos Creek Lower Reach Near Montview	properties Flooding occurs on the left overbank and begins just upstream of Montview. A total of 10 lots are impacted by the 100-year storm event and the depth of flooding ranges between 0.10 and 0.15 feet.Flood depths are less than 0.5 feet; therefore	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010201	12000008	Project Planning	0.05	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003327	No	623497		8	8	51	0	0	3	0.38899999	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000082 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 3- Zarzamora Creek	The proposed earthen channel would begin upstream of the pedestrian bridge and end approximately 780 feet downstream of Ingram Road	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0.55	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	44414312		62	60	223	1	1	21	1.899999976	0.067382999		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000083 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 6- Martinez Creek	and funding scenarios	12000025	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0.66	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	40552312		427	361	1043	0	0	29	8.399999619	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000084 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 7- Zarzamora Creek	Based on the value of the homes within this damage center, VPAs appear to be a practical option that may be well received	12000025	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0.51	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	14775612		259	249	729	10	0	27	3.700000048	0.444790006		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000085	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 9- Alazan Creek	severe flooding upstream of South Colorado Street, where the majority of the buildings flood during the 10&50 yr. Channel improvments	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0.36	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	65623976		237	168	615	1	0	37	3.900000095	0.079213001		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000086	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 14- Airport Trib	There are four bridges within this Damage Center, of which all overtop during the 1% AC storm event. Voluntary Acquisition of 79 residential propoerties that are compromised	12000025	Bexar	12100301	121003010104, 121003010201	12000004,12000008	Project Planning	0.35	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	28756432		85	62	553	1	0	11	1.5	0		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000087 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 19- San Pedro Creek	A lateral detention project is recommended to reduce the Camaron Street spill which will also provide some minor relief to the storm sewer surcharges at West Elmira Street, Cadwallader Street, Marshall Street, and Hill Street	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0.11	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	11852902		33	13	275	0	0	14	1.419999957	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000088 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 20-Matinez Creek	Lateral detention is a viable alternative for this project and could be used in conjunction with VPA, and reduced channelization, to meet the desired outcomes of multi-use functionality and flood reduction.	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010202	12000010	Project Planning	0.26	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	66565784		202	192	593	0	0	26	2.730000019	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000089 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 23-New Braunfels, Austin Hwy, Broadway Drain	Reduce regional flooding and remove secure safe passage during 100 yr event. Utilizes a combined regional and local trunkline of 4'x4' and new outfall near Patterson Avenue.	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010201	12000008	Project Planning	0.88	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002437, 12002475,12003327	No	55615580		127	70	1413	0	0	44	5.400000095	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000090 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 32-Six Mile Creek	the proposed pond would have a direct impact on the flow in Normoyle Ditch, it is recommended that the required drainage structures be r.eanalyzed	12000013, 12000014	Bexar	12100301	121003010203	12000011	Watershed Planning	0.56	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003327	Yes	20127908		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.150000006	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000091 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 34-State Hospital Creek	the channelization project will have to be constructed to remove all structures from the 1% annual chance storm event floodplain	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010203	12000011	Project Planning	0.26	Riverine,	12003327	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003327	Yes	6041898		54	54	139	0	1	8	1.139999986	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000092 1	2 San	Antonio	LWC at Ammann Rd and Post Oak Creek	Improve the low water crossing at Ammann Road and Post Oak Creek	12000029	Kendall	12100304	121003040103	12000063	Project Planning	0.09	Riverine,	00000017	00000017,00000255, 00000291	No	100000		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.039999999	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000093	2 San	Antonio	LWC at Old Fredericksburg Rd and Balcones Creek	Improve the low water crossing at Old Fredericksburg Rd and Balcones Creek	12000029	Bexar,Kendall	12100304	121003040102	12000062	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine,	00000017	00000007,00000017, 00000255,00000282, 00000291	Yes	100000		0	0	0	0	1	1	0.115999997	0.259117991		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000094 1	2 San	Antonio	Damage Center 31- Rockwood Creek	Limits of the effective DFIRM model are incorrect based on the DFIRM hydrology if the hydrology is re- evaluated to take into account the limiting factor of the storm drain system, the actual flow to Rockwood Crk is less than the DFIRM flow	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010203	12000011	Watershed Planning	0.15	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	150000		123	111	297	2	0	10	0.779999971	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000095 1	2 San	Antonio	LWC	Replace low water crossings at two locations(US &DS) where FM1863 crossing Cibolo Creek with bridges.	12000033	Bexar,Comal	12100304	121003040201	12000066	Project Planning	0.04	Riverine,	00002669	00000007,00000014, 00000255,00000282, 00000291,00002669	Yes	5177276		0	0	0	0	2	1	0.699999988	0.140806004		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000096	2 San	Antonio	Install pipe gates to close off streets	Install automated systems at low-water crossings with high rate of vehicular access resulting in frequency of accidents and loss of life.	12000005	Wilson	12100303	121003030204, 121003030105	12000027,12000035	Preparedness	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003181	Yes	250000		69	50	150	0	6	25	1.549999952	11.58290005		Υ	Halff Identification Process
121000097 1	2 San	Antonio	LWC# 101 Rittiman Creek @ Gibbs Sprawl	This proposed planning study adds culverts at the railroad crossing, upgrades the earthen channel in the park from the westerly property line to Rittiman road, and installation of larger box culverts at the Gibbs Sprawl LWC which requires Gibbs Sprawl	12000029	Bexar	12100301	121003010106	12000007	Project Planning	0.12	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003327	Yes	10973440		64	63	181	0	1	6	0.879999995	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000098 1	2 San	Antonio	Maintain Drainage System	Improve storm water drainage within residential and commercial areas by removing brush and debris, opening and widening waterways, restricting building in the flood zone, and widening bridges. Status or project was 90% complete in 2012 plan awaiting purch	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Wilson	12100304	121003040401	12000060	Project Planning	1.68	Riverine, Urban,	12003182	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003182	Yes	2073414		73	44	102	0	7	22	1.75	3.180809975		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000099 1	2 San	Antonio	Upper Martinez Creek Improvements	Improvements to already channelized section of Martinez Creek (Cibolo Watershed) from Montgomery Dr to Walzem Rd and bridge improvements at Gibbs Sprawl Road	12000029	Bexar	12100304	121003040205	12000071	Project Planning	0.02	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003327	No	4100856		18	18	51	0	0	1	0.004	0		Y	Halff Identification Process
121000100 1	2 San	Antonio	Recommend for Wilson Roadways - Project 4 - Mariana Rd & Mariana Creek	Upgrade crossing so that it provides a safe evacuation route during large storm events.	12000030	Wilson	12100303	121003030104	12000032	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	00000100	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282	Yes	100000		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000101 1	2 San	Antonio	Recommend for Wilson Roadways - Project 5 - CR 108 & Mariana Creek	Upgrade crossing so that it provides a safe evacuation route during large storm events.	12000030	Wilson	12100303	121003030104	12000032	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	00000100	00000100,00000255, 00000282,00000290	Yes	100000		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01	0		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000102	2 San	Antonio	Erosion at CR 401 and Cibolo Creek	Phase I: Engineering study of design solutions to erosion of CR 401 at Cibolo Creek.Phase II: Implementation of stabilization project to address stream incision and erosion CR 401 at Cibolo Creek.	12000034	Wilson	12100304	121003040401	12000060	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	00000100	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282	Yes	100000		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.07	0		Υ	HDR Identification Process

Table 12. Potentia	l Flood I	Managem	ent Evaluations Identified	by RFPG				T	1	1			1								I	ı	1						
FME ID RFPG	No. RFI	PG Name	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need	Estimated Study Cost	Potential Funding Sources	Estimated number of tructures at flood risk	Habitable structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk	Critical facilities at flood risk (#)	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)		Estimated length of roads at floor risk (Miles)	d ranch la	arm & Existing or and at Anticipate risk Models (yea	d Anticipated		Reason for Recommendatio n
121000103 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Erosion on CR 202 East and Marcelina Creek	Phase I: Engineering study of design solutions to erosion of CR 202 at Marcelina Creek. Phase II: Implementation of stabilization project to address stream incision and erosion CR 202 at Marcelina Creek.	12000030	Wilson	12100303	121003030204	12000027	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	00000100	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282	Yes	100000		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000104 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Improve bridge at CR 337	streets and adjacent properties. An interception channel is proposed upstream of the City to capture flows and divert them west to a tributary of Lower Cibolo Creek.	12000030	Karnes	12100303	121003030306	12000016	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	00000095	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00001006	Yes	500000		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000105 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Flat Creek Study	Update details on both current and expected ultimate watershed build-oit conditions, Identify at-risk infrastructure and detail oppurtunities for flood reduction, and provide mitigation plans with regard to risk due to delevopment.	12000014	Medina	12100302	121003020501 121003020502	12000081,12000107	Watershed Planning	5.8	Riverine,	12003377	00000005,00000255, 12003377	Yes	500000		44	41	29	0	0	3	1.070000052	2 298.279	99988		Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000106 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Goliad Damage Center A	Vegetated swales along Bungalow Ave and N San Patricio St	12000032, 12000012	Goliad	12100303	121003030604	12000049	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine,	00000090	00000090 , 00000264 , 00000282 , 12002986	No	50000		3	2	4	0	0	2	0.050000001	1 0			Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000107 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Goliad Damage Center B	Construct dam north of W. Ward St	12000026, 12000012	Goliad	12100303	121003030604	12000049	Project Planning	0.02	Urban,	00000090	00000090 , 00000264 , 00000282	No	100000		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Y	HDR Identification Process
121000108 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Kempf Creek Watershed Study	H&H Study. Alternatives analysis for regional flood conveyance systems. Project identification and recommendations.	12000014	Medina	12100302	121003020501	12000081	Watershed Planning	4.87	Riverine,	12003377	00000005 , 00000255	Yes	150000		32	18	20	0	0	6	2.24000001	697.672	29736		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000109 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Lower Basin Predictive Flood Model		12000012	De Witt, Wilson, Bex ar, Guadalupe, R efugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victoria, Karnes	12100202 , 12100301 , 12100303 , 12100304 , 12110110			Watershed Planning	1481.11	Riverine, Coastal, Urban,	00000282	00000005 , 00000255	Yes	1000000		1068	537	790	0	0	1774	135.0700073	3 31301.30	30078		Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000110 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Culvert improvement on Hatch St in Tivoli	The bridge on Hatch Street in Tivoli was replaced with a culvert which drains slow and causes the water to breach the levee. Study to find alternatives to determine solutions for this drainage issue.	12000030	Refugio	12100404	121004040000	12000073	Project Planning	0	Urban,	Tivoli Community	00000084,00000260, 00000291,00000758, 12001057,00001608	No	150000		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0			Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000111 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Culvert Improvement on Highway 239 in Tivoli	Culverts on Highway 239 in Tivoli are too small causing water to get in houses. Study to find alternatives to determine solutions for this drainage issue.	12000030	Refugio	12100404	121004040000	12000073	Project Planning	0	Riverine, Urban,	Tivoli Community	00000084,00000260, 00000291,00000758, 12001057,00001608	No	150000		0	0	0	0	0	2	0.01	0			Y	HDR Identification Process
121000112 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Miller Creek on the Smoky Creek Ranch Drainage Improvements	Miller Creek on the Smoky Creek Ranch drains Tivoli and the surrounding area which is washing out property where Indian artifacts were found. Study to find alternatives to determine solutions for this drainage issue.	12000030	Refugio	12100404	121004040000	12000073	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine, Coastal,	Tivoli Community	00000084,00000260, 00000291,00000714, 00000758,00001608	No	150000		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0030	007		Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000113 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	New Drainage Analysis to Update/Revise Flood Maps	This action proposes performing a new drainage analysis for the community to update/revise Flood	12000014	Medina	12100302	121003020501 121003020503	12000081,12000108	Watershed Planning	0.63	Riverine,	12002954	00000005,00000255, 12002954	Yes	100000		170	133	263	0	0	23	4.21999979	1.40018	89996		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000114 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Low Water Crossing Upgrades	Prioritize low water crossings within Karnes County and upgrade with higher level of flood protection, warnings, and signage	12000014, 12000007	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,Gol iad,Karnes	12100202 , 12100303 , 12100304 , 12110110		12000014,12000016,12000019,12000020,1 2000021,12000022,12000023,12000024,12 000025,12000026,12000027,12000030,120 00034,12000037,12000040,12000041,1200 0042,12000043,12000045,12000052,12000 057,12000070	Planning	749.22	Riverine, Urban,	00000095	0000095,0000096, 0000099,0000100, 00000255,00000260, 0000264,00000282, 00000290,00000291, 00000519,00000526, 00001006,12002756, 12002757,12002974, 12002975	No	305000		340	161	422	0	0	757	58.79999924	1 16557.1	19922		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000115 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Early warning flood systems	Conduct feasibility analysis for need and location for placement and installation of an early warning system. Install early warning systems for non incorporated communities	12000005	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,Gol iad,Karnes	12100202, 12100303, 12100304, 12110110		12000014,12000016,12000019,12000020,1 2000021,12000022,12000023,12000024,12 000025,12000026,12000027,12000030,120 00034,12000037,12000040,12000041,1200 0042,12000043,12000045,12000052,12000 057,12000070		749.22	Riverine, Urban,	00000095	00000095,00000096, 00000099,00000100, 00000255,00000260, 00000264,00000282,	No	150000		340	161	422	0	0	757	58.79999924	1 16557.1	19922		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000116 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Recommend for Wilson Roadways-Project 3-CR 122 & Mariana Creek	Upgrade crossing so that it provides a safe evacuation route during large storm events.	12000030	Wilson	12100303	121003030104	12000032	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	00000100	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282	Yes	100000		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.119999997	7 0			Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000117 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	North Lorenzo, Athens Street, Naples Street Storm Drainage Improvements	Preliminary Engineering of storm drainage and inlet system.	12000013	Medina	12100302	121003020501	12000081	Project Planning	0.17	Riverine,	12003377	00000005,00000255, 12003377	Yes	300000		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000118 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	La Vernia Issue # 5 (Hwy 87 crossing and CR 342)	Study to assess city acquiring drainage easements in the area upstream of the Highway 87 crossings, as well as the area between the crossings at Highway 87 and the crossing at CR 342 for the purpose of constructing a channel.	12000016	Wilson	12100304	121003040302	12000056	Project Planning	0.03	Riverine,	12003180	00000100,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003180	No	150000		0	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	1.991	131		Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000119 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	La Vernia Issue # 2 and # 3 (City Park/ La Vernia ISD)	Study to assess 6'-wide concrete-bottom channel/sidewalk with earthen sides (graded 5:1) be constructed through this area to better define the flow path. Gauge boards on San Antonio Road. Aquire 25'- wide drainage easements.	12000013, 12000032	Wilson	12100304	121003040302	12000056	Project Planning	0.07	Riverine,	12003180	00000100,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003180	Yes	150000		2	1	14	0	0	3	0.310000002	2 0			Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000120 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Escondidio Creek WS SCS Site 1, 2, 4 Dam	Rehabilitation of Escondido Creek 1,2, and 4 to ensure passage of the PMF.	12000030	Karnes	12100303	121003030402	12000021	Project Planning	0.13	Riverine,	00000282	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519	No	300000		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.01918	80059		Υ	HDR Identification Process
121000121 12	2 Sar	n Antonio	Wilson County LWC Study	Study to evaluate the LWC in Wilson County and recommend alternatives both short term and long term alternatives. Some short term alternatives could include Low Water Signage, Turn Around Don't Drown, automatic gates. 195 LWC in Wilson County.		Atascosa,Wilso n,Bexar,Guadal upe,Karnes			12000006,12000012,12000027,12000028,1 2000029,12000030,12000031,12000032,12 000033,12000039,12000035,12000036,120 00038,12000039,12000040,12000041,1200 0052,12000053,12000054,12000055,12000 057,12000059,12000060,12000065,120000 70,12000072		805.06	Riverine, Urban,	00000100	0000007, 00000010, 00000095, 00000096, 00000100, 00000255, 00000264, 00000282, 00000290, 00000291, 00000392, 12000592, 00001006, 12001595, 12002442, 12002925, 00002973, 12003180, 12003181, 12003182	Yes	300000		1469	1073	1849	0	0	1646	89.05999756	5 14071.4	40039		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000122 12	2 Sar	n Antonio		Acquire flooded structures to remove them out of the SFHA and restrict future structures from development on the site. Removal of damaged structures that are no longer liveable.	12000026	Atascosa,Wilso n,Bexar,Guadal upe,Karnes			12000006,12000012,12000027,12000028,1 2000029,12000030,12000031,12000035,120 000038,12000039,12000041,12000036,120 00038,12000039,12000041,12000041,120 0052,12000053,12000054,12000055,12000 057,12000053,12000060,12000065,12000	Project Planning	805.06	Riverine, Urban,	00000100	0000007,0000010, 0000095,0000096, 00000100,00000255, 00000100,00000255, 00000290,00000291, 00000392,12000592, 00001006,12001595, 12002442,12002925, 00002973,12003180, 12003181,12003182	No	100000		1469	1073	1849	0	0	1646	89.05999756	5 14071.4	40039		Υ	HDR Identification Process

Table 12. Po	tential Flood Mana	gement Evaluations Identifie	ed by RFPG		1	T							I	T						1						
FME ID	RFPG No. RFPG Na	me FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need	Estimated Study Cost	Funding struc	hated ber of ures at d risk Habitable structures flood risk	t Population a	Critical t facilities at flood risk (#	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)		ranch land at	Anticipated	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year) RFPG Recommendat on (Y/N)	Reason for ati Recommendatio n
121000123	12 San Anto	nio City of Floresville Flood Study	City wide study	12000013	Wilson	12100303	121003030102, 121003030103	12000028,12000033	Watershed Planning	7.7	Riverine, Urban,	12002925	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12000592, 12002925	No	100000	1	07 63	161	0	0	26	3.809999943	80.78199768		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000124	12 San Anto	nio Highway 16 Bridge Upgrade	Closes the road down which is the main access for citizens. Study to upgrade crossing.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	121003020203, 121003020204	12000088,12000089	Project Planning	0.05	Riverine,	00000011	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	150000		1 0	0	0	1	2	0.300000012	0.116283		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000125	12 San Anto	nio Bandera State Highway 173 Study	Prevents access to citizens from the city. Study to upgrade crossing.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	121003020204	12000089	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine,	00000011	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	150000		0 0	0	0	0	1	0.039999999	0		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000126	12 San Anto	nio Bandera English Crossing Study	This low water crossing can sometimes remain flooded for months. Study to upgrade road.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	121003020302	12000097	Project Planning	0.07	Riverine,	00000011	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	100000		0 0	0	0	0	1	0.349999994	0.444790006		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000127	12 San Anto	nio Bandera FM 2107 Study	FM 2107 is the only path for residents to access community lifelines.FM 2107 is the only path for residents to access community lifelines. Study to upgrade road.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	121003020103	12000082	Project Planning	0.14	Riverine,	0000011	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	300000		1 0	2	0	0	2	0.600000024	0.469868004		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000128	12 San Anto	nio Bandera Patterson Street Study	Impairs travel for citizens to reach community lifeline services. Study to upgrade road.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	121003020201	12000087	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine,	00000011	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	50000		1 1	0	0	0	1	0.150000006	0.219705001		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000129	12 San Anto	Bandera Lower Mason Cree nio and Bandera Creek at State Highway 16		12000030	Bandera	12100302	121003020204	12000089	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine,	00000011	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	50000		4 4	3	0	0	1	0.150000006	0		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000130	12 San Anto	nio Bandera WWTP Study	Wastewater treatment plant is in 100 yr floodplain. Study to find solutions.	12000028	Bandera	12100302	121003020203	12000088	Project Planning	0.03	Riverine,	00000011	00000011,00000255, 00000339,12003414	Yes	150000		2 2	0	0	0	2	0.01	0.792241991		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000131	12 San Anto	nio Bandera 470 and Indian Creek Study	Blocks public access to lifelines in Bandera. Study to upgrade road.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	121003020203	12000088	Project Planning	0.02	Riverine,	00000011	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	50000		0 0	0	0	0	2	0.150000006	0		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000132	12 San Anto	nio Bandera 470 and Medina River Study	Blocks people of Tarpley from EMS and other lifelines in the city of Bandera. Study to upgrade road.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	121003020203	12000088	Project Planning	0.01	Riverine,	00000011	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	50000		0 0	0	0	0	1	0.469999999	0		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000133	12 San Anto	nio Natural capital inventory	Development of a dataset identifying lands under conservation easement. Project includes courthouse and deed records research to identify lands that are protected or have future development restrictions.	12000014	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,Me dina,Bexar,Gua dalupe,Bandera ,Comal,Kendall, Kerr,Aransas,Re fugio,Calhoun,G oliad,Victoria,K arnes	12100301, 12100303, 12100304, 12110110,			Watershed Planning	4409.74	Riverine, Coastal, Urban,	00000282	00000011,00000255, 00000339	No	300000	15	145 13704	66191	0	0	9511	753.0499878	62646.10156		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000134	12 San Anto	nio Evaluation and prioritization of new gauge locations	Study to identify stream gage locations in the San Antonio River Basin and cost effective/resilient monitoring technologies.	12000014	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,Me dina,Bexar,Gua dalupe,Bandera ,Comal,Kendall, Kerr,Aransas,Re fugio,Calhoun,G oliad,Victoria,K arnes	12100202, 12100301, 12100303, 12100304, 12110110,			Watershed Planning	4409.74	Riverine, Coastal, Urban,	00000282	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	50000	15	145 13704	66191	0	0	9511	753.0499878	62646.10156		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000135	12 San Anto	nio Future conditions data refinement study	Future conditions data refinement study, study future landuse and apply to future models	12000013	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,Me dina,Bexar,Gua dalupe,Bandera ,Comal,Kendall, Kerr,Aransas,Re fugio,Calhoun,G oliad,Victoria,K arnes	12100202, 12100301, 12100303, 12100304, 12110110,			Watershed Planning	4409.74	Riverine, Coastal, Urban,	00000282	00000011,00000255, 00000339	No	500000	19	145 13704	66191	0	0	9511	753.0499878	62646.10156		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000136	12 San Anto	Port of San Antonio nio Floodproofing	Port SA, site specific, study flood mitigation for critial structures	12000028	Bexar	12100302	121003020406	12000105	Project Planning	0.03		00000282	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	250000		0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000137	12 San Anto	nio River Authority WWTP Resilience	Study of all River Authority WWTP Resilience, finding alternatives for floodproofing	12000028	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,Me dina,Bexar,Gua dalupe,Bandera ,Comal,Kendall, Kerr,Aransas,Re fugio,Calhoun,G oliad,Victoria,K arnes	12100202, 12100301, 12100303, 12100304, 12110110,			Project Planning	4409.74	Riverine, Coastal, Urban,	00000282	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	600000	19	145 13704	66191	0	0	9511	753.0499878	62646.10156		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000138	12 San Anto	nio Bandera Substation In Floodplain Study	Electrical sub-station is in 100 yr floodplain. Study to find solutions.	12000028	Bexar	12100302	121003020405	12000104	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	00000011	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	150000		0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.176358998		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000139	12 San Anto	nio Garcia Creek Channel Stabilization	Preliminary Engineering to identify stabilization methods and sizing.	12000030	Medina	12100302	121003020501	12000081	Project Planning	0.02	Riverine,	12003377	00000005,00000255, 12003377	No	50000		0 0	0	0	0	1	0.059999999	0.092391998		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000140	12 San Anto	nio Country Village Channel Improvements	Preliminary Engineering including an H&H study to size the channel improvements	12000030	Medina	12100302	121003020501	12000081	Project Planning	0.11		12003377	00000005 , 00000255 , 12003377	No	50000		0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000141	12 San Anto	Lucas Creek at Cinco De nio Mayo Dr Bridge and Channe (DC-MRD)	bridge/curvert apgrades, property acquisition	12000031	Bexar	12100302	121003020502, 121003020503	12000107,12000108	Project Planning	0.97	Riverine,	00000005	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00000392	Yes	150000		94 63	100	0	0	13	2.549999952	7.993445873		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000142	12 San Anto	Cagnon Rd at Polecat Creek nio (DC-MRN)	Replace the existing crossing with an approximately 320-foot long bridge.	12000031	Bexar	12100302	121003020503	12000108	Project Planning	0.04	Riverine,	00000005	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00000392	Yes	150000		1 0	2	0	0	0	0	0		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000143	12 San Anto	nio Trumbo Rd at Palo Blanco Creek (DC-MRP)	Upgrades to Trumbo Rd and Loop 1604 crossings at Palo Blanco Creek with channel work.	12000031	Bexar	12100302	121003020509	12000094	Project Planning	0.25	Riverine,	00000005	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000290, 00000392	Yes	100000		13 9	39	0	0	2	0.349999994	0.27402401		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000144	12 San Anto	nio Wet-Proof Wastewater System	This action proposes "wet-proofing" city sewer lines to the Wastewater Treatment Plant	12000028	Medina	12100302	121003020501, 121003020503	12000081,12000108	Project Planning	0.63	Riverine,	12002954	00000005 , 00000255 , 12002954	Yes	50000	1	70 133	263	0	0	24	4.21999979	1.400189996		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000145	12 San Anto	nio Karnes Damage Center H	Raise bridge on Hwy 181/5th in Kenedy	12000030, 12000012	Karnes	12100303	121003030402	12000021	Project Planning	0.04	Riverine,	00000095	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	Yes	150000		1 0	1	0	0	2	0.090000004	0.043053001		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000146	12 San Anto	wastewater treatment plan		12000028	Wilson	12100304	121003040302	12000056	Project Planning	0.02	Riverine,	12003180	00000100,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003180	Yes	150000		5 5	9	0	0	2	0.140000001	2.012190104		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000147	12 San Anto	119 & Mariana Creek	Study: Upgrade bridge so that it provides a safe evacuation route during large storm events.	12000030	Wilson	12100303	121003030104	12000032	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	00000011	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282	Yes	100000		0 0	0	0	0	1	0.039999999	0		Y	HDR Identification Process
121000148	12 San Anto	Property acquisition and nio demolition and/or relocations	Property acquisition and demolition and/or relocations	12000022	Wilson	12100303	121003030102, 121003030103	12000028,12000033	Project Planning	7.7	Riverine, Urban,	12002925	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12000592, 12002925	No	1500000	1	07 63	161	0	0	26	3.809999943	80.78199768		Y	HDR Identification Process

6 of 7

Table 12	Potential Flo	od Manager	ment Evaluations Identifie	d by RFPG																									
FME II	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need	Estimated Study Cost	Potential Funding Sources	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Habitable structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk	Critical facilities at flood risk (#)	Number of low water crossings at r flood risk (#)	Estimated number of oad closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Anticipated Recon	RFPG ommendati Re on (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendatio n
1210001	19 12	San Antonio	Damage Center 2: Project 1 Channelization	The channelization project would add 8 feet to the left bank of the channel, and the depth would be kept at its existing elevation. The project would remove two structures adjacent to the stream from the floodplain.	12000026	Wilson	12100303	121003030103	12000033	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	12002925	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12002925	No	100000		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Y	HDR Identification Process
1210001	50 12	San Antonio	Damage Center 1: Project 1A, 1B, 1C	Detention upstream of Lost Springs Hollow along with some channel work. Upgrade Hwy 181 crossing at Lodi Branch and channelization (contingent of Project 1A).	12000030	Wilson	12100303	121003030103	12000033	Project Planning	0.13	Riverine,	12002925	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12002925	Yes	150000		7	2	9	0	0	2	0.150000006	0.73880899			Y	HDR Identification Process
1210001	51 12	San Antonio	Repetitive loss properties	Offer relocation/mitigation incentives to current flood hazard area property owners; initiate a community program to acquire repetitive loss structures identified by FEMA.	12000024	Wilson	12100304	121003040304, 121003040302	12000053,12000056	Project Planning	1.72	Riverine, Urban,	12003180	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00000392 , 12001595 , 12003180	Yes	150000		153	101	568	0	0	20	3.640000105	63.25999832			Y	HDR Identification Process
1210001	52 12	San Antonio	Nichols Creek Stabilization	Restoration of Nichols Creek to improve stream function including conveyance of flow and sediment.	12000026	Karnes	12100303	121003030402	12000021	Project Planning	0.02	Riverine,	00000282	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	No	1000000		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.01	0.101499997			Y	HDR Identification Process
1210001	53 12	San Antonio	Master Drainage Plan for Bexar County Unincorporated Areas	Engineering master plan to assess flood damage centers for Bexar County unincorporated areas.	12000024	Atascosa,Wilso n,Medina,Bexar ,Guadalupe,Ban dera,Comal,Ken dall	12100304, 12110110, 12100302			Watershed Planning	1253.25	Riverine, Urban,	0000007	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	No	150000		11261	8306	52002	0	0	4535	353.0299988	7583.359863			Υ Ι	HDR Identification Process
1210001	54 12	San Antonio	Master Drainage Plan for Bexar County HALT Low Water	Engineering master plan to assess existing HALT sites for drainage improvements.	12000024	Atascosa,Wilso n,Medina,Bexar ,Guadalupe,Ban dera,Comal,Ken dall	12100303,			Watershed Planning	1253.25	Riverine, Urban,	0000007	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	No	150000		11261	8306	52002	0	0	4535	353.0299988	7583.359863			Υ Ι	HDR Identification Process
1210001	55 12	San Antonio	Culebra Creek RSWF	Engineering study to evaluate the Culebra Creek RSWF under the revised Green & Ampt hydrology.	12000030	Bexar	12100302	121003020402, 121003020403, 121003020404, 121003020405	12000078,12000102,12000103,12000104	Project Planning	0.36	Riverine,	00000007	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12001484,12003327	Yes	50000		1	0	2	0	0	9	0.5	0.202685997			Y	HDR Identification Process
1210001	56 12	San Antonio	Gass Road at Culebra Creek Tributary D	D for 100-Yr accessibility and driver safety at the crossing.	12000030	Bexar	12100302	121003020403	12000102	Project Planning	0	Riverine,	00000007	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282	No	100000		0	0	0	0	0	1	0.039999999	0			Υ	HDR Identification Process
1210001	57 12	San Antonio	Rockwood Creek (SA-39)	Engineering study to assess the removal of properties and residential structures from the 100-Yr flood plain along Rockwood Creek upstream of the San Antonio River and River Side Golf Course.	12000026	Bexar	12100301	121003010203	12000011	Project Planning	0.13	Riverine,	00000007	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	100000		120	108	293	0	0	10	0.769999981	0			Υ	HDR Identification Process
1210001	58 12	San Antonio	Live Oak at Salitrillo Creek (CB-9)	Engineering study to assess removal of residential structures from the Salitrillo Creek 100-Yr flood plain upstream of Martinez Creek Dam No. 5.	12000026	Bexar	12100304	121003040205	12000071	Project Planning	0.78	Riverine,	0000007	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002512, 12002967	Yes	100000		40	36	94	0	0	15	0.879999995	1.711459994			Y I	HDR Identification Process
1210001	59 12	San Antonio	Bexar County LWC Engineering Study	Engineering Study to evaluate seven LWC upgrades.	12000030	Atascosa,Wilso n,Medina,Bexar ,Guadalupe,Ban dera,Comal,Ken dall	12100304,			Project Planning	1253.25	Riverine, Urban,	00000007	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002512, 12002967	Yes	300000		11261	8306	52002	0	0	4535	353.0299988	7583.359863			Y	HDR Identification Process
1210001	50 12	San Antonio	Update flood information and policies	Study to compile information on residential property in flood zones, establish a volunteer acquisition / elevation program based on FEMA protocol in association with SARA studies, and review permitting process based on the 100-year flood event	12000030	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,Gol iad,Karnes	12100202 , 12100303 , 12100304 , 12110110		12000014,12000016,12000019,12000020,1 2000021,12000022,12000023,12000024,12 000025,12000026,12000027,12000030,120 00034,12000037,12000041,12000041,1200 0042,12000043,12000045,12000052,12000 057,12000070		749.22	Riverine, Urban,	00000011	0000095 ,0000096 , 0000099 ,00000100 , 00000255 ,00000260 , 0000264 ,00000282 , 0000290 ,00000291 , 00000519 ,00000526 , 0001006 ,12002756 , 12002757 ,12002974 ,	Yes	100000		340	161	422	0	0	757	58.79999924	16557.19922			Υ	HDR Identification Process
1210001	51 12	San Antonio	Holistic Watershed based master planning consistent with Nature Based Solutions	This Flood Management Evaluation (FME) will fill the knowledge gap in the region on the benefits of NFMS for floodplains, flood peak attenuation, ecosystem services, groundwater recharge, and recreational value	12000013	Wilson,Bexar	12100301, 12100303, 12100304, 12110110, 12100302		12000001,12000002,12000003,12000004,1 2000005,12000006,12000007,12000008,12 000009,12000010,12000011,12000012,120 00013,120000129,12000055,12000056,1200 0063,12000064,12000066,12000069,1200 071,12000076,12000078,12000094,120001 04,12000105	Watershed Planning	505.2	Riverine, Urban,	00000282	00000084,00000260, 00000291,00000714, 00000758,00001608	Yes	2247403		7156	5573	41778	0	0	2760	194.1600037	1054.410034			Υ Ι	HDR Identification Process
1210001	52 12	San Antonio	29010 Tivoli Way	Utilize existing stormwater infrastructure by regrading the roadway to slope towards existing inlets and open channels on the north and south side of Windermere Dr on the east side of Fair Oaks Parkway. New curb installed along the west side of Fair Oak		Bexar	12100304	121003040103	12000063	Project Planning	0		12003327	00000007,00000255,000 00282,12002436	No	519760		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			Y	Halff Identification Process
1210001	53 12	San Antonio	Bexar County Line LWC Engineering Study	Engineering Study to evaluate twelve LWC upgrades at county line	12000030	Atascosa,Wilso n,Medina,Bexar ,Guadalupe,Ban dera,Comal,Ken dall	12100303, 12100304,			Project Planning	1253.25	Riverine, Urban,	00000007	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002512, 12002967	Yes	600000		11261	8306	52002	0	0	4535	353.0299988	7583.359863			Y	HDR Identification Process

Table 13. Potentially Feasible Flood Mitigation Projects Identified by RFPG

			tigation Projects Identifi	ed by RFPG																						
FMP ID	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	FMP Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC12s	Watersheds	Project Type	Project Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa, Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	d Estimated Project Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Area in 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain	Area in 500yr (0.2% annual chance) Floodplain		Habitable structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk	Critical	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)		Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)
123000001	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 1A - ADLER ROAD AT CURREY CREEK AND UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A	Improve low water crossings along Adler Road, channel regrading, curbs, sidewalks, street reconstruction	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	LWC upgrade	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	Y	1611124	- 0	0.00290875	3E-06	0	0	0	0	1	0	0.088	0
123000002	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 2 - UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A REGIONAL DETENTION FACILITY	Inline detention facility with culvert improvements	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	Detention Pond	0.03	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291	N	7013126	-0	0.004014	0.000536	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
123000003	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 3 - CURREY CREEK REGIONAL DETENTION FACILITY	Inline detention facility with additional stormdrain imporvements	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	Detention Pond	0.04	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	N	8908566	- 0	0.000686	0.000122	0	0	0	0	0	2	0.074000001	0
123000004	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 4 - SCHOOL STREET AT CIBOLO CREEK AND FREDERICK CREEK	Elevated bridge, channel grading, street reconstruction, curb, sidewalks, and driveways	12000034	Kendall	121003040101	12000058	LWC upgrade	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	Y	5022915	- 0	0.003936	8E-06	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.057	0
123000005	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 5D - OLD SAN ANTONIO STREET AT MENGER CREEK	Elevated bridge, channel grading, street reconstruction, curb, sidewalks, and driveways	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	Infrastructure	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	N	3506563	- 0	0.001633	0.000164	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.142000005	0
123000006	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 6 - JOHNS ROAD NEAR CIBOLO CROSSING SUBDIVISION	Storm drain, channel, increase capacity of existing detention	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040101	12000058	Storm Drain	0.01	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	N	1421580	- 0	0.00056	0.00056	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.045000002	0
123000007	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 7 - SCHWEPPE AND HICKMAN STREET	Storm drain, and channel improvments	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	Storm Drain	0.01	Riverine, Urban,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	N	1990212	-0	0.000207	0.00038	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
123000008	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 8 - JOHNS AND LOHMANN STREET	Storm drain and channel improvements	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040101	12000058	Storm Drain	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	N	1705896	-0	0.000165	0.001627	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
123000009	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 9 - UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A- SUBDIVISION FLOOD PROTECTION & MOBILITY PROJECT	Low water crossing improvemnts, channel improvements	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	LWC upgrade	0.01	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	Y	4833371	- 0	0.00502103	3.7E-05	0	0	0	0	1	4	0.067000002	0
123000010	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 10 - E. BLANCO ROAD AT UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A	Improve low water crossings along Blanco Road, channel regrading, curbs, sidewalks, street reconstruction	12000034	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	LWC upgrade	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	Y	1516352	- 0	0.000859	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0.052000001	0
123000011	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 11 - RIVER ROAD AT UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A		12000034	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	LWC upgrade	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	Y	1326808	- 0	0.001629	7.243E-05	0	0	0	0	1	2	0.064000003	0
123000012	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 13 - HERFF AND ESSER ROAD IMPROVEMENTS AT CURREY AND CIBOLO CREEK	Bridge at Currey Creek and Esser Road, Bridge at Cibolo Creek and River Road, Channel grading, Roadway reconstruction	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	Storm Drain	0.02	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	Y	14500113	- 0	0.020376001	0.00045854	3	0	38	0	3	4	0.709999979	0.01165
123000013	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 12 - PLANT CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT	Channel improvements	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	Channel	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	N	1232036	-0	0.000854	0.000321	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 13. Potentially Feasible Flood Mitigation Projects Identified by RFPG

Table 13. Po	tentially Fea	asible Flood Mi	tigation Projects Identifi	ied by RFPG																						
FMP ID	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	FMP Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC12s	Watersheds	Project Type	Project Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa, Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	d Estimated Project Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Area in 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain	Area in 500yr (0.2% annual chance) Floodplain		Habitable structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk	Critical	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)
123000014	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 14 - EAST BOERNE REGIONAL LID	Proposed inline extended detention facility that provides water quality benefits to the urbanized tributary of Cibolo Creek and properties downstream of Scenic Loop Road	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	Natural	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	N	663404	- 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
123000015	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 15 - NORTH CURREY CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	Channel regrading, curbs, sidewalks, street reconstruction. This project is dependent on projects 1A, 3, 12, and 13 being completed and Project 16 being implimented at the same time as this project to achieve the project benefits.	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	Channel	0.01	Riverine, Urban,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	Υ	663404	- 0	0.001359	1.03E-06	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.079999998	0
123000016	12	San Antonio	PROJECT 16 - SOUTH CURREY CREEK CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	Low water crossing improvements, channel improvements. This project is dependent on projects 1A, 3, 12, and 13 being completed and Project 15 being implimented at the same time as this project to achieve the project benefits.	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	121003040102	12000062	LWC upgrade	0.01	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,00000255,00000291,12002 855	N	1421580	- 0	0.008477	1.249E-05	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.079999998	0
123000017	12	San Antonio	Lewis Creek Alternative 1 Phase 1 & 2	Channel improvement, roadway improvement	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Comal	121003040105	12000061	Channel	0.1	Riverine,	0000014	00000014,00000255,00000291,00002 121,00002669	Y	6021778	- 0	0.080173999	0.0117823	3	3	2	0	1	2	0.147	0
123000018	12	San Antonio	Seeling Drainage Improvements	Install box culverts, grass lined channel construction	12000029, 12000030	Bexar	121003010202	12000010	Storm Drain	0.26	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255,00000282,12003 327	N	28367456	- 0	0.071857996	0	134	128	481	0	0	15	1.830000043	0
123000019	12	San Antonio	Lewis Creek Tributary 2 Alternative 1 & 2	Channel widening/lowering, culvert improvement, roadway improvement	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Comal	121003040105	12000061	Detention Pond	0.22	Riverine,	00000014	00000014,00000255,00000291,00002 669	N	2939381	- 0	0.009257	0.00436065	21	20	21	0	0	2	0.043000001	0.222395003
123000020	12	San Antonio	Lewis Creek Main	High water detection system. System includes warning signs, with flashers and automatic arm barricade.	12000005, 12000006	Comal	121003040105	12000061	Preparedness	0.1	Riverine,	00000014	00000014,00000255,00000291,00002 121,00002669	Υ	165184	- 0	0.080173999	0.0117823	3	3	2	0	1	2	0.147	0
123000021	12	San Antonio	Rock Creek - Alt 1	Reducing the height of the drop structure at the Olmos Creek outfall, Bridge replacements will be required for both the railroad crossing and West Ave.		Bexar	121003010201	12000008	Infrastructure	0.52	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255,00000282,00000 392,12002439,12003327	Υ	17640716	- 0	0.123999998	0.033797398	31	0	1097	0	2	5	1.75	0
123000022	12	San Antonio	Judson and Lookout LWC Improvement	Upgrade the low water crossings and the connecting/downstream channel	12000029, 12000030	Bexar	121003010104	12000004	LWC upgrade	0.03	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255,00000282,12003 327	Υ	6301204	- 0	0.004666	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0.143999994	0
123000023	12	San Antonio	Symphony Lane Voluntary Property Acquisition	Purchase 32 properties located west of the San Antonio River Symphony Reach, and along Pyron Ave and Symphony Lane.	12000025	Bexar	121003010203	12000011	Property Acquisition	0.42	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255,00000282,12003 327	Υ	33019314	- 0	0.239492998	0.00123992	45	42	175	0	3	4	1.24000001	1.681489944
123000024	12	San Antonio	Holbrook Road Improvements	Offset a portion of the roadway south of Woodburn Rd	12000033	Bexar	121003010105	12000002	Infrastructure	0.05	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255,00000282,12003 327	N	14608120	- 0	0.012145	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.148000002	0
123000025	12	San Antonio	Barbara Drive Drainage Improvements	Upsizing the boxes underneath Dellwood Drive and Oblate Drive. The improvements will also include reconstruction of the street and curb for the portion of Dellwood Drive and Oblate Drive within the project boundary	12000029, 12000030	Bexar	121003010201	12000008	Storm Drain	0.29	Riverine,	12003327	0000007,00000255,00000282,12003	Υ	27826948	- 0	0.065517999	0	87	74	474	1	1	16	1.950000048	0
123000026	12	San Antonio	Thames Drainage Channel Replacement - Alt 1	Replace the existing culverts at Blanco Rd., San Pedro Ave, Thames Dr, Private Dr and Dorsets.	12000029, 12000030	Bexar	121003010201	12000008	Storm Drain	0.19	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,00000255,00000282,00000 392,12002439,12003327	N	28990748	- 0	0.034044001	0.00492643	26	20	336	0	0	11	1.230000019	0
123000027	12	San Antonio	Shady Lane Dr.Voluntary Property Acquisition	This project consist primarily of property buyouts within the floodplain to mitigate structural flooding to those properties.	12000025	Bexar	121003020401	12000076	Property Acquisition	0	Riverine,	12003327	0000007,00000255,00000282,12003 327	N	1306982	- 0	0.003663	0.00092649	6	5	4	0	0	1	0.057	0
123000028	12	San Antonio	Concepcion Creek Improvements Project	Ph1. 54-ac detention, property acquisition and 10,000ft of storm drain systems and road reconstruction. Ph2. 1.36mi of Concepcion Creek channel improvements. Ph3. 2,300ft of (3)10x8 MBC systems	12000027 12000027	Bexar	121003010202 ,12100301020 3		Infrastructure	0.96	Riverine,	12003327	0000007,00000255,00000282,00000 392,12003327	Υ	240222000	None - 0	0.153999999	0.00364535	298	275	790	0	0	0	1.5	0

Table 13. Potentially Feasible Flood Mitigation Projects Identified by RFPG

	tentially Feas	sible Flood Mi	itigation Proj	ects Identifie	by RFPG	Dadustian	in Flood Diek						Dro Droject Loual of Carries	Doct Project Level of Comice	Cost/	Dorsont	Negative	Mogativa	Matar Cumple	Troffic Count	Donafit Cast	DEDC	Descen for
FMP ID	Number of structures with reduced 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Number of structures removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	500yr (0.2% annual	100yr (1% annual	Estimated Population removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Critical facilities removed from 100yr (1% annual	removed from 100yr (1%	Estimated reduction in road closure occurrences	Estimated length of roads removed from 100yr flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land removed from 100yr flood risk (acres)	Estimated reduction in fatalities (if available)	Estimated reduction in injuries (if available)	Pre-Project Level-of-Service	Post-Project Level-of-Service	Cost/ Structure removed	Percent Nature-based Solution (by cost)	Negative Impact (Y/N)	Negative Impact Mitigation (Y/N)	Water Supply Benefit (Y/N)		Benefit-Cost Ratio	RFPG Recommenda tion (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
123000001	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	10-year	100-year	4497	0	Υ	N	N	0	2.5	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000002	0	8	5	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	The project is expected remove 33 structure from 10-year floodplain, 59 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 8 structures from 100-year floodplain, and 5 structures from 500-year floodplain	The project is expected remove 33 structure from 10-year floodplain, 59 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 8 structures from 100-year floodplain, and 5 structures from 500-year floodplain	19577	0	Y	N	N	0	0.54	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000003	0	174	197	0	522	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	The project is expected to remove 118 structures from the 10-year floodplain, 162 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 174 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 197 structures from the 500-year floodplain	The project is expected to remove 118 structures from the 10-year floodplain, 162 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 174 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 197 structures from the 500-year floodplain	24868	0	Y	N	N	0	2.79	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000004	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	10-year	100-year	0	0	Υ	N	N	0	0.4	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000005	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10-year	100-year	0	0	Υ	N	N	0	0.5	Υ	Halff Identification Process
123000006	0	18	21	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	The project is expected to remove 11 structures from the 10-year floodplain, 15 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 18 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 21 structures from the 500-year floodplain	The project is expected to remove 11 structures from the 10-year floodplain, 15 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 18 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 21 structures from the 500-year floodplain	3968	0	Y	N	N	0	0.86	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000007	0	31	35	0	93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	The project is expected to remove 11 structures from the 10-year floodplain, 26 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 31 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 35 structures from the 500-year floodplain, and 35 structures from the	The project is expected to remove 11 structures from the 10-year floodplain, 26 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 31 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 35 structures from the 500-year floodplain	5556	0	Υ	N	N	0	0.82	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000008	0	12	15	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	The project is expected to remove 7 structures from the 10-year floodplain, 12 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 12 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 15 structures from the 500-year floodplain, and 15 structures from the	The project is expected to remove 7 structures from the 10-year floodplain, 12 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 12 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 15 structures from the 500- year floodplain	4762	0	Y	N	N	0	5.46	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000009	0	42	27	0	126	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	The project is expected to remove 46 structures from the 10-year floodplain, 59 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 42 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 27 structures from the 500-year floodplain	The project is expected to remove 46 structures from the 10-year floodplain, 59 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 42 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 27 structures from the 500-year floodplain	13492	0	Y	N	Ν	0	0.48	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000010	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10-year	100-year	4233	0	Υ	N	N	0	4.1	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000011	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10-year	100-year	3704	0	Υ	N	N	0	3.1	Υ	Halff Identification Process
123000012	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0.234044999	0	0	10-year	100-year	40476	0	Υ	N	N	0	1.7	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000013	0	6	7	7	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	structures from the 10-year floodplain, 4 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 6 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 4 structures from the 500-	The project is expected to remove 2 structures from the 10-year floodplain, 4 structures from the 50-year floodplain, 6 structures from the 100-year floodplain, and 4 structures from the 500-year floodplain, wear floodplain.	3439	0	Υ	N	N	0	0.4	Y	Halff Identification Process

	circiany i casi	ible Flood Will	igation Proje	cts Identifi	ed by RFPG																			
FMP ID	Number of	Number of		Habitable		ed Criti	cal	Number of		Estimated langth of	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Pre-Project Level-of-Service	Post-Project Level-of-Service	Cost/ Structure	Percent Nature-based	Negative Impact (Y/N)	Negative Impact		Traffic Count for Low Water		Recommenda	Reason for Recommendation
	structures with reduced				m removed	from remove	d from	crossings	reduction in road closure	roads	ranch land	fatalities (if	reduction in injuries (if			removed	Solution (by cost)		Mitigation (Y/N)		Crossings		tion (Y/N)	
	100yr (1% annual	100yr (1% annual chance) Flood	500yr (0.2% annual	100yr (1% annual	annua	al ann	ual	removed from 100yr (1%	occurrences	100yr flood risk (Miles)	removed from 100yr flood	available)	available)											
ľ	risk	risk	risk	risk	risk			annual chance) Flood risk (#)		risk (Milles)	risk (acres)													
								1130 (#)																
23000014	0	0	0	0	0	o		0	0	0	0	0	0	Unknown	Unknown	1852	0	Υ	N	N	0	0.6	Y	Halff Identification Process
														The project is expected to remove 151										
	_			_				_		_	_	_	_	structures from the 10-year floodplain, 196 structures from the 50-year	structures from the 10-year floodplain, 196						_			Halff Identification
23000015	0	216	237	0	648	0		3	0	0	0	0	0	floodplain, 216 structures from the 100 year	structures from the 100-year	1852	0	Y	N	N	0	1.33	Y	Process
														floodplain, and 237 structures from the 500-year floodplain	500-year floodplain									
					1									The project is expected to remove 151 structures from the 10-year floodplain,	The project is expected to remove 151		1							
														196 structures from the 50-year	structures from the 50-year floodplain, 216									Halff Identification
23000016	0	216	237	0	648	0		1	0	0	0	0	0	floodplain, 216 structures from the 100 year	structures from the 100-year	3968	0	Υ	N	N	0	1.33	Y	Halff Identification Process
														floodplain, and 237 structures from the 500-year floodplain and improve LOS	500-year floodolain and improve LOS from									
														from 10-year to 100-ye	, , , , ,									11-16-14-17
23000017	0	12	0	0	36	O		0	0	0	0	0	0	Unknown	Unknown	151896	0	Υ	N	N	0	0.11	Y	Halff Identification Process
					-																			
23000018	0	396	0	0	1188	0		0	0	2	0	0	0	Unknown	Reduction in 100 year flooding	0	0	Υ	N	N	0	0.62	Y	Halff Identification Process
23000019	0	15	0	0	45	0		0	0	0	0.222395003	0	0	Unknown	Unknown	70242	0	Y	N	N	0	0.19	Y	Halff Identification
2000013	J	13	J		43				J		3.222333003	5	J	Onkilowii	GIRIOWII	70242		'	IN	14		0.15		Process
23000020	0	12	0	0	36	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	Unknown	Unknown	4167	0	Υ	N	N	0	0	Y	Halff Identification Process
																								Halff Identification
23000021	0	14	0	0	42	0		2	0	3	0	0	0	Less than the 100 year	100 year	0	0	Y	N	N	0	0.1	Y	Process
					-		+										1							
23000022	0	0	0	0	0	o		0	0	0	0	0	0	Less than 100 year	100 year	5665140	0	Υ	N	N	0	0.9	Y	Halff Identification
																								Process
23000023	0	28	0	0	84	0		0	0	2	5.04445982	0	0	Unknown	Unknown	0	0	Υ	N	N	0	0.4	Y	Halff Identification Process
																				1				
	_	_	_						_	_			_								_			Halff Identification
23000024	0	0	0	0	0	O		1	0	0	0	0	0	Less than 100 year	100 year	0	0	Y	N	N	0	0.01	Y	Process
																	1			+				
															Convey the 25 year and reduce the 100									Halff Identification
23000025	0	18	0	0	54	0		0	0	2	0	0	0	Less than the 25 year	year	682837	0	Υ	N	N	0	0.04	Y	Halff Identification Process
23000026	0	23	0	20	69	0		2	0	1	0	0	0	Less than the 100 year	At least the 100 year	0	0	Υ	N	N	0	0.03	Y	Halff Identification Process
																	1							
																								Halff Identification
23000027	0	6	0	0	18	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	Unknown	Unknown	0	0	Y	N	N	0	0.2	Y	Process
							+										1			+				
23000028	2772	2335	1592	1251	3753	a		0	0	1	0	0	0	Less than the 100 year	100 year	87461	0	N	N	N	0	0.1	Y	HDR Identification
23000028	2112	2333	1927	1251	3/53			U	U	1	U	U	U	ress man me 100 year	100 year	0/401	U	IN	IN IN	IN		0.1	,	Process

Table 14. Poli	entially Feas	sible Flood IV	lanagement Strategies Identified b	у керд																			Floor	d Risk			
FMS ID	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	FMS Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Project Type	Strategy Project Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Moncanital	Esitimated Total Stategy Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount		Area in 500yr (0.2% annual chance) Floodplain		Habitable structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk	Critical facilities at flood risk (#)	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	road closures	Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles) Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)
122000001	12	San Antonio	Study the San Antonio River and its tributes	When the San Antonio River floods, the city is cutoff from the rest of the county (hospital and EMS) with islands Isating over a week. Install stream gauges and develop a study to identify solutions to flooding. SARA completed a study but County official	12000007	Karnes	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30202	12000027,12000030	Regulatory and Guidance	0.91	Riverine, Urban,	12002974	00000095 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12002974	N	0	250000	- 0	0.439664006	0.080706	37	19	53	0	0	21	1 27.72999954
122000002	12	San Antonio	San Antonio River drainage ownership study	Develop ownership and access understanding parcels fronting the San Antonion River and major tributaries to have better agreements and access to areas that need flood control mitigation and erosion control	12000001	Karnes	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30202	12000027,12000030	Education and Outreach	0.91	Riverine, Urban,	12002974	00000095 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12002974	N	0	30000	- 0	0.439664006	0.080706	37	19	53	0	0	21	1 27.72999954
122000003	12	San Antonio	San Antonio River drainage ownership mapping	Develop ownership and access understanding parcels fronting the San Antonion River and major tributaries to have better agreements and access to areas that need flood control mitigation and erosion control	12000001	Karnes	12100303	121003030401,1210030 30402,121003030403,1 21003030205,12100303 0206	12000020,12000021,12000022,12000034,12000037	Education and Outreach	2.31	Riverine,	12002756	00000095,00000255,00000282, 00000519,12002756	N	0	30000	- 0	0.079442002	0.014419	6	5	159	0	0	5	0 0.69999988
122000004	12	San Antonio	San Antonio River drainage ownership mapping	Develop ownership and access understanding parcels fronting the San Antonion River and major tributaries to have better agreements and access to areas that need flood control mitigation and erosion control	12000001	Karnes	12100303	121003030402	12000021	Education and Outreach	3.67	Riverine, Urban,	12002975	00000095,00000255,00000282, 00000519,12002975	N	0	30000	- 0	0.404747993	0.164841995	42	24	59	0	0	21	0 6.010000229
122000005	12	San Antonio	San Antonio River drainage ownership mapping	Develop ownership and access understanding parcels fronting the San Antonion River and major tributaries to have better agreements and access to areas that need flood control mitigation and erosion control	12000001	Karnes	12100303	121003030306,1210030 30404	12000016,12000023	Education and Outreach	1.18	Riverine, Urban,	12002757	00000095,00000255,00000282, 00001006,12002757	N	0	30000	- 0	0.051291	0.00469	4	3	14	0	0	4	0 1.090000033
122000006	12	San Antonio	Strengthen floodplain management ordinances	Adopt higher floodplain standards for new development	12000021, 12000022	Wilson	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30105	12000027,12000035	Regulatory and Guidance	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003181	Υ	0	25000	- 0	0.322614014	0.052650001	69	50	100	0	5	25	2 9.760000229
122000007	12	San Antonio	Education Signage	Install educational signage such as "Turn around don't drown" at high risk low water crossings.	12000005	Wilson	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30105	12000027,12000035	Education and Outreach	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003181	Y	0	5000	- 0	0.322614014	0.052650001	69	50	100	0	5	25	2 9.760000229
122000008	12	San Antonio	Digital signage for communication	Coordinate with school district to use sign on US 181 for emergency info and safety directions during hazard events.	12000005	Wilson	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30105	12000027,12000035	Education and Outreach	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003181	Υ	0	5000	- 0	0.322614014	0.052650001	69	50	100	0	5	12	2 9.760000229
122000009	12	San Antonio	Early warning system education	Alert the population through education material, media and other methods about enrolling in the early warning system	12000001	Wilson	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30105	12000027,12000035	Education and Outreach	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003181	Y	0	5000	- 0	0.322614014	0.052650001	69	50	100	0	5	25	2 9.760000229
122000010	12	San Antonio	Development of a Streamscaping Program for Flood Risk Management in Texas	Increase the number of public outreach and education activities to improve awareness of flood hazards and benefits of flood planning in the Flood Planning Region. Promote nature-based solution training	12000014	Wilson,Bexar	12100301,121 00303,121003 04,12110110, 12100302	В	1200001,1200002,1200003,1200004,1200005, 1200006,1200007,1200008,1200009,1200010, 12000011,1200007,12000003,1200029,1200055, 1200065,1200065,1200064,1200065,1200065, 1200005,1200067,12000078,12000094,12000104, 12000105	and Outreach	505.2	Riverine, Urban,	0000007	00000100,00000255,00000282, 12003181	Υ	0	129000	- 0	57.54629898	2.096080065	7156	5561	41778	73	78	1237	194 956.4500122
122000011	12	San Antonio	Automatic low water crossings and gauges	Add automatic low water crossings and gauges at various locations, providing real time flood information to the region. This would include development of a plan to identify locations, followed by installation.	12000005		12100304,121 1 00201,121003 02		12000058,12000062,12000063,12000095,12000096	Flood Measurement and Warning	660.51	Riverine, Urban,	00000017	0000007, 0000017, 00000022, 00000255, 00000282, 00000291, 00000257, 00000339, 00000936, 12000937, 12001324, 12002226, 12002367, 12002436, 12002855	Y	0	100000	- 0	6.969930172	0.826269984	628	398	1812	5	21	147	12 42.7299954

Table 14. Po	tentially Fea	asible Flood N	Nanagement Strategies Identified I	by RFPG	1			_																			
FMS ID	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	FMS Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds		Strategy roject Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Nonrecurring, Noncapital Cost (\$)	Total Statemy	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Area in 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain	(0.2% annual		Habitable structures at flood risk		Critical	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)		Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles) Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)
122000012	12	San Antonio	Update flood information and policies	Identify and compile information on flood hazard areas and residential property in flood zones, establish and implement a volunteer acquisition / elevation program based on FEMA protocol in association with SARA studies, and review permitting process bas	12000021, 12000022	Karnes	12100303	121003030402	12000021	Regulatory and Guidance	3.67	Riverine, Urban,	12002975	0000095,00000255,00000282, 00000519,12002975	N	0	100000	- 0	0.404747993	0.164841995	42	24	59	0	0	22	0 6.010000229
122000013	12	San Antonio	Shelter requirement for RV parks	Adopt and implement an ordinance to require RV Parks to provide shelter facilities.	12000005	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,G oliad,Karnes	3 12100406 121	3 L	12000014,12000016,12000019,12000020,12000021, 12000022,12000023,12000024,12000025,12000026, 12000027,12000030,12000034,12000037,12000040, 12000041,12000042,12000043,12000045,12000052,	Regulatory	749.22	Riverine, Urban,	00000095	0000095, 0000096, 0000099, 00000100, 00000255, 00000260, 00000264, 00000382, 00000290, 00000291, 00000519, 00000526, 0001006, 12002756, 12002757, 12002974, 12002975	N	0	10000	- 0	120.5579987	17.8220005	336	161	422	0	19	757	59 14495,40039
122000014	12	San Antonio	Public Education & Outreach	Create a program to educate the public about specific mitigation actions for flooding hazards	12000001, 12000012	Medina	12100302	121003020501,1210030 20503	12000081,12000108	Education and Outreach	0.63	Riverine,	12002954	0000005,00000255,12002954	N	0	35000	- 0	0.252743989	0.026970999	170	133	263	0	5	23	4 1.330000043
122000015	12	San Antonio	Public education and outreach	Implement public education and outreach programs to educate citizens about mitigation against (flood) hazards; seek partnership with county neighboring communities and San Antonio River Authority.	12000001	Wilson	12100304	121003040304,1210030 40302	12000053,12000056	Education and Outreach	1.72	Riverine, Urban,	12003180	00000100,00000255,00000282, 00000392,12001595,12003180	N	0	5000	- 0	0.702579975	0.098123997	153	101	568	0	0	26	4 62.15999985
122000016	12	San Antonio	Citizen flood education outreach	Educate citizens about mitigation strategies prior to any flood conditions, including dangers of debris flooding roads and how to best floodproof homes and businesses.	12000001	Wilson	12100303	121003030102,1210030 30103	12000028,12000033	Education and Outreach	7.7	Riverine, Urban,	12002925	00000100,00000255,00000282, 12000592,12002925	N	0	10000	- 0	1.414610028	0.209141999	107	63	161	3	2	31	4 74.56999969
122000017	12	San Antonio	Updating floodplain ordinances and development code	Updating floodplain ordinances and development code	12000011	Wilson	12100304	121003040304,1210030 40302	12000053,12000056	Regulatory and Guidance	1.72	Riverine, Urban,	12003180	00000100,00000255,00000282, 00000392,12001595,12003180	N	0	50000	- 0	0.702579975	0.098123997	153	101	568	0	0	26	4 62.15999985
122000019	12	San Antonio	Conservation Easement Program	Develop a Conservation Easement Program.	12000021	Medina,Bexa	12110107,121 r 10109,121003 02	121101070108,1211010 90101,121003020307,1 21003020501,12100302 0304,121003020305,12 1003020502,121003020 503	12000075,12000081,12000099,12000100,12000107, 12000108	Regulatory and Guidance	69.34	Riverine,	0000005	0000005,0000255,0000290, 00000299,12002954,12003377	N	0	50000	-0	11.1019001	6.285729885	362	255	444	1	25	292	15 2208.25
122000020	12	San Antonio	City of Floresville Floodplain Ordinance and Development Code Update	Create a floodplain ordinance and update development code	12000011	Wilson	12100303	121003030102,1210030 30103	12000028,12000033	Regulatory and Guidance	7.7	Riverine, Urban,	12002925	00000100,00000255,00000282, 12000592,12002925	Y	0	100000	- 0	1.414610028	0.209141999	107	63	161	3	2	31	4 74.56999969

Table 14. Po	tentially Feas	ible Flood M	anagement S	trategies Ider	ntified by RFP														
					_	Reduction is													
FMS ID	Number of structures with reduced 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Number of structures removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Number of structures removed from 500yr (0.2% annual chance) Flood risk	Habitable structures removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Estimated Population removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Critical facilities removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk (#)	Number of low water crossings removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk (#)	Estimated reduction in road closure occurrences	Estimated length of roads removed from 100yr flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land removed from 100yr flood risk (acres)	Estimated reduction in fatalities (if available)	Estimated reduction in injuries (if available)	Cost/ Structure removed	Consideration of Nature- based Solution (Y/N)	Negative Impact (Y/N)	Negative Impact Mitigation (Y/N)	Water Supply Benefit (Y/N)	RFPG Recommenda tion (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
122000001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process
122000002	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process
122000003	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process
122000004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process
122000005	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process
122000006	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process
122000007	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process
122000008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process
122000009	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process
122000010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	Y	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process
122000011	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process

2 of 2

Table 14. Po	tentially Feas	ible Flood M	anagement S	trategies Ider	ntified by RFP														
						Reduction is	n Flood Risk												
FMS ID	Number of structures with reduced 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Number of structures removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Number of structures removed from 500yr (0.2% annual chance) Flood risk	Habitable structures removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Estimated Population removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Critical facilities removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk (#)	Number of low water crossings removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk (#)	Estimated reduction in road closure occurrences	Estimated length of roads removed from 100yr flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land removed from 100yr flood risk (acres)	Estimated reduction in fatalities (if available)	Estimated reduction in injuries (if available)	Cost/ Structure removed	Consideration of Nature- based Solution (Y/N)	Negative Impact (Y/N)	Negative Impact Mitigation (Y/N)	Water Supply Benefit (Y/N)	RFPG Recommenda tion (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
122000012	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	Halff Identification Process
122000013	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	HDR Identification Process
122000014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	HDR Identification Process
122000015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	HDR Identification Process
122000016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	HDR Identification Process
122000017	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Υ	HDR Identification Process
122000019	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	HDR Identification Process
122000020	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	N	N	N	Y	HDR Identification Process

Table 15. Flood Management Evaluations Recommended by RFPG

Table 15.	Flood Management Evaluations Recomm	mended by RFPG			1		1	1			1	1	1	
FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s HUC12s^	Watershed Names	FME Study Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities Oversight	Emergen cy Need	Etimate d Study Cost	RFPG Recommendati on	Reason for Recommendation
12100000 1	Study the San Antonio River, Ojo de Agua Creek and its tributaries	Install steam gauges and develop a study to identify solutions to flooding. Implement engineering findings to reduce and mitigate risks.	12000007, 12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Karnes	12100303030 12100303 6,121003030 404	12000016,12000023	1.18	Riverine, Urban,	120027 57	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00001006, 12002757	No	250000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100000 2	7820 Rolling Acres Trail	Low water crossing. Road closure gate is deployed at this crossing during large storm events.	12000033	Kendall	12100304 12100304010	12000063	0		120024 36	00000017,00000255, 00000291,12002436	No	804293	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100000 3	7900 Fair Oaks Parkway	Analysis needed to confirm no adverse impacts on the solution that was implemented.	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Bexar	12100304 12100304010	12000063	0		120024 36	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002436	No	60282	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100000 4	Ammann Road Low Water Crossing	Low water crossing runs over the street due to insufficient culverts that pass und er Ammann Road. Replacing the current road with an elevated concrete bridge above the flood stage.	12000033	Kendall	12100304 12100304010	12000063	0		120024 36	00000017,00000255, 00000291	No	1E+06	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100000 5	7420 Rolling Acres Trail Low Water Crossing	Low Water crossing moves toward home on Meadow Creek Trail. Road Closure gate is deployed at this crossing during large storm events.	12000033	Kendall	12100304 12100304010 3	12000063	0	Riverine,	120024 36	00000017,00000255, 00000291,12002436	No	1E+06	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100000 6	8402 Battle Intense Low Water Crossing	Battle intense is often shut down in large rain events. Debris collects and damages this low water crossing	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Bexar	12100304 12100304010	12000063	0	Riverine,	120024 36	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002436	No	4E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100000 7	Battle Intense LWC Flow-activated Sensors	Add flow-activated sensors and automated drop-down arms to close off a road when the water has surpassed the road.	12000005	Bexar,Comal	12100304 12100304010	12000063	0	Riverine,	120024 36	00000007,00000014, 00000255,00000282, 00000291,12002436	Yes	179792	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100000 8	Rolling Acres Trail LWC Flow-activated Sensors	Add flow-activated sensors and automated drop-down arms to close off a road when the water has surpassed the road.	12000005	Kendall	12100304 12100304010 3	12000063	0.01	Riverine,	120024 36	00000017,00000255, 00000291,12002436	No	359585	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100000 9	Karnes Hwy at Escondido Creek	Raise bridge on Hwy and channel expansion on 181/5th in Kenedy	12000029	Karnes	12100303	12000021	0.11	Riverine,	000002 82	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	No	417398	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100001 0	Damage Center 1 Project1 – Detention in East Branch Poth Creek	Storage in this area would reduce downstream flooding and remove existing structures from the FEMA floodplain	12000029, 12000030	Wilson	12100303 12100303020 4	12000027	0	Riverine,	120031 81	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003181	No	2E+06	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100001 1	D/O Center M(HWY 1604 East of Somerset Community)	Oak Island Drainage Improvements. Culvert upgrades at two locations on Oak Island Dr and 1604 with channel work.	12000029, 12000030	Bexar	12100302 12100302050 8	12000093	0.56	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000290, 00000392,12003327	No	5E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100001 2	Damage Center 1 (Stockdale Creek)	Stockdale Creek Stream Restoration with a natural channel design	12000029, 12000030	Wilson	12100304 12100304040	12000060	0.02	Riverine,	120031 82	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003182	Yes	4E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100001	Karnes County Damage Centers Karnes A	Multiple structures at risk Within San Antonio River at US 181	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Karnes	12100303	12000030	0	Riverine,	120029 74	00000095 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12002974	No	4E+06	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100001 4	Karnes County Damage Centers Karnes B	Multiple structures at risk Within Marcelinas Creek at US 181	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Karnes	12100303	12000027	0	Riverine,	120029 74	00000095 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12002974	No	4E+06	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100001 5	Master Drainage Plan	A detailed drainage study of the city of Selma	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Bexar,Guadalupe,Coma I	12100304020 12100304 1,121003040 202	12000066,12000069	5.02	Riverine, Urban,	120033 27	00000007,00000010, 00000014,00000255, 00000282,00000291, 00001485,12002512, 00002671,12002967, 12003258,12003327	Yes	577600	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100001 6	Antonio Drive Drainage Improvements	Bridge at Los Reyes Creek and Antonio Dr	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Bexar	12100302 12100302040 4	12000103	0	Riverine,	120030 02	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003002	No	3E+06	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100001 7	French Creek at Guilbeau Road NWWC	A basic trapezoidal channel with side slopes of 3:1, representing an earthen channel	12000029	Bexar	12100302 12100302040 2	12000078	0.1	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003327	No	1E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100001 8	Huebner Creek Flood Control Project Segment 1	The channel will be widened to 50" in front of Raymond Rimkus Park (6440 Evers Road) and then widened more from the park to the bridge.	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Bexar	12100302 12100302040 5	12000104	0.07	Riverine,	120025 11	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002511	Yes	2E+07	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100001 9	DC19: Salado Creek Tributary B	Improvement on IH 10 culvert crossing to reduce peak flood stages upstream of IH 10 channel improvements downstream of IH 10 to prevent peak flood stage increase	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301010 5	12000002	0.06	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	2E+07	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100002 0	LWC#41 Vance Jackson 200ft south of Scenic	Low Water Crossing needs Bridge/Culvert Improvements with possible advanced warning signals. Associated street reconstruction to include curbs, sidewalks, and driveway approaches be incorporated into the project.	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000008	0.01		120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	1E+06	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100002 1	LWC 112.1 Pvt Rd. 300' North of Marbcah Rd.	Project consists of channel improvements and an outfall to Slick Creek to alleviate street flooding. Channel improvements include installing 10x4 MBC along the channel to improve flow at this portion of Slick Creek.	12000029	Bexar	12100302 12100302040 5	12000104	0.1		120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	100000	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100002 2	LWC 100, Blakeley Area Drainage Improvement	This option consists of upsizing the Blakeley crossing to (3) 6'x3' RCB and providing a 7' bottom width concrete trap channel with 3:1 side slopes upstream of the crossing.	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301010 5	12000002	0	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	672778	Y	Halff Identification Process

Table 15. Flood Management Evaluations Recommended by RFPG

Table 15.	Flood Management Evaluations Recomn	nended by RFPG	1		1						1	1		
FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s HUC12s^	Watershed Names	FME Study Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities Oversight	Emergen cy Need	Etimate d Study Cost	RFPG Recommendati on	Reason for Recommendation
12100002	LWC157 New Sulphur Springs Rd – East of Beck Rd	The proposed project will install 4-10' x 9' MBC at the LWC and reconstruct the portion of New Sulphur Springs Rd. affected by the culvert installation. The proposed street reconstruction will not include sidewalks or curbs.	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301030	12000009	0.01	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12001595,12003327	Yes	942748	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100002 4	LWC#156 New Sulphur Springs Rd – btwn S. Foster & Gardner	The proposed project will replace the existing culvert system with a bridge approximately 1500' in length. The proposed bridge will span two streams at this location	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301030 2	12000009	0.01	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12001595,12003327	Yes	2E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100002 5	LWC #159.1 Southton Rd	The proposed project will replace the existing culvert system with a bridge approximately 1500' in length.	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000013	0.01	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003327	Yes	6E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100002 6	LWC #34 Sleepy Hollow @ Sunburst	This project requires the placement culverts or a bridge to eliminate a low water crossing . Street Reconstruction includes driveway approaches, curbs, and sidewalks as required.	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000008	0.02	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	5E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100002 7	Damage Center 43-Olmos Creek Middle Reach near DeZavala	The depth of flooding for the 100-year event ranges between 0.10 and 3.82 feet, therefore, buyouts do not appear to be a practical solution	12000025	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000008	0.26	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003000	No	9E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100002	Damage Center 4- Apache Creek	Majority of the flooding is caused by the undersized culverts downstream of West Woodlawn, providing addition of box culverts will provide adequate capacity to the existing storm drain system	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000010	0.14	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003327	Yes	2E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100002 9	Apache Creek & Elmendorf Lake Dam	The Elmendorf Lake Dam area is prone to flooding and will require an extensive drainage project to mitigate the floodplain. A Preliminary Engineering Report (PER) will need to be provided to assess a feasible solution	12000013	Bexar	12100301 2 12100301020	12000010	0.61	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	350000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100003 0	Cibolo Creek Tributary 19 Mapping Improvements	Alternative Anylsis and Project recommendation	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Comal	12100304010 12100304 5,121003040 104	12000061,12000064	0.82	Riverine,	000026 69	00000014,00000255, 00000291,00002121, 00002669	No	100000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100003 1	Indian Creek Mapping Improvements	Alternative Anylsis and Project recommendation	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Comal	12100304010 12100201, 4,121002010 12100304 404,1210020 10401	12000064	13.08	Riverine,	000026 69	00000014,00000255, 00000291,00002669	Yes	100000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100003 2	Inventory of residences in floodplain	Identify residential structures that are located in flood zones or high hazard areas and develop plan and implement a program for floodproofing or acquistion.	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Karnes	12100303020 12100303 4,121003030 202	12000027,12000030	0.91	Riverine, Urban,	120029 74	00000095 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12002974	No	50000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100003	Update flood information and policies	Identify and compile information on flood hazard areas and residential property in flood zones, establish and implement a volunteer acquisition / elevation program based on FEMA protocol in association with SARA studies, and review permitting process bas	12000021, 12000022	Karnes	12100303040 1,121003030 402,12100303 30403,12100 3030205,121 003030206	12000020,12000021,12000022,120000 34,12000037	2.31	Riverine,	000000	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002756	No	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100003 4	Inventory of residences in floodplain	Identify residential structures that are located in flood zones or high hazard areas and develop plan and implement a program for floodproofing or acquistion.	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Karnes	12100303 12100303040 2	12000021	3.67	Riverine, Urban,	120029 75	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	No	50000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100003 5	Mitigate local flooding in identified problem areas	Identify problem flooding areas and implement a program to reduce loaclized flooding	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Wilson	12100303 12100303 4,121003030 105	12000027,12000035	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	120031 81	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003181	Yes	5000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100003 6	Develop and implement a Stormwater Management Plan for Stockdale Creek	Stockdale Creek, sa tributary of Clinton Branch which flows into Cibolo Creek, does not have sufficient capacity to contain floodwater as it flows through the center of Stockdale. The railroad on the east side of town used to act as a levee, but when it	12000013, 12000014	Wilson	12100304 12100304040	12000060	1.68	Riverine, Urban,	120031 82	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003182	Yes	1E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100003 7	Update flood information and policies	Identify and compile information on flood hazard areas and residential property in flood zones, establish and implement a volunteer acquisition / elevation program based on FEMA protocol in association with SARA studies, and review permitting process bas	12000021, 12000022	Karnes	12100303020 12100303 4,121003030 202	12000027,12000030	0.91	Riverine, Urban,	120029 74	00000095 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12002974	No	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100003 8	Inventory of residences in floodplain	Identify residential structures that are located in flood zones or high hazard areas and develop plan and implement a program for floodproofing or acquistion.	12000011, 12000013, 12000014	Karnes	12100303040 1,121003030 402,12100303 30403,12100 3030205,121 003030206	12000020,12000021,12000022,120000 34,12000037	2.31	Riverine,	000000 95	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002756	No	50000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100003 9	Update flood information and policies	Identify and compile information on flood hazard areas and residential property in flood zones, establish and implement a volunteer acquisition / elevation program based on FEMA protocol in association with SARA studies, and review permitting process bas	12000021, 12000022	Karnes	12100303030 12100303 6,121003030 404	12000016,12000023	1.18	Riverine, Urban,	120027 57	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00001006, 12002757	No	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process

Table 15. Flood Management Evaluations Recommended by RFPG

Proof Proo	Table 15.	Flood Management Evaluations Recomm	nended by RFPG	, ,				,	,						
1995 Part Anthony Part	FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s HUC12s^	Watershed Names	Area	Risk	Sponsor	Entities Oversight		d Study	Recommendati	Reason for Recommendation
The content of the		Install early warning systems	and availability, power requirements, telemetry requirements, technology, cost, and other local considerations. Based on study findings, install an emergency	12000013,	Wilson	12100303 4,121003030	12000027,12000035	3.18				Yes	100000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
1.00000	12100004 1		stream gauges and identify alternatives to mitigate flooding. Implement study	12000005	Wilson	12100303 4,121003030	12000027,12000035	3.18				Yes	250727	Υ	Halff Identification Process
Discosory Improvements to vostewater Leading informer pathwising with switch and the size of the west box was a contract of the visit of the west box was a contract of the visit of th	12100004 2	Build Detention Pond	land and construct a drainage infrustructure facility in accordance with the	12000013,	Wilson	12100303 4,121003030	12000027,12000035	3.18	-	l l		Yes	203952	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12000006 American design on this hard sith Street Complete file feed with profile of the f			funding. Internal plumbing was buried and the size of the weir box was increased. Funding and improvements are still needed to connect 2 and 3 and	12000030,	Wilson	12100304 12100304040	12000060	1.68				Yes	852326	Υ	Halff Identification Process
Secret Company Subside property with a property of the company	12100004 4	New Bridges on 6th and 8th Streets	Creek. Lift elevation profile of the two bridges that provide access to critical facilities and services within the city as well as access from the City to the		Wilson	12100304 12100304040	12000060	1.68				Yes	651454	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100006 Rad 1000072 1200073 Remdall 12100063 Remdall 12		•	·		Wilson	12100304 12100304040	12000060	1.68				Yes	2E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
The Set of Notice For Control of Tournet of Control of Control of Tournet of Control of Tournet of Control o	12100004 6	7840 Silver Spur Trail	passing under Keeneland then to the Cibolo Creek Post Oak Creek low water	12000033	Kendall	12100304 12100304010	12000063	0				No	809434	Υ	Halff Identification Process
1/200005 Center A (10) Pearsal richout a fixed by Company (10) Pearsal richout a f	12100004 7	8410 Noble Lark Dr			Bexar	12100304 12100304010	12000063	0				No	329349	Υ	Halff Identification Process
9 Crossing at U.S. Highway 181 Characteristics adjacent homes. 12000030 Wilson 12000030 Wils	12100004 8	•		12000013,	Bexar	12100302 12100302050	12000106	0.04	Riverine,	l l		No	2E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100005 Damage Center 2-Project 1 Culvert Improvements at Menchaca During a large storm event, access to and from residences adjacent to Mosspoint 12000034 12100303 12100303020 12000035 0 Riverine, 120031 00000100, 00000255, No 276877 V Halff Id 12100005 Damage Center 2-Project 2 Road connection To from Mosspoint to Sunshine Street Is compromised 12000034 12000034 12000035 120000005 12000035 120000005 12000005 12000005 12000005 120000					Wilson	12100303	12000027	0	Riverine,			No	2E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
1 from Mosspoint to Sunshine Street is compromised 1200034 Wilson 12100303 4 12000027 Use of the part of the					Wilson	1 12100303 1	12000035	0	Riverine,	l l		No	276877	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100005 Damage Center 2 (South Tributary to Stockdale Creek) Detention South Tributary to Stockdale Creek near the eastern city limit 12000030 121000300 121000300 121000300 121000000 121000000 121000000 121000000 1210000000 1210000000 1210000000 1210000000 1210000000 1210000000 1210000000 1210000000 1210000000 1210000000000	12100005 1				Wilson	12100303	12000027	0				No	198959	Υ	Halff Identification Process
Parrigin Road Drainage Improvements 3 Parrigin Road Drainage Improvements 12100005 3 Parrigin Road Drainage Improvements 12100001 12100005 Detailed Study of Unnamed Trib 3 to Helotes Creek 12100305 Detailed Study of Unnamed Trib 3 to Helotes Creek 12100305 Detailed Study of Culebra Creek Trib C Detailed Study of Culebra Creek Trib C Doheny at FM 1560, and FM 1560. A detailed hydrologic and hydraulic study is needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000011, 12000012, 12100005 Detailed Study of Culebra Creek Trib C Doheny at FM 1560, and FM 1560. A detailed hydrologic and hydraulic study is needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000013, 12000014 Bexar 12100302 12100302040 3 12000103 Detailed Study of Culebra Creek Trib C Doheny at FM 1560, and FM 1560. A detailed hydrologic and hydraulic study is needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000014 Bexar 12100302 12100302040 3 12000102 Doheny at FM 1560, and FM 1560. A detailed hydrologic and hydraulic study is needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000014 Bexar 12100302 12100302040 3 12000102 Doheny at FM 1560, and FM 1560. A detailed hydrologic and hydraulic study is needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000014 Bexar 12100302 12100302040 3 12000102 Doheny at FM 1560, and FM 1560. A detailed hydrologic and hydraulic study is needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000014 Bexar 12100302 12100302040 12100302040 12100302040 12100303030 12000102 12100303030 1200016,12000023 1.18 Riverine, 120027 00000087, 10000295, No 00000087, 10000007, 00000255, No 00000287, 1000007, 00000255, No 00000087, 100000087, 1000007, 00000255, No 00000087, 1000007, 00000255, No 00000087, 1000007, 00000255, No 0000087, 1000007, 00000255, No 00000087, 1000007, 00000255, No 0000087, 100007, 00000255, No 0000087, 1000007, 00000255, No 0000087, 10000			Detention South Tributary to Stockdale Creek near the eastern city limit		Wilson	12100304 12100304040 1	12000060	0.03	Riverine,			No	660768	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100005 Creek C	12100005 3	Parrigin Road Drainage Improvements		12000013,	Bexar	12100302 12100302040	12000103	0	Riverine,			No	1E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100005 Detailed Study of Culebra Creek Trib C Doheny at FM 1560, and FM 1560. A detailed hydrologic and hydraulic study is needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000013, needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000014 12000014 12000015, needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000013, needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000013, needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000013, needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000014, needed to determine appropriate drainage improvements 12000013, needed to determine appropriate drainage impr	12100005 4			12000013,	Bexar	12100302	12000103	0.02	Riverine,			Yes	40000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100005		Detailed Study of Culebra Creek Trib C	Doheny at FM 1560, and FM 1560. A detailed hydrologic and hydraulic study is	12000013,	Bexar	12100302 12100302040 3	12000102	0.15	Riverine,			Yes	65000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
	12100005 6	Inventory of residences in floodplain		12000013,	Karnes	12100303 6,121003030	12000016,12000023	1.18			00000282,00001006,	No	50000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
	12100005 7	French Creek RSWF	An on-channel RSWF provides approximately 150 acre-feet of storag	12000029	Bexar	12100302	12000078	0.03	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003327	No	2E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100005 Culebra Creek Tributary A at Tezel Road 12100302040 121003 0000007 00000255		•	Increasing the flow area by widening the channel and increasing its side slope	12000029	Bexar	12100302	12000103	0.18	Riverine,		00000007,00000255,	No	9E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100005 Helptes Creek at Bandera Road Enhanced Channel modifications were designed as a basic transgoidal channel with side		Helotes Creek at Bandera Road Enhanced		12000029	Bexar	12100302	12000103	0.18	Riverine,	120033	00000007,00000255,	No	3E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100302040 120033 0000007 00000255		·	·	12000029	Bexar	12100302	12000103	0.42	Riverine,	120033	00000007,00000255,	Yes	9E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100302040 This project includes proposed Flood Protection Barrier between Ingram Boad 2 12100302040 12100302040 12100302040 12100302040 12100302040 12100302040	12100006 1	Hubner Creek Flood Protection Barier		12000029	Bexar	12100302 2,121003020 404,1210030	12000078,12000103,12000104	0.57	Riverine,	120033	00000007,00000255,	Yes	4E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100006 Approximately 4 /87 feet of channel improvements as well as constructing two	12100006	Damage Center 5-Salado Creek Trib F		12000029	Bexar	12100201010	12000004	0.96	Riverine,			Yes	3E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process

Table 15.	Flood Management Evaluations Recomn	nended by RFPG	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								1			
FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s HUC12s^	Watershed Names	FME Study Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities Oversight	Emergen cy Need	Etimate d Study Cost	RFPG Recommendati on	Reason for Recommendation
12100006 3	Damage Center 3-Lorence Creek	Approximately 10,000 feet of channel improvement. The proposed drainage improvements reduces the occurrence of structural flooding in several areas along the banks of the creek.	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301010	12000005	0.72	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	9E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100006 4	DC13/14: Walzem Creek	A proposed combination of regional detention and channel improvement to reduce flooding on Walzem Creek.	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301010 5	12000002	0.18	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12001486, 12002476,12003327	Yes	7E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100006 5	Damage Center 2- Martinez Creek	The downstream culvert system creates a backwater which will continue to affect properties near the inlet of that structure. Improved channelization and culvert/bridge replacement and voluntary property acquisition	12000029	Bexar	12100301 2 12100301020	12000010	0.24	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	3E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100006 6	Woodlawn Lawn Lake Option 2	Detention, Storm drain improvements, Culvert Improvments, Roadway Improvements.	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Bexar	12100301 212100301020	12000010	0.06	Riverine,	120024 38	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002438, 12003327	No	6E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100006 7	Woodlawn Lawn Lake Option 1(Phase 1-3)	Detention, Storm drain improvements, Culvert Improvments, Roadway Improvements.	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Bexar	12100301 12100301020 2	12000010	0.06	Riverine,	120024 38	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002438, 12003327	No	1E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100006 8	Normoyle Ditch - Alt 1	Channel improvements are proposed from the Six Mile Creek outfall up to approximately 200 feet upstream of New Laredo Hwy. The project area was limited to the area south of Kelly AFB as the majority of habitable structures area	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100302 12100302040 6	12000105	0.37		120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003327	No	150000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100006 9	LWC 42 Dreamland south of RR Xing	The project will consist of proposed Bridge crossing with +/- 6300 LF of total channel grading upstream and downstream and excavating to eliminate a low water crossing. Street reconstruction includes driveway approaches, curbs, and sidewalks as required	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000008	0.14	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12002439,12003327	Yes	1E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100007 0	LWC No 113-116 and Associated Channel Improvements	This project proposes to upgrade LWC 115 & 116 and construct an underground storm system on Military to tie into the existing earthen channel. The underground system will consist of 10' curb inlets, 6'x3' box culverts, 24"-42" (RCP),outfall structures	12000029	Bexar	12100302 12100302040 5	12000104	0.04		120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	4E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100007 1	LWC# 91 Weidner 500 ft N of Schertz	Construct a bridge on Weidner Rd. to pass a 100 yr storm to replace LWC# 91, to include curbs and sidewalks. This project will require channel excavation. This LWC is not within a FEMA floodplain.	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100301	12000004	0.01		120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	3E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100007	LWC #15 Copperhill Between Parkstone & Happy Hollow	Low Water Crossing #15 has approximately 128 acres of storm water that is conveyed through this crossing. This project proposes to construct an underground drainage system to assist in the conveyance of runoff crossing through this section	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301010	12000005	0		120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	471988	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100007 3	LWC #13 West Ave. @ Interpark	Since approximately 2006, residents have complained about flooding within a low point on West Ave. Approximately 173 acres drains through this area. This project will construct an underground drainage system with an earthen channel	12000029	Bexar	12100301	12000001	0		120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	6E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100007 4	New Sulphur Springs – East of Lodi Rd	This project will install a cross arm/barricade at the LWC. Construction of a bridge or culvertinstallation	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100301 12100301030 2	12000009	0.03	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00000392 , 12003327	Yes	2E+06	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100007 5	LWC #71 Danville and Overbrook	This project requires the replacement of existing low water crossing on Danville with an upgraded culvert (2-10'X10' MBC) or bridge to eliminate a low water crossing with some channel modifications upstream and downstream of the crossing	12000029, 12000033	Bexar	12100301 2 12100301020	12000010	0.01	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	3E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100007 6	LWC#72 Spencer Lane, east of Balcones Rd.	During a rain storm event, storm water runoff from the East Woodlawn Ditch overtops the road. This project proposes the construction of a culvert crossing to include an associated energy dissipation system, headwall, and outfall structures.	12000029	Bexar	12100301 2 12100301020	12000010	0	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	2E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100007 7	Mahncke Park Outfall	To convey the 100-yr ultimate development and relieve the current backwater conditions. This project proposes drainage improvement to watershed SA4.To reduce clogging and increase effciency.	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301020 1	12000008	0.08	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	1E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100007 8	Damage Center 44-San Antonio River Near Center Road	This area consists of large agricultural lots. Buyouts appear to be the best option since the entire damage center is in the floodplain. The area can be converted to a recreational water park area or pavilions to encourage biking	12000025	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000011	0.34	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	8E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100007 9	Damage Center 40-San Antonio River DS Reach near Roosevelt	Three lots have 100-year flood depths greater than 2 feet and were therefore not considered for flood-proofing. Due to its location between parks,it appears reasonable to be buyout the flooed properties and continue the park	12000025	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000011	0.31	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	1E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process

Table 15	. Flood Management Evaluations Recomn	nended by RFPG												
FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s HUC12s^	Watershed Names	FME Study Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities Oversight	Emergen cy Need	Etimate d Study Cost	RFPG Recommendati on	Reason for Recommendation
12100008	Damage Center 39-Olmos Creek and Olmos Creek East Channel	Antonian High School is just downstream of this damage center. There are a total of eight parcels that are flooded by the 100-year storm event. Flood-proofing appears to be a practical approach for these properties	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000008	0.12	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12002439,12003327	Yes	601643	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100008	Damage Center 38-Olmos Creek Lower Reach Near Montview	Flooding occurs on the left overbank and begins just upstream of Montview. A total of 10 lots are impacted by the 100-year storm event and the depth of flooding ranges between 0.10 and 0.15 feet. Flood depths are less than 0.5 feet; therefore	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000008	0.05	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003327	No	623497	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100008 2	Damage Center 3- Zarzamora Creek	The proposed earthen channel would begin upstream of the pedestrian bridge and end approximately 780 feet downstream of Ingram Road	12000029	Bexar	12100301	12000010	0.55	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003327	Yes	4E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100008 3	Damage Center 6- Martinez Creek	Voluntary Property Acquisition is the only option that would be recommended under current regulatory and funding scenarios	12000025	Bexar	12100301 2 12100301020	12000010	0.66	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003327	No	4E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100008 4	Damage Center 7- Zarzamora Creek	Based on the value of the homes within this damage center, VPAs appear to be a practical option that may be well received	12000025	Bexar	12100301 12100301020 2	12000010	0.51	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12003327	Yes	1E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100008 5	Damage Center 9- Alazan Creek	severe flooding upstream of South Colorado Street, where the majority of the buildings flood during the 10&50 yr. Channel improvments	12000029	Bexar	12100301	12000010	0.36	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	7E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100008 6	Damage Center 14- Airport Trib	There are four bridges within this Damage Center, of which all overtop during the 1% AC storm event. Voluntary Acquisition of 79 residential propoerties that are compromised	12000025	Bexar	12100301010 12100301 4,121003010 201	12000004,12000008	0.35	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	3E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100008 7	Damage Center 19- San Pedro Creek	A lateral detention project is recommended to reduce the Camaron Street spill which will also provide some minor relief to the storm sewer surcharges at West Elmira Street, Cadwallader Street, Marshall Street, and Hill Street	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301020 2	12000010	0.11	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	1E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100008	Damage Center 20-Matinez Creek	Lateral detention is a viable alternative for this project and could be used in conjunction with VPA, and reduced channelization, to meet the desired outcomes of multi-use functionality and flood reduction.	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301020 2	12000010	0.26	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	No	7E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100008 9	Damage Center 23-New Braunfels, Austin Hwy, Broadway Drain	Reduce regional flooding and remove secure safe passage during 100 yr event. Utilizes a combined regional and local trunkline of 4'x4' and new outfall near Patterson Avenue.	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301020 1	12000008	0.88	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002437, 12002475,12003327	No	6E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100009 0	Damage Center 32-Six Mile Creek	the proposed pond would have a direct impact on the flow in Normoyle Ditch, it is recommended that the required drainage structures be r.eanalyzed	12000013, 12000014	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000011	0.56	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003327	Yes	2E+07	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100009 1	Damage Center 34-State Hospital Creek	the channelization project will have to be constructed to remove all structures from the 1% annual chance storm event floodplain	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000011	0.26	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	6E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100009 2	LWC at Ammann Rd and Post Oak Creek	Improve the low water crossing at Ammann Road and Post Oak Creek	12000029	Kendall	12100304 12100304010 3	12000063	0.09	Riverine,	000000 17	00000017,00000255, 00000291	No	100000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100009 3	LWC at Old Fredericksburg Rd and Balcones Creek	Improve the low water crossing at Old Fredericksburg Rd and Balcones Creek	12000029	Bexar,Kendall	12100304 12100304010 2	12000062	0.01	Riverine,	000000 17	00000007,00000017, 00000255,00000282, 00000291	Yes	100000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100009 4	Damage Center 31-Rockwood Creek	Limits of the effective DFIRM model are incorrect based on the DFIRM hydrology if the hydrology is re-evaluated to take into account the limiting factor of the storm drain system, the actual flow to Rockwood Crk is less than the DFIRM flow	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301020	12000011	0.15	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	150000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100009 5	FM 1863 at Cibolo Creek LWC	Replace low water crossings at two locations(US &DS) where FM1863 crossing Cibolo Creek with bridges.	12000033	Bexar,Comal	12100304 1 12100304020 1	12000066	0.04	Riverine,	000026 69	00000007,00000014, 00000255,00000282, 00000291,00002669	Yes	5E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100009 6	Install pipe gates to close off streets	Install automated systems at low-water crossings with high rate of vehicular access resulting in frequency of accidents and loss of life.	12000005	Wilson	12100303 12100303 4,121003030 105	12000027,12000035	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	120031 81	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003181	Yes	250000	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100009 7	LWC# 101 Rittiman Creek @ Gibbs Sprawl	This proposed planning study adds culverts at the railroad crossing, upgrades the earthen channel in the park from the westerly property line to Rittiman road, and installation of larger box culverts at the Gibbs Sprawl LWC which requires Gibbs Sprawl	12000029	Bexar	12100301 12100301010 6	1200007	0.12	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003327	Yes	1E+07	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100009	Maintain Drainage System	Improve storm water drainage within residential and commercial areas by removing brush and debris, opening and widening waterways, restricting building in the flood zone, and widening bridges. Status or project was 90% complete in 2012 plan awaiting purch	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Wilson	12100304 12100304040	12000060	1.68	Riverine, Urban,	120031 82	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12003182	Yes	2E+06	Y	Halff Identification Process
12100009 9	Upper Martinez Creek Improvements	Improvements to already channelized section of Martinez Creek (Cibolo Watershed) from Montgomery Dr to Walzem Rd and bridge improvements at Gibbs Sprawl Road	12000029	Bexar	12100304 5	12000071	0.02	Riverine,	120033 27	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003327	No	4E+06	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100010 0	Recommend for Wilson Roadways - Project 4 - Mariana Rd & Mariana Creek	Upgrade crossing so that it provides a safe evacuation route during large storm events.	12000030	Wilson	12100303	12000032	0	Riverine,	000001	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282	Yes	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100010 1	Recommend for Wilson Roadways - Project 5 - CR 108 & Mariana Creek	Upgrade crossing so that it provides a safe evacuation route during large storm events.	12000030	Wilson	12100303	12000032	0	Riverine,	000001	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00000290	Yes	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process

Table 15.	Flood Management Evaluations Recomi	mended by RFPG									_	1	1	
FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties HUC	8s HUC12	^ Watershed Names	FME Study Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities Oversight	Emergen cy Need	Etimate d Study Cost	RFPG Recommendati on	Reason for Recommendation
12100010	Erosion at CR 401 and Cibolo Creek	Phase I: Engineering study of design solutions to erosion of CR 401 at Cibolo Creek.Phase II: Implementation of stabilization project to address stream incision and erosion CR 401 at Cibolo Creek.	12000034	Wilson 12100	304 1210030 ₄	12000060	0	Riverine,	000001 00	00000100,00000255, 00000282	Yes	100000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100010 3	Erosion on CR 202 East and Marcelina Creek	Phase I: Engineering study of design solutions to erosion of CR 202 at Marcelina Creek. Phase II: Implementation of stabilization project to address stream incision and erosion CR 202 at Marcelina Creek.	12000030	Wilson 12100	303 12100303	12000027	0	Riverine,	000001 00	00000100,00000255, 00000282	Yes	100000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100010 4	Improve bridge at CR 337	streets and adjacent properties. An interception channel is proposed upstream of the City to capture flows and divert them west to a tributary of Lower Cibolo Creek.	12000030	Karnes 12100	303 12100303 6	12000016	0	Riverine,	000000 95	00000095 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00001006	Yes	500000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100010 5	Flat Creek Study	Update details on both current and expected ultimate watershed build-oit conditions, Identify at-risk infrastructure and detail oppurtunities for flood reduction, and provide mitigation plans with regard to risk due to delevopment.	12000014	Medina 12100	12100303 302 1,121003 502		5.8	Riverine,	120033 77	00000005 , 00000255 , 12003377	Yes	500000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100010 6	Goliad Damage Center A	Vegetated swales along Bungalow Ave and N San Patricio St	12000032, 12000012	Goliad 12100	303 12100303 4	12000049	0.01	Riverine,	000000 90	00000090 , 00000264 , 00000282 , 12002986	No	50000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100010 7	Goliad Damage Center B	Construct dam north of W. Ward St	12000026, 12000012	Goliad 12100	4	12000049	0.02	Urban,	000000 90	00000090 , 00000264 , 00000282	No	100000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100010 8	Kempf Creek Watershed Study	H&H Study. Alternatives analysis for regional flood conveyance systems. Project identification and recommendations.	12000014	Medina 12100	302 12100303 1	12000081	4.87	Riverine,	120033 77	00000005 , 00000255	Yes	150000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100010 9	Lower Basin Predictive Flood Model	Lower Basin Predictive Flood Model	12000012	De 12100 Witt,Wilson,Bexar,Gua 12100 dalupe,Refugio,Calhou 12100 n,Goliad,Victoria,Karne 12110	801 , 803 , 804 ,		1481.11	Riverine, Coastal, Urban,	000002 82	00000005 , 00000255	Yes	1E+06	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100011	Culvert improvement on Hatch St in Tivoli	The bridge on Hatch Street in Tivoli was replaced with a culvert which drains slow and causes the water to breach the levee. Study to find alternatives to determine solutions for this drainage issue.	12000030	Refugio 12100	404 12100404	12000073	0	Urban,	Tivoli Commu nity	00000084,00000260, 00000291,00000758, 12001057,00001608	No	150000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100011 1	Culvert Improvement on Highway 239 in Tivoli	Culverts on Highway 239 in Tivoli are too small causing water to get in houses. Study to find alternatives to determine solutions for this drainage issue.	12000030	Refugio 12100	404 1210040 ₄	12000073	0	Riverine, Urban,	Tivoli Commu nity	00000084,00000260, 00000291,00000758, 12001057,00001608	No	150000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100011	Miller Creek on the Smoky Creek Ranch Drainage Improvements	Miller Creek on the Smoky Creek Ranch drains Tivoli and the surrounding area which is washing out property where Indian artifacts were found. Study to find alternatives to determine solutions for this drainage issue.	12000030	Refugio 12100	404 1210040 ₄	12000073	0.01	Riverine, Coastal,	Tivoli Commu nity	00000084,00000260, 00000291,00000714, 00000758,00001608	No	150000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100011 3	New Drainage Analysis to Update/Revise Flood Maps	This action proposes performing a new drainage analysis for the community to update/revise Flood Maps to better identify areas subject to this Hazard; last study completed in September 1977.	12000014	Medina 12100	12100303 302 1,121003 503		0.63	Riverine,	120029 54	00000005 , 00000255 , 12002954	Yes	100000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100011	Low Water Crossing Upgrades	Prioritize low water crossings within Karnes County and upgrade with higher level of flood protection, warnings, and signage	12000014, 12000007	Atascosa,De 12100 Witt,Wilson,Goliad,Kar nes 12110	303 , 304 ,	12000014,12000016,12000019,120000 20,12000021,12000022,12000023,1200 0024,12000025,12000026,12000027,12 000030,12000034,12000037,12000040 12000041,12000042,12000043,120000 45,12000052,12000057,12000070	0 2 749.22	Riverine, Urban,	000000 95	00000095,00000096, 00000099,0000100, 00000255,00000260, 00000264,00000282, 00000290,00000291, 00000519,00000526, 00001006,12002756, 12002757,12002974,	No	305000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100011	Early warning flood systems	Conduct feasibility analysis for need and location for placement and installation of an early warning system. Install early warning systems for non incorporated communities	12000005	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,Goliad,Kar nes 12100 12100 12110	303 , 304 ,	12000014,12000016,12000019,120000 20,12000021,12000022,12000023,1200 0024,12000025,12000026,12000027,12 000030,12000034,12000037,12000040 12000041,12000042,12000043,120000 45,12000052,12000057,12000070	0 2 749.22	Riverine, Urban,	000000 95	00000095,00000096, 00000099,00000100, 00000255,00000260, 00000264,00000282, 00000290,00000291, 00000519,00000526, 00001006,12002756, 12002757,12002974,	No	150000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100011 6	Recommend for Wilson Roadways-Project 3- CR 122 & Mariana Creek	Upgrade crossing so that it provides a safe evacuation route during large storm events.	12000030	Wilson 12100	303 12100303	12000032	0	Riverine,	000001 00	00000100,00000255, 00000282	Yes	100000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100011	North Lorenzo, Athens Street, Naples Street Storm Drainage Improvements	Preliminary Engineering of storm drainage and inlet system.	12000013	Medina 12100	302 12100303	12000081	0.17	Riverine,	120033 77	00000005,00000255, 12003377	Yes	300000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100011	La Vernia Issue # 5 (Hwy 87 crossing and CR 342)	Study to assess city acquiring drainage easements in the area upstream of the Highway 87 crossings, as well as the area between the crossings at Highway 87 and the crossing at CR 342 for the purpose of constructing a channel.	12000016	Wilson 12100	304 12100304	12000056	0.03	Riverine,	120031 80	00000100,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003180	No	150000	Υ	HDR Identification Process

Table 15.	Flood Management Evaluations Recomn	nended by RFPG													
FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s^	Watershed Names	FME Study Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities Oversight	Emergen cy Need	Etimate d Study Cost	RFPG Recommendati on	Reason for Recommendation
12100011 9	La Vernia Issue # 2 and # 3 (City Park/ La Vernia ISD)	Study to assess 6'-wide concrete-bottom channel/sidewalk with earthen sides (graded 5:1) be constructed through this area to better define the flow path. Gauge boards on San Antonio Road. Aquire 25'-wide drainage easements.	12000013, 12000032	Wilson	12100304	12100304030 2	12000056	0.07	Riverine,	120031 80	00000100,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003180	Yes	150000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100012 0	Escondidio Creek WS SCS Site 1, 2, 4 Dam	Rehabilitation of Escondido Creek 1,2, and 4 to ensure passage of the PMF.	12000030	Karnes	12100303	12100303040 2	12000021	0.13	Riverine,	000002 82	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519	No	300000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100012	Wilson County LWC Study	Study to evaluate the LWC in Wilson County and recommend alternatives both short term and long term alternatives. Some short term alternatives could include Low Water Signage, Turn Around Don't Drown, automatic gates. 195 LWC in Wilson County.	12000030	Atascosa, Wilson, Bexar, Guadalupe, Karnes	12100202 , 12100301 , 12100303 , 12100304 , 12110110		12000006,12000012,12000027,120000 28,12000029,12000030,12000031,1200 0032,12000033,12000034,12000035,12 000036,12000038,12000039,12000040, 12000041,12000052,12000053,120000 54,12000056,12000057,12000059,1200 0060,12000065,12000070,12000072	805.06	Riverine, Urban,	000001	00000007,00000010, 00000095,00000096, 00000100,00000225, 00000264,00000282, 00000290,00000291, 00000392,12000592, 00001006,12001595, 12002442,12002925, 00002973,12003180, 12003181,12003182	Yes	300000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100012	Wilson 10 - Acquisitions of Flooded Structures	Acquire flooded structures to remove them out of the SFHA and restrict future structures from development on the site. Removal of damaged structures that are no longer liveable.	12000026	Atascosa, Wilson, Bexar, Guadalupe, Karnes	12100202 , 12100301 , 12100303 , 12100304 , 12110110		12000006,12000012,12000027,120000 28,12000029,12000030,12000031,1200 0032,12000033,12000034,12000035,12 000036,12000038,12000039,12000040, 12000041,12000052,12000053,120000 54,12000056,12000057,12000059,1200 0060,12000065,12000070,12000072	805.06	Riverine, Urban,	000001	00000007,00000010, 00000095,00000096, 00000100,00000255, 00000264,00000282, 00000290,00000291, 00000392,12000592, 00001006,12001595, 12002442,12002925, 00002973,12003180, 12003181,12003182	No	100000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100012 3	City of Floresville Flood Study	City wide study	12000013	Wilson	12100303	12100303010 2,121003030 103	12000028,12000033	7.7	Riverine, Urban,	120029 25	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12000592 , 12002925	No	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100012 4	Highway 16 Bridge Upgrade	Closes the road down which is the main access for citizens. Study to upgrade crossing.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	12100302020 3,121003020 204	12000088,12000089	0.05	Riverine,	000000 11	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	150000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100012 5	Bandera State Highway 173 Study	Prevents access to citizens from the city. Study to upgrade crossing.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	12100302020 4	12000089	0.01	Riverine,	000000 11	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	150000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100012 6	Bandera English Crossing Study	This low water crossing can sometimes remain flooded for months. Study to upgrade road.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	12100302030 2	12000097	0.07	Riverine,	000000 11	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100012 7	Bandera FM 2107 Study	FM 2107 is the only path for residents to access community lifelines.FM 2107 is the only path for residents to access community lifelines. Study to upgrade road.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	12100302010 3	12000082	0.14	Riverine,	000000	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	300000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100012 8	Bandera Patterson Street Study	Impairs travel for citizens to reach community lifeline services. Study to upgrade road.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	12100302020 1	12000087	0.01	Riverine,	000000 11	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	50000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100012 9	Bandera Lower Mason Creek and Bandera Creek at State Highway 16	Lower Mason Creek and Bandera Creek contribute to flooding at SH 16. Study to upgrade road.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	12100302020 4	12000089	0.01	Riverine,	000000 11	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	50000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100013 0	Bandera WWTP Study	Wastewater treatment plant is in 100 yr floodplain. Study to find solutions.	12000028	Bandera	12100302	12100302020 3	12000088	0.03	Riverine,	000000 11	00000011,00000255, 00000339,12003414	Yes	150000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100013 1	Bandera 470 and Indian Creek Study	Blocks public access to lifelines in Bandera. Study to upgrade road.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	12100302020 3	12000088	0.02	Riverine,	000000 11	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	50000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100013 2	Bandera 470 and Medina River Study	Blocks people of Tarpley from EMS and other lifelines in the city of Bandera. Study to upgrade road.	12000030	Bandera	12100302	12100302020 3	12000088	0.01	Riverine,	000000 11	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	50000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100013	Natural capital inventory	Development of a dataset identifying lands under conservation easement. Project includes courthouse and deed records research to identify lands that are protected or have future development restrictions.	12000014	Atascosa, De Witt, Wilson, Medina, Be xar, Guadalupe, Bandera , Comal, Kendall, Kerr, Ara nsas, Refugio, Calhoun, G oliad, Victoria, Karnes	12100303,			4409.74	Riverine, Coastal, Urban,	000002	00000011,00000255, 00000339	No	300000	Y	HDR Identification Process

Table 15.	Flood Management Evaluations Recomm	nended by RFPG									_			
FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s HUC12s^	Watershed Names	FME Study Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities Oversight	Emergen cy Need	Etimate d Study Cost	RFPG Recommendati on	Reason for Recommendation
12100013 4	Evaluation and prioritization of new gauge locations	Study to identify stream gage locations in the San Antonio River Basin and cost effective/resilient monitoring technologies.	12000014	Atascosa, De Witt, Wilson, Medina, Be xar, Guadalupe, Bandera , Comal, Kendall, Kerr, Ara nsas, Refugio, Calhoun, G oliad, Victoria, Karnes	12100201 , 12100202 , 12100301 , 12100303 , 12100304 , 12110110 , 12100302		4409.74	Riverine, Coastal, Urban,	000002 82	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	50000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100013	Future conditions data refinement study	Future conditions data refinement study,study future landuse and apply to future models	12000013	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,Medina,Be xar,Guadalupe,Bandera ,Comal,Kendall,Kerr,Ara nsas,Refugio,Calhoun,G oliad,Victoria,Karnes			4409.74	Riverine, Coastal, Urban,	000002	00000011,00000255, 00000339	No	500000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100013 6	Port of San Antonio Floodproofing	Port SA, site specific, study flood mitigation for critial structures	12000028	Bexar	12100302 1210030204	12000105	0.03		000002	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	250000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100013 7	River Authority WWTP Resilience	Study of all River Authority WWTP Resilience, finding alternatives for floodproofing	12000028	Atascosa, De Witt, Wilson, Medina, Be xar, Guadalupe, Bandera , Comal, Kendall, Kerr, Ara nsas, Refugio, Calhoun, G oliad, Victoria, Karnes	12100201 , 12100202 , 12100301 , 12100303 , 12100304 , 12110110 , 12100302		4409.74	Riverine, Coastal, Urban,	000002	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	600000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100013	Bandera Substation In Floodplain Study	Electrical sub-station is in 100 yr floodplain. Study to find solutions.	12000028	Bexar	12100302 1210030204	12000104	0	Riverine,	000000	00000011,00000255, 00000339	Yes	150000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100013 9	Garcia Creek Channel Stabilization	Preliminary Engineering to identify stabilization methods and sizing.	12000030	Medina	12100302 1210030205	12000081	0.02	Riverine,	120033 77	00000005 , 00000255 , 12003377	No	50000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100014 0	Country Village Channel Improvements	Preliminary Engineering including an H&H study to size the channel improvements	12000030	Medina	12100302 1210030205	12000081	0.11		120033 77	00000005,00000255, 12003377	No	50000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100014	Lucas Creek at Cinco De Mayo Dr Bridge and Channel (DC-MRD)	Regional detention, channel improvements, and bridge/culvert upgrades, property acquisition	12000031	Bexar	1210030205 12100302 2,121003020 503		0.97	Riverine,	000000 05	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00000392	Yes	150000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100014 2	Cagnon Rd at Polecat Creek (DC-MRN)	Replace the existing crossing with an approximately 320-foot long bridge.	12000031	Bexar	12100302 1210030205	12000108	0.04	Riverine,	000000 05	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00000392	Yes	150000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100014 3	Trumbo Rd at Palo Blanco Creek (DC-MRP)	Upgrades to Trumbo Rd and Loop 1604 crossings at Palo Blanco Creek with channel work.	12000031	Bexar	12100302 1210030205 9	12000094	0.25	Riverine,	000000 05	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00000290 , 00000392	Yes	100000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100014 4	Wet-Proof Wastewater System	This action proposes "wet-proofing" city sewer lines to the Wastewater Treatment Plant	12000028	Medina	12100302050 12100302 1,121003020 503		0.63	Riverine,	120029 54	00000005 , 00000255 , 12002954	Yes	50000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100014 5	Karnes Damage Center H	Raise bridge on Hwy 181/5th in Kenedy	12000030, 12000012	Karnes	12100303 1210030304	12000021	0.04	Riverine,	000000 95	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	Yes	150000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100014 6	Additional flood proof at wastewater treatment plant	Study to evaluate removing the WWTP from flood and erosion risk	12000028	Wilson	12100304	12000056	0.02	Riverine,	120031 80	00000100,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12003180	Yes	150000	Y	HDR Identification Process

Table 15.	Flood Management Evaluations Recomn	mended by RFPG						,			•			
FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s HUC12s^	Watershed Names	FME Study Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities Oversight	Emergen cy Need	Etimate d Study Cost	RFPG Recommendati on	Reason for Recommendation
12100014	Recommend for Wilson Roadways - Project 7 - CR 119 & Mariana Creek	Study: Upgrade bridge so that it provides a safe evacuation route during large storm events.	12000030	Wilson	12100303 12100303010	12000032	0	Riverine,	000000	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282	Yes	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100014	Property acquisition and demolition and/or relocations	Property acquisition and demolition and/or relocations	12000022	Wilson	12100303010 12100303 2,121003030 103	12000028,12000033	7.7	Riverine, Urban,	120029 25	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12000592 , 12002925	No	2E+06	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100014 9	Damage Center 2: Project 1 Channelization	The channelization project would add 8 feet to the left bank of the channel, and the depth would be kept at its existing elevation. The project would remove two structures adjacent to the stream from the floodplain.	12000026	Wilson	12100303 12100303010	12000033	0	Riverine,	120029 25	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12002925	No	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100015 0	Damage Center 1: Project 1A, 1B, 1C	Detention upstream of Lost Springs Hollow along with some channel work. Upgrade Hwy 181 crossing at Lodi Branch and channelization (contingent of Project 1A).	12000030	Wilson	12100303 12100303010 3	12000033	0.13	Riverine,	120029 25	00000100,00000255, 00000282,12002925	Yes	150000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100015 1	Repetitive loss properties	Offer relocation/mitigation incentives to current flood hazard area property owners; initiate a community program to acquire repetitive loss structures identified by FEMA.	12000024	Wilson	12100304030 12100304 4,121003040 302	12000053,12000056	1.72	Riverine, Urban,	120031 80	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00000392 , 12001595 , 12003180	Yes	150000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100015 2	Nichols Creek Stabilization	Restoration of Nichols Creek to improve stream function including conveyance of flow and sediment.	12000026	Karnes	12100303 12100303040 2	12000021	0.02	Riverine,	000002 82	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	No	1E+06	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100015	Master Drainage Plan for Bexar County Unincorporated Areas	Engineering master plan to assess flood damage centers for Bexar County unincorporated areas.	12000024	Atascosa, Wilson, Medin a, Bexar, Guadalupe, Ban dera, Comal, Kendall	12100301, 12100303, 12100304, 12110110, 12100302		1253.25	Riverine, Urban,	000000 07	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	No	150000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100015	Master Drainage Plan for Bexar County HALT Low Water	Engineering master plan to assess existing HALT sites for drainage improvements.	12000024	Atascosa, Wilson, Medin a, Bexar, Guadalupe, Ban dera, Comal, Kendall	12100301, 12100303, 12100304, 12110110, 12100302		1253.25	Riverine, Urban,	000000	00000095,00000255, 00000282,00000519, 12002975	No	150000	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100015 5	Culebra Creek RSWF	Engineering study to evaluate the Culebra Creek RSWF under the revised Green & Ampt hydrology.	12000030	Bexar	12100302040 2,121003020 12100302 403,1210030 20404,12100 3020405	12000078,12000102,12000103,120001 04	0.36	Riverine,	000000	00000007,00000255, 00000282,00000392, 12001484,12003327	Yes	50000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100015 6	Gass Road at Culebra Creek Tributary D	Engineering study to assess the removal of Gass Road from the 100-Yr flood plain at Culebra Creek Tributary D for 100-Yr accessibility and driver safety at the crossing.	12000030	Bexar	12100302 12100302040 3	12000102	0	Riverine,	000000 07	00000007 , 00000255 , 00000282	No	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100015 7	Rockwood Creek (SA-39)	Engineering study to assess the removal of properties and residential structures from the 100-Yr flood plain along Rockwood Creek upstream of the San Antonio River and River Side Golf Course.	12000026	Bexar	12100301 12100301020 3	12000011	0.13	Riverine,	000000 07	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12003327	Yes	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100015 8	Live Oak at Salitrillo Creek (CB-9)	Engineering study to assess removal of residential structures from the Salitrillo Creek 100-Yr flood plain upstream of Martinez Creek Dam No. 5.	12000026	Bexar	12100304 12100304020 5	12000071	0.78	Riverine,	000000 07	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002512, 12002967	Yes	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100015	Bexar County LWC Engineering Study	Engineering Study to evaluate seven LWC upgrades.	12000030	Atascosa, Wilson, Medin a, Bexar, Guadalupe, Ban dera, Comal, Kendall	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1253.25	Riverine, Urban,	000000	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002512, 12002967	Yes	300000	Υ	HDR Identification Process

Table 15.	Flood Management Evaluations Recomn	lended by KFPG												
FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s HUC12s^	Watershed Names	FME Study Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type	Sponsor	Entities Oversight	Emergen cy Need	Etimate d Study Cost	RFPG Recommendati on	Reason for Recommendation
12100016 0	Update flood information and policies	Study to compile information on residential property in flood zones, establish a volunteer acquisition / elevation program based on FEMA protocol in association with SARA studies, and review permitting process based on the 100-year flood event	12000030	Atascosa,De Witt,Wilson,Goliad,Kar nes	12100202 , 12100303 , 12100304 , 12110110	12000014,12000016,12000019,120000 20,12000021,12000022,12000023,1200 0024,12000025,12000026,12000027,12 000030,12000034,12000037,12000040, 12000041,12000042,12000043,120000 45,12000052,12000057,12000070	749.22	Riverine, Urban,	000000	0000095,0000096, 0000099,0000100, 00000255,00000260, 00000264,00000282, 00000290,00000291, 00000519,00000526, 00001006,12002756, 12002757,12002974,	Yes	100000	Υ	HDR Identification Process
12100016	Holistic Watershed based master planning consistent with Nature Based Solutions	This Flood Management Evaluation (FME) will fill the knowledge gap in the region on the benefits of NFMS for floodplains, flood peak attenuation, ecosystem services, groundwater recharge, and recreational value	12000013	Wilson,Bexar	12100301, 12100303, 12100304, 12110110, 12100302	12000001,12000002,12000003,120000 04,12000005,12000006,12000007,1200 0008,12000009,12000010,12000011,12 000012,12000013,12000029,12000055, 12000056,12000063,12000064,12000 66,12000069,12000071,12000076,1200 0078,12000094,12000104,12000105	, 505.2	Riverine, Urban,	000002	00000084,00000260, 00000291,00000714, 00000758,00001608	Yes	2E+06	Y	HDR Identification Process
12100016	29010 Tivoli Way	Utilize existing stormwater infrastructure by regrading the roadway to slope towards existing inlets and open channels on the north and south side of Windermere Dr on the east side of Fair Oaks Parkway. New curb installed along the west side of Fair Oak	12000029, 12000030	Bexar	12100304 1210030401	0 12000063	0		120033 0 27	0000007,00000255,0000028 2,12002436	No	519760	Υ	Halff Identification Process
12100016	Bexar County Line LWC Engineering Study	Engineering Study to evaluate twelve LWC upgrades at county line	12000030	Atascosa, Wilson, Medin a, Bexar, Guadalupe, Ban dera, Comal, Kendall	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1253.25	Riverine, Urban,	000000	00000007,00000255, 00000282,12002512, 12002967	Yes	600000	Υ	HDR Identification Process

Table 16. Potentially Feasible Flood Mitigation Projects Recommended by RFPG

Table 16. Pot	tentially Feasible Flood N	Nitigation Projects Recommended by RFPG																							
FMP ID	FMP Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC 8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Project Type	Project Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa,	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Project Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Cost/ Structure removed	Percent Nature-based Solution (by cost)	Negative Impact (Y/N)	Negative Impact Mitigation (Y/N)	Water Supply Benefit (Y/N)	Traffic Count for Low Water Crossings	Benefit-Cost Ratio	Social Vulnrability Index (SVI)	RFPG Recommenda tion (Y/N)	Reason for Recommenda tion
123000001	PROJECT 1A - ADLER ROAD AT CURREY CREEK AND UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A	Improve low water crossings along Adler Road, channel regrading, curbs, sidewalks, street reconstruction	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010	12000062	LWC upgrade	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	Υ	1611124	- 0	4497	0	Y	N	N	0	2.5	0.26	Υ	Halff Identification Process
123000002	PROJECT 2 - UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A REGIONAL DETENTION FACILITY	Inline detention facility with culvert improvements	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010	12000062	Detention Pond	0.03	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291	N	7013126	- 0	19577	0	Y	N	N	0	0.54	0.10	Υ	Halff Identification Process
123000003	PROJECT 3 - CURREY CREEK REGIONAL DETENTION FACILITY	Inline detention facility with additional stormdrain imporvements	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010 2	12000062	Detention Pond	0.04	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	N	8908566	- 0	24868	0	Y	N	N	0	2.79	0.26	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000004	PROJECT 4 - SCHOOL STREET AT CIBOLO CREEK AND FREDERICK CREEK	Elevated bridge, channel grading, street reconstruction, curb, sidewalks, and driveways	12000034	Kendall	12100304	12100304010 1	12000058	LWC upgrade	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	Υ	5022915	- 0	0	0	Y	N	N	0	0.4	0.40	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000005	PROJECT 5D - OLD SAN ANTONIO STREET AT MENGER CREEK	Elevated bridge, channel grading, street reconstruction, curb, sidewalks, and driveways	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010 2	12000062	Infrastructure	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	N	3506563	- 0	0	0	Y	N	N	0	0.5	0.39	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000006	PROJECT 6 - JOHNS ROAD NEAR CIBOLO CROSSING SUBDIVISION	Storm drain, channel, increase capacity of existing detention	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010 1	12000058	Storm Drain	0.01	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	N	1421580	- 0	3968	0	Y	N	N	0	0.86	0.38	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000007	PROJECT 7 - SCHWEPPE AND HICKMAN STREET	Storm drain, and channel improvments	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010	12000062	Storm Drain	0.01	Riverine, Urban,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	N	1990212	- 0	5556	0	Y	N	N	0	0.82	0.42	Υ	Halff Identification Process
123000008	PROJECT 8 - JOHNS AND LOHMANN STREET	Storm drain and channel improvements	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010 1	12000058	Storm Drain	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	N	1705896	- 0	4762	0	Y	N	N	0	5.46	0.40	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000009	PROJECT 9 - UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A- SUBDIVISION FLOOD PROTECTION & MOBILITY PROJECT	Low water crossing improvemnts, channel improvements	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010	12000062	LWC upgrade	0.01	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	Υ	4833371	- 0	13492	0	Y	N	N	0	0.48	0.42	Υ	Halff Identification Process
123000010	PROJECT 10 - E. BLANCO ROAD AT UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A	Improve low water crossings along Blanco Road, channel regrading, curbs, sidewalks, street reconstruction	12000034	Kendall	12100304	12100304010	12000062	LWC upgrade	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	Υ	1516352	- 0	4233	0	Y	N	N	0	4.1	0.42	Υ	Halff Identification Process
123000011	PROJECT 11 - RIVER ROAD AT UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A	Improve low water crossings along River Road, channel regrading, curbs, sidewalks, street reconstruction	12000034	Kendall	12100304	12100304010	12000062	LWC upgrade	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	Υ	1326808	- 0	3704	0	Y	N	N	0	3.1	0.42	Υ	Halff Identification Process
123000012	PROJECT 13 - HERFF AND ESSER ROAD IMPROVEMENTS AT CURREY AND CIBOLO CREEK	Bridge at Currey Creek and Esser Road, Bridge at Cibolo Creek and River Road, Channel grading, Roadway reconstruction	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010	12000062	Storm Drain	0.02	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	Υ	14500113	- 0	40476	0	Y	N	N	0	1.7	0.35	Υ	Halff Identification Process
123000013	PROJECT 12 - PLANT CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT	Channel improvements	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010	12000062	Channel	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	N	1232036	- 0	3439	0	Y	N	N	0	0.4	0.42	Υ	Halff Identification Process
123000014	PROJECT 14 - EAST BOERNE REGIONAL LID	Proposed inline extended detention facility that provides water quality benefits to the urbanized tributary of Cibolo Creek and properties downstream of Scenic Loop Road	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010	12000062	Natural	0	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	N	663404	- 0	1852	0	Y	N	N	0	0.6	0.35	Υ	Halff Identification Process
123000015	PROJECT 15 - NORTH CURREY CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	Channel regrading, curbs, sidewalks, street reconstruction. This project is dependent on projects 1A, 3, 12, and 13 being completed and Project 16 being implimented at the same time as this project to achieve the project benefits.	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010	12000062	Channel	0.01	Riverine, Urban,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	Y	663404	- 0	1852	0	Y	N	N	0	1.33	0.10	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000016	PROJECT 16 - SOUTH CURREY CREEK CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	Low water crossing improvemnts, channel improvements. This project is dependent on projects 1A, 3, 12, and 13 being completed and Project 15 being implimented at the same time as this project to achieve the project benefits.	12000029, 12000030	Kendall	12100304	12100304010	12000062	LWC upgrade	0.01	Riverine,	12002855	00000017,0000 0255,00000291 ,12002855	N	1421580	- 0	3968	0	Y	N	N	0	1.33	0.42	Υ	Halff Identification Process

Table 16. Potentially Feasible Flood Mitigation Projects Recommended by RFPG

Table 16. Po	tentially Feasible Flood N	Nitigation Projects Recommended by RFPG	1	1		1			1	Flood Risk	1	1		1							1				
FMP ID	FMP Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC 8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Project Type	Project Area (sqmi)	Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa,	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Project Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Cost/ Structure removed	Percent Nature-based Solution (by cost)	Negative Impact (Y/N)	Negative Impact Mitigation (Y/N)	Water Supply Benefit (Y/N)	Traffic Count for Low Water Crossings	Benefit-Cost Ratio	Social Vulnrability Index (SVI)	RFPG Recommenda tion (Y/N)	Reason for Recommenda tion
123000017	Lewis Creek Alternative 1 Phase 1 & 2	Channel improvement, roadway improvement	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Comal	12100304	12100304010 5	12000061	Channel	0.1	Riverine,	00000014	00000014,0000 0255,00000291 ,00002121,000 02669	Υ	6021778	- 0	151896	0	Y	N	N	0	0.11	0.10	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000018	Seeling Drainage Improvements	Install box culverts, grass lined channel construction	12000029, 12000030	Bexar	12100301	12100301020 2	12000010	Storm Drain	0.26	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,0000 0255,00000282 ,12003327	N	28367456	- 0	0	0	Y	N	N	0	0.62	0.44	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000019	Lewis Creek Tributary 2 Alternative 1 & 2	Channel widening/lowering, culvert improvement, roadway improvement	12000029, 12000030, 12000033	Comal	12100304	12100304010 5	12000061	Detention Pond	0.22	Riverine,	00000014	00000014,0000 0255,00000291 ,00002669	N	2939381	- 0	70242	0	Y	N	N	0	0.19	0.12	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000020	Lewis Creek Main	High water detection system. System includes warning signs, with flashers and automatic arm barricade.	12000005, 12000006	Comal	12100304	12100304010 5	12000061	Preparedness	0.1	Riverine,	00000014	00000014,0000 0255,00000291 ,00002121,000 02669	Y	165184	- 0	4167	0	Υ	N	N	0	0	0.10	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000021	Rock Creek - Alt 1	Reducing the height of the drop structure at the Olmos Creek outfall, Bridge replacements will be required for both the railroad crossing and West Ave.		Bexar	12100301	12100301020 1	12000008	Infrastructure	0.52	Riverine,	12003327	0000007,0000 0255,00000282 ,00000392,120 02439,1200332 7	Υ	17640716	- 0	0	0	Y	N	N	0	0.1	0.65	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000022	Judson and Lookout LWC Improvement	Upgrade the low water crossings and the connecting/downstream channel	12000029, 12000030	Bexar	12100301	12100301010 4	12000004	LWC upgrade	0.03	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,0000 0255,00000282 ,12003327	Υ	6301204	- 0	5665140	0	Y	N	N	0	0.9	0.44	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000023	Symphony Lane Voluntary Property Acquisition	Purchase 32 properties located west of the San Antonio River Symphony Reach, and along Pyron Ave and Symphony Lane.	12000025	Bexar	12100301	12100301020 3	12000011	Property Acquisition	0.42	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,0000 0255,00000282 ,12003327	Υ	33019314	- 0	0	0	Y	N	N	0	0.4	0.98	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000024	Holbrook Road Improvements	Offset a portion of the roadway south of Woodburn Rd	12000033	Bexar	12100301	12100301010 5	12000002	Infrastructure	0.05	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,0000 0255,00000282 ,12003327	N	14608120	- 0	0	0	Y	N	N	0	0.01	0.55	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000025	Barbara Drive Drainage Improvements	Upsizing the boxes underneath Dellwood Drive and Oblate Drive. The improvements will also include reconstruction of the street and curb for the portion of Dellwood Drive and Oblate Drive within the project boundary	12000029, 12000030	Bexar	12100301	12100301020	12000008	Storm Drain	0.29	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,0000 0255,00000282 ,12003327	Υ	27826948	- 0	682837	0	Y	N	N	0	0.04	0.64	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000026	Thames Drainage Channel Replacement - Alt 1	Replace the existing culverts at Blanco Rd., San Pedro Ave, Thames Dr, Private Dr and Dorsets.	12000029, 12000030	Bexar	12100301	12100301020 1	12000008	Storm Drain	0.19	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,0000 0255,00000282 ,00000392,120 02439,1200332 7	N	28990748	- 0	0	0	Y	N	N	0	0.03	0.74	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000027	Shady Lane Dr.Voluntary Property Acquisition	This project consist primarily of property buyouts within the floodplain to mitigate structural flooding to those properties.	12000025	Bexar	12100302	12100302040	12000076	Property Acquisition	0	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,0000 0255,00000282 ,12003327	N	1306982	- 0	0	0	Y	N	N	0	0.2	0.27	Y	Halff Identification Process
123000028	Concepcion Creek Improvements Project	Ph1. 54-ac detention, property acquisition and 10,000ft of storm drain systems and road reconstruction. Ph2. 1.36mi of Concepcion Creek channel improvements. Ph3. 2,300ft of (3)10x8 MBC systems	12000027 12000027	Bexar	12100301	12100301020 2,1210030102 03	12000010,120 00011	Infrastructure	0.96	Riverine,	12003327	00000007,0000 0255,00000282 ,00000392,120 03327	Y	240222000	None - 0	87461	0	N	N	N	0	0.1	0.92	Y	HDR Identification Process

Table 17: Potentially Feasible Flood Management Strategies Recommended by RFPG

FMS ID	FMS Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watersheds	Project Type	Strategy Project Area (sqmi)	Type (Riverine,	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Esitimated Total Stategy Cost (\$)	Funding Sources and	Cost/ Structure removed	n of Nature- based	Negative Impact (Y/N)	Impact Mitigation	Water Supply Benefit (Y/N) Recommenda tion (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
122000001	Study the San Antonio River and its tributes	When the San Antonio River floods, the city is cutoff from the rest of the county (hospital and EMS) with islands Isating over a week. Install stream gauges and develop a study to identify solutions to flooding. SARA completed a study but County official	12000007	Karnes	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30202	12000027,12000030	Regulatory and Guidance	0.91	Riverine, Urban,	12002974	00000095 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12002974	N	250000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
122000002	San Antonio River drainage ownership study	Develop ownership and access understanding parcels fronting the San Antoinion River and major tributaries to have better agreements and access to areas that need flood control mitigation and erosion control.	12000001	Karnes	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30202	12000027,12000030	Education and Outreach	0.91	Riverine, Urban,	12002974	00000095 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12002974	N	30000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
122000003	San Antonio River drainage ownership mapping	Develop ownership and access understanding parcels fronting the San Antoinion River and	12000001	Karnes	12100303	121003030401,1210030 30402,121003030403,1 21003030205,12100303 0206	12000020,12000021,12000022,12000034,12000037	Education	2.31	Riverine,	12002756	00000095,00000255 ,00000282, 00000519,12002756	N	30000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
122000004	San Antonio River drainage ownership mapping	Develop ownership and access understanding parcels fronting the San Antoinion River and major tributaries to have better agreements and access to areas that need flood control mitigation and erosion control	12000001	Karnes	12100303	121003030402	12000021	Education and Outreach	3.67	Riverine, Urban,	12002975	00000095 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00000519 , 12002975		30000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
122000005	San Antonio River drainage ownership mapping	Develop ownership and access understanding parcels fronting the San Antoinion River and major tributaries to have better agreements and access to areas that need flood control mitigation and erosion control	12000001	Karnes	12100303	121003030306,1210030 30404		Education and Outreach		Riverine, Urban,		00000095 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00001006 , 12002757		30000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
122000006	Strengthen floodplain management ordinances	Adopt higher floodplain standards for new development	12000021, 12000022	Wilson	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30105	12000027,12000035	Regulatory and Guidance		Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100,00000255 ,00000282, 12003181	Y	25000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
122000007	Education Signage	Install educational signage such as "Turn around don't drown" at high risk low water crossings.	12000005	Wilson	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30105		Education and Outreach		Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100,00000255 ,00000282, 12003181	Y	5000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
122000008	Digital signage for communication	Coordinate with school district to use sign on US 181 for emergency info and safety	12000005	Wilson	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30105	12000027,12000035	Education and Outreach	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100,00000255 ,00000282, 12003181	v	5000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
122000009	Early warning system education	directions during hazard events. Alert the population through education material, media and other methods about enrolling in the early warning system	12000001	Wilson	12100303	121003030204,1210030 30105	12000027,12000035	Education and Outreach	3.18	Riverine, Urban,	12003181	00000100,00000255 ,00000282, 12003181	v	5000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
		Increase the number of public outreach and education activities to improve awareness of flood hazards and benefits of flood planning in the Flood Planning Region. Promote nature-based solution training		Wilson, Bexar	12100301,12 100303,1210 0304,121101		12000001,12000002,12000003,12000004,12000005,12 000006,12000007,12000008,12000009,12000010,1200 0011,12000012,120000013,12000029,12000055,120000 56,120000063,120000064,12000066,120000091,12000105 12000076,12000078,12000094,12000104,12000105	, Education	505.2	Riverine, Urban,	00000007	00000100,00000255 ,00000282, 12003181	· ·	129000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
	Automatic low water crossings and gauge	Add automatic low water crossings and gauges at various locations, providing real time flood information to the region. This would include development of a plan to identify	12000005	Bexar,Bander			12000058,12000062,12000063,12000095,12000096	Flood Measurement and Warning	660.51	Riverine, Urban,	00000017	,00000022, 00000255,00000282 ,00000291, 00000297,00000339 ,00000936,	v	100000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
122000012	Update flood information and policies	Identify and compile information on flood hazard areas and residential property in flood zones, establish and implement a volunteer acquisition / elevation program based on FEMA protocol in association with SARA studies, and review permitting process bas	12000021, 12000022	Karnes	12100303	121003030402	12000021	Regulatory and Guidance		Riverine, Urban,		00000095,00000255 ,00000282,	N	100000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	Halff Identification Process
122000012		Adopt and implement an ordinance to require RV Parks to provide shelter facilities.	12000022	Atascosa,De	100303,1210 0304,121002 02,12100406 12110110,12		12000014,12000016,12000019,12000020,12000021,12 000022,12000023,12000024,12000025,12000026,1200 0027,12000030,12000034,12000037,12000040,120000 41,12000042,12000043,12000045,12000052,12000057,		749 22	Riverine,	00000095	, 00000099, 00000100, 00000255 , 00000260, 00000264, 00000282		10000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	HDR Identification Process
122000014	Public Education & Outreach	Create a program to educate the public about specific mitigation actions for flooding hazards	12000001, 12000012	Medina	12100302	121003020501,1210030 20503	2200070	Education and Outreach	713.22	Riverine,	12002954	00000005 , 00000255 , 12002954	N	35000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	HDR Identification Process
122000015	Public education and outreach	Implement public education and outreach programs to educate citizens about mitigation against (flood) hazards; seek partnership with county neighboring communities and San Antonio River Authority.	12000012	Wilson	12100302	121003040304,1210030 40302		Education and Outreach	1.72	Riverine, Urban,	12003180	00000100,00000255 ,00000282, 00000392,12001595 ,12003180		5000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	HDR Identification Process
122000016	Citizen flood education outreach	Educate citizens about mitigation strategies prior to any flood conditions, including dangers of debris flooding roads and how to best floodproof homes and businesses.	12000001	Wilson	12100304	121003030102,1210030 30103		Education and Outreach		Riverine, Urban,	12003180	00000100,00000255 ,00000282, 12000592,12002925		10000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	HDR Identification Process
122000017	Updating floodplain ordinances and development code	Updating floodplain ordinances and development code	12000001	Wilson	12100303	121003040304,1210030 40302		Regulatory and Guidance		Riverine, Urban,	12002925	00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 00000392 , 12001595 , 12003180	N	50000	0	0	N	N	N	N Y	HDR Identification Process
					12110107,12 110109,1210	90101,121003020307,1 21003020501,12100302 0304,121003020305,12 1003020502,121003020	12000075,12000081,12000099,12000100,12000107,12	Regulatory				00000005 , 00000255 , 00000290 , 00000299 , 12002954			0	0	N	N	N		HDR Identification Process
122000019	Conservation Easement Program City of Floresville Floodplain Ordinance	Develop a Conservation Easement Program. Create a floodplain ordinance and update development code	12000021	Medina,Bexar	12100303	503 121003030102,1210030 30103	000108 12000028,12000033	and Guidance Regulatory and Guidance		Riverine, Riverine, Urban,	00000005	, 12003377 00000100 , 00000255 , 00000282 , 12000592 , 12002925	N	100000	U	U	IN .	N N	N	N Y	HDR Identification Process

					Funding Surv	vey							
			FMS FMP FME - Name				Esti	mated costs in pla	n		t (share) of total FM:	FMP, or FME es	stimated cost
										Sponsor	Funding		
RFPG #	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME		Regional plan's unique FMS/FMP/FME identification number	Target year of full implementation	Non-	construction costs	Construction- related costs	Total estimated cost	ANTICIPATED SOURCE of Sponsor funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue; dedicated revenue incl. fees)	those local, county or regional	Other Funding Needed (including state, federal and/ or other funding)	
12	City of Fair Oaks Ranch	FME	29010 Tivoli Way	121000162	2030	\$	103,952.03	\$415,808	\$519,760	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Fair Oaks Ranch	FME	7420 Rolling Acres Trail Low Water Crossing	121000005	2030	\$	733,169.93	\$451,830	\$1,185,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Fair Oaks Ranch	FME	7820 Rolling Acres Trail	121000002	2030	\$	290,210.57	\$514,083	\$804,293	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Fair Oaks Ranch	FME	7840 Silver Spur Trail	121000046	2030	\$	295,351.39	\$514,083	\$809,434	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Fair Oaks Ranch	FME	7900 Fair Oaks Parkway	121000003	2030	\$	60,281.65	\$0	\$60,282	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Fair Oaks Ranch	FME	8402 Battle Intense Low Water Crossing	121000006	2030	\$	1,105,087.04	\$2,512,733	\$3,617,820	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Fair Oaks Ranch	FME	8410 Noble Lark Dr	121000047	2030	\$	165,561.98	\$163,787	\$329,349	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of La Vernia	FME	Additional flood proof at wastewater treatment plant	121000146	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	Fees, loans, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Fair Oaks Ranch	FME	Ammann Road Low Water Crossing	121000004	2030	\$	213,657.50	\$1,042,344	\$1,256,001	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Helotes	FME	Antonio Drive Drainage Improvements	121000016	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$3,316,811	\$3,466,811	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Apache Creek & Elmendorf Lake Dam	121000029	2030	\$	350,000.00	\$0	\$350,000	general revenue	100%	0%	100%
12	Kendall County	FMS	Automatic low water crossings and gauges	122000011	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Bandera County	FME	Bandera 470 and Indian Creek Study	121000131	2030	\$	50,000.00	\$0	\$50,000	Grants	50%	50%	100%
12	Bandera County	FME	Bandera 470 and Medina River Study	121000132	2030	\$	50,000.00	\$0	\$50,000	Grants	50%	50%	100%
12	Bandera County	FME	Bandera English Crossing Study	121000126	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	Grants	25%	75%	100%
12	Bandera County Bandera County	FME FME	Bandera FM 2107 Study Bandera Lower Mason Creek and Bandera	121000127 121000129	2030	\$	300,000.00 50,000.00	\$0 \$0	\$300,000	Grants Grants	25% 50%	75% 50%	100%
12	Dandara Carrati	FNAF	Creek at State Highway 16	121000120	2020	ć	F0 000 00	ćo	¢50,000	Counts	F00/	F00/	1000/
12	Bandera County Bandera County	FME FME	Bandera Patterson Street Study Bandera State Highway 173 Study	121000128 121000125	2030 2030	\$	50,000.00 150,000.00	\$0 \$0	\$50,000 \$150,000	Grants Grants	50% 25%	50% 75%	100% 100%
12	Bexar County	FME	Bandera Substation In Floodplain Study	121000128	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	Adjacent counties, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	Bandera County	FME	Bandera WWTP Study	121000130	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	Grants	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FMP	Barbara Drive Drainage Improvements	123000025	2030	\$	3,706,395.59	\$24,120,553	\$27,826,948	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of Fair Oaks Ranch	FME	Battle Intense LWC Flow-activated Sensors	121000007	2030	\$	179,792.25	\$0	\$179,792	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Bexar County	FME	Bexar County Line LWC Engineering Study	121000163	2030	\$	600,000.00	\$0	\$600,000	Adjacent counties, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	Bexar County	FME	Bexar County LWC Engineering Study	121000159	2030	\$	300,000.00	\$0	\$300,000	Adjacent counties, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Poth	FME	Build Detention Pond	121000042	2030	\$	203,952.03	\$0	\$203,952	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Medina County	FME	Cagnon Rd at Polecat Creek (DC-MRN)	121000142	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%

					Funding Surv	/ey							
			FMS FMP FME - Name				Esti	mated costs in pla	ın	Estimated percent	(share) of total FMS	, FMP, or FME es	timated cost
RFPG #	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME		Regional plan's unique FMS/FMP/FME identification number	Target year of full implementation	No	on-construction costs	Construction- related costs	Total estimated cost	ANTICIPATED SOURCE of Sponsor	FUNDING TO BE FINANCED BY SPONSOR (incl. those local, country or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (including state, federal and/ or other funding)	
12	City of Bulverde	FME	Cibolo Creek Tributary 19 Mapping Improvements	121000030	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Floresville	FMS	Citizen flood education outreach	122000016	2030	\$	10,000.00	\$0	\$10,000	grants and loans	0%	100%	100%
12	City of Floresville	FME	City of Floresville Flood Study	121000123	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	grants and loans	0%	100%	100%
12	City of Floresville	FMS	City of Floresville Floodplain Ordinance and Development Code Update	122000020	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	grants and loans	0%	100%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FMP	Concepcion Creek Improvements Project	123000028	2030	\$	240,222,000.00	\$0	\$240,222,000	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	Medina County	FMS	Conservation Easement Program	122000019	2030	\$	50,000.00	\$0	\$50,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Castroville	FME	Country Village Channel Improvements	121000140	2030	\$	50,000.00	\$0	\$50,000	bonds, grants, drainage fees	50%	50%	100%
12	Bexar County	FME	Culebra Creek RSWF	121000155	2030	\$	50,000.00	\$0	\$50,000	Adjacent counties, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Culebra Creek Tributary A at Tezel Road Enhanced Conveyance	121000058	2030	\$	3,729,219.95	\$5,440,594	\$9,169,814	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Tivoli Community	FME	Culvert improvement on Hatch St in Tivoli	121000110	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Tivoli Community	FME	Culvert Improvement on Highway 239 in Tivoli	121000111	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	D/O Center A (Old Pearsall road at Medio Creek)	121000048	2030	\$	1,959,013.75	\$18,571,346	\$20,530,359	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	D/O Center M(HWY 1604 East of Somerset Community)	121000011	2030	\$	360,290.02	\$4,196,285	\$4,556,575	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Poth	FME	Damage Center 1 Project1 – Detention in East Branch Poth Creek	121000010	2030	\$	1,689,053.42	\$0	\$1,689,053	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Stockdale	FME	Damage Center 1 (Stockdale Creek)	121000012	2030	\$	3,569,335.10	\$0	\$3,569,335	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Poth	FME	Damage Center 1 Project2A – Improved crossing at U.S. Highway 181	121000049	2030	\$	1,928,034.73	\$0	\$1,928,035	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Floresville	FME	Damage Center 1: Project 1A, 1B, 1C	121000150	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	grants and loans	0%	100%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 14- Airport Trib	121000086	2030	\$	11,145,381.94	\$17,611,050	\$28,756,432	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 19- San Pedro Creek	121000087	2030	\$	8,615,588.04	\$3,237,314	\$11,852,902	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Stockdale	FME	Damage Center 2 (South Tributary to Stockdale Creek)	121000052	2030	\$	660,768.06	\$0	\$660,768	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 2- Martinez Creek	121000065	2030	\$	12,459,064.42	\$12,653,145	\$25,112,209	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of Poth	FME	Damage Center 2- Project 2 Road connection from Mosspoint to Sunshine	121000051	2030	\$	198,959.44	\$0	\$198,959	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Floresville	FME	Damage Center 2: Project 1 Channelization	121000149	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	grants and loans	0%	100%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 20-Matinez Creek	121000088	2030	\$	22,251,473.14	\$44,314,311	\$66,565,784	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 23-New Braunfels, Austin Hwy, Broadway Drain	121000089	2030	\$	23,560,933.03	\$32,054,647	\$55,615,580	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%

		1			Funding Surv	/ey							
	Sponsor Entity Name		FMS FMP FME - Name				Esti	mated costs in pla	n	•	(share) of total FMS	, FMP, or FME es	timated cost
RFPG #		FMS or FMP or FME		Regional plan's unique FMS/FMP/FME identification number	Target year of full implementation	No	on-construction costs	Construction- related costs	Total estimated cost	ANTICIPATED SOURCE of Sponsor funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue; dedicated revenue incl. fees)	FUNDING TO BE FINANCED BY SPONSOR (incl. those local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (including state, federal and/ or other funding)	
12	City of Poth	FME	Damage Center 2-Project 1 Culvert Improvements at Menchaca	121000050	2030	\$	276,876.68	\$0	\$276,877	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 3- Zarzamora Creek	121000082	2030	\$	32,730,102.67	\$11,684,208	\$44,414,311	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 31-Rockwood Creek	121000094	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	general revenue	100%	0%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 32-Six Mile Creek	121000090	2030	\$	9,392,588.96	\$10,735,318	\$20,127,907	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 34-State Hospital Creek	121000091	2030	\$	2,005,668.31	\$4,036,230	\$6,041,898	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 38-Olmos Creek Lower Reach Near Montview	121000081	2030	\$	623,497.37	\$0	\$623,497	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 39-Olmos Creek and Olmos Creek East Channel	121000080	2030	\$	601,642.59	\$0	\$601,643	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 3-Lorence Creek	121000063	2030	\$	2,473,246.63	\$6,619,756	\$9,093,003	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 4- Apache Creek	121000028	2030	\$	8,787,565.29	\$6,289,908	\$15,077,473	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 40-San Antonio River DS Reach near Roosevelt	121000079	2030	\$	12,536,092.87	\$0	\$12,536,093	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 43-Olmos Creek Middle Reach near DeZavala	121000027	2030	\$	8,878,636.15	\$0	\$8,878,636	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 44-San Antonio River Near Center Road	121000078	2030	\$	7,618,556.51	\$0	\$7,618,557	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 5-Salado Creek Trib F	121000062	2030	\$	7,617,754.05	\$19,227,279	\$26,845,034	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 6- Martinez Creek	121000083	2030	\$	40,552,311.96	\$0	\$40,552,312	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 7- Zarzamora Creek	121000084	2030	\$	14,775,611.60	\$0	\$14,775,612	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Damage Center 9- Alazan Creek	121000085	2030	\$	19,406,183.49	\$46,217,795	\$65,623,978	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	DC13/14: Walzem Creek	121000064	2030	\$	2,034,307.84	\$5,000,898	\$7,035,206	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	DC19: Salado Creek Tributary B	121000019	2030	\$	5,336,253.40	\$14,454,210	\$19,790,464	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Helotes	FME	Detailed Study of Culebra Creek Trib C	121000055	2030	\$	65,000.00	\$0	\$65,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Helotes	FME	Detailed Study of Unnamed Trib 3 to Helotes Creek	121000054	2030	\$	40,000.00	\$0	\$40,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Stockdale	FME	Detention/Retention pond on school property	121000045	2030	\$	1,604,360.85	\$0	\$1,604,361	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Stockdale	FME	Develop and implement a Stormwater Management Plan for Stockdale Creek	121000036	2030	\$	1,203,488.68	\$0	\$1,203,489	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance	FMS	Development of a Streamscaping Program for Flood Risk Management in Texas	122000010	2030	\$	129,000.00	\$0	\$129,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%

					Funding Surv	vey							
			FMS FMP FME - Name				Esti	mated costs in pla	n		t (share) of total FMS	, FMP, or FME es	timated cost
RFPG #	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME		Regional plan's unique FMS/FMP/FME identification number	Target year of full implementation		onstruction costs	Construction- related costs	Total estimated cost	ANTICIPATED SOURCE of Sponsor funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue; dedicated revenue incl. fees)	FUNDING TO BE FINANCED BY SPONSOR (incl. those local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (including state, federal and/ or other funding)	
12	City of Poth	FMS	Digital signage for communication	122000008	2030	\$	5,000.00	\$0	\$5,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Stockdale	FME	Drainage improvements to wastewater treatment plants	121000043	2030	\$	852,325.78	\$0	\$852,326	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Poth	FME	Drainage Study Marcelinas Creek and its major tributary	121000041	2030	\$	250,726.81	\$0	\$250,727	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	Karnes County	FME	Early warning flood systems	121000115	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Poth	FMS	Early warning system education	122000009	2030	\$	5,000.00	\$0	\$5,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Poth	FMS	Education Signage	122000007	2030	\$	5,000.00	\$0	\$5,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	Wilson County	FME	Erosion at CR 401 and Cibolo Creek	121000102	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, fees, loans, grants	50%	50%	100%
12	Wilson County	FME	Erosion on CR 202 East and Marcelina Creek	121000103	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, fees, loans, grants	50%	50%	100%
12	San Antonio River Authority	FME	Evaluation and prioritization of new gauge locations	121000134	2030	\$	50,000.00	\$0	\$50,000	inner local agreement loans and grants, bond	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Castroville	FME	Flat Creek Study	121000105	2030	\$	500,000.00	\$0	\$500,000	bonds, grants, drainage fees	50%	50%	100%
12	City of Bulverde	FME	FM 1863 at Cibolo Creek LWC	121000095	2030	\$	1,841,453.22	\$3,335,823	\$5,177,276	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	French Creek at Guilbeau Road NWWC	121000017	2030	\$	3,823,238.44	\$6,004,761	\$9,827,999	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	French Creek RSWF	121000057	2030	\$	5,975,658.72	\$13,141,428	\$19,117,087	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	San Antonio River Authority	FME	Future conditions data refinement study	121000135	2030	\$	500,000.00	\$0	\$500,000	inner local agreement loans and grants, bond	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Castroville	FME	Garcia Creek Channel Stabilization	121000139	2030	\$	50,000.00	\$0	\$50,000	bonds, grants, drainage fees	50%	50%	100%
12	Bexar County	FME	Gass Road at Culebra Creek Tributary D	121000156	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	Adjacent counties, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	Goliad County	FME	Goliad Damage Center A	121000106	2030	\$	50,000.00	\$0	\$50,000	taxes, grants, loans	0%	100%	100%
12	Goliad County	FME	Goliad Damage Center B	121000107	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	0%	100%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Helotes Creek at Bandera Road Enhanced Conveyance	121000059	2030	\$	907,127.20	\$1,704,354	\$2,611,481	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Helotes Creek RSWF	121000060	2030	\$	5,173,548.25	\$3,805,097	\$8,978,646	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Bandera County	FME	Highway 16 Bridge Upgrade	121000124	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	Grants	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FMP	Holbrook Road Improvements	123000024	2030	\$ 1	1,119,519.69	\$3,488,601	\$14,608,120	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%


					Funding Surv							
			FMS FMP FME - Name			Es	timated costs in pla	an		t (share) of total FMS	S, FMP, or FME es	timated cost
RFPG #	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME		Regional plan's unique FMS/FMP/FME identification number	Target year of full implementation	Non-construction costs	Construction- related costs	Total estimated cost	ANTICIPATED SOURCE of Sponsor funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue; dedicated revenue incl. fees)	FUNDING TO BE FINANCED BY SPONSOR (incl. those local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (including state, federal and/or other funding)	
12	San Antonio River Authority	FME	Holistic Watershed based master planning consistent with Nature Based Solutions	121000161	2030	\$ 2,247,403.1	\$0	\$2,247,403	inner local agreement loans and grants, bond	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Leon Valley	FME	Huebner Creek Flood Control Project Segment 1	121000018	2030	\$ 22,471,309.7	\$0	\$22,471,310	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Karnes County	FME	Improve bridge at CR 337	121000104	2030	\$ 500,000.0	\$0	\$500,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Bulverde	FME	Indian Creek Mapping Improvements	121000031	2030	\$ 100,000.0	0 \$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Poth	FME	Install early warning systems	121000040	2030	\$ 100,000.0	0 \$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Poth	FME	Install pipe gates to close off streets	121000096	2030	\$ 250,000.0	0 \$0	\$250,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Falls City	FME	Inventory of residences in floodplain	121000032	2030	\$ 50,000.0	\$0	\$50,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Karnes City	FME	Inventory of residences in floodplain	121000038	2030	\$ 50,000.0	\$0	\$50,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Kenedy	FME	Inventory of residences in floodplain	121000034	2030	\$ 50,000.0	\$0	\$50,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Runge	FME	Inventory of residences in floodplain	121000056	2030	\$ 50,000.0	\$0	\$50,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of La Vernia	FME	La Vernia Issue # 2 and # 3 (City Park/ La Vernia ISD)	121000119	2030	\$ 150,000.0	0 \$0	\$150,000	Fees, loans, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	City of La Vernia	FME	La Vernia Issue # 5 (Hwy 87 crossing and CR 342)	121000118	2030	\$ 150,000.0	\$0	\$150,000	Fees, loans, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FMP	Judson and Lookout LWC Improvement	123000022	2030	\$ 2,895,982.8	\$3,405,221	\$6,301,204	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Falls City	FME	Karnes County Damage Centers Karnes A	121000013	2030	\$ 4,243,043.1	\$0	\$4,243,043	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Falls City	FME	Karnes County Damage Centers Karnes B	121000014	2030	\$ 4,243,043.1	\$0	\$4,243,043	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	San Antonio River Authority	FME	Escondidio Creek WS SCS Site 1, 2, 4 Dam	121000120	2030	\$ 300,000.0	\$0	\$300,000	inner local agreement, grant	0%	100%	100%
12	Karnes County	FME	Karnes Damage Center H	121000145	2030	\$ 150,000.0	\$0	\$150,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Kenedy	FME	Karnes Hwy at Escondido Creek	121000009	2030	\$ 417,398.1	\$ \$0	\$417,398	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Castroville	FME	Kempf Creek Watershed Study	121000108	2030	\$ 150,000.0	\$0	\$150,000	bonds, grants, drainage fees	50%	50%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Hubner Creek Flood Protection Barier	121000061	2030	\$ 22,480,288.4	\$13,200,844	\$35,681,133	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of Bulverde	FMP	Lewis Creek Alternative 1 Phase 1 & 2	123000017	2030	\$ 645,318.3	\$5,376,460	\$6,021,778	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Bulverde	FMP	Lewis Creek Main	123000020	2030	\$	\$165,184	\$165,184	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Bulverde	FMP	Lewis Creek Tributary 2 Alternative 1 & 2	123000019	2030	\$ 314,950.5	\$2,624,430	\$2,939,381	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%

					Funding Surv	vey							
	Sponsor Entity Name		FMS FMP FME - Name				Estir	mated costs in pla	ın		(share) of total FMS	, FMP, or FME es	timated cost
RFPG #		FMS or FMP or FME		Regional plan's unique FMS/FMP/FME identification number	Target year of full implementation		onstruction costs	Construction- related costs	Total estimated cost	ANTICIPATED SOURCE of Sponsor	FUNDING TO BE FINANCED BY SPONSOR (incl. those local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (including state, federal and/ or other funding)	
12	Bexar County	FME	Live Oak at Salitrillo Creek (CB-9)	121000158	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	Adjacent counties, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	Karnes County	FME	Low Water Crossing Upgrades	121000114	2030	\$	305,000.00	\$0	\$305,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	San Antonio River Authority	FME	Lower Basin Predictive Flood Model	121000109	2030	\$	1,000,000.00	\$0	\$1,000,000	inner local agreement loans and grants, bond	25%	75%	100%
12	Medina County	FME	Lucas Creek at Cinco De Mayo Dr Bridge and Channel (DC-MRD)	121000141	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC #13 West Ave. @ Interpark	121000073	2030	\$	1,374,679.98	\$4,385,273	\$5,759,953	taxes, grants, loans	100%	0%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC #15 Copperhill Between Parkstone & Happy Hollow	121000072	2030	\$	238,773.32	\$233,215	\$471,988	general revenue	100%	0%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC #159.1 Southton Rd	121000025	2030	\$	963,772.04	\$5,138,907	\$6,102,679	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC #34 Sleepy Hollow @ Sunburst	121000026	2030	\$	938,002.72	\$4,483,086	\$5,421,088	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC #71 Danville and Overbrook	121000075	2030	\$	2,890,500.00	\$0	\$2,890,500	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC 100, Blakeley Area Drainage Improvement	121000022	2030	\$	269,346.07	\$403,432	\$672,778	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC 112.1 Pvt Rd. 300' North of Marbcah Rd.	121000021	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	general revenue	100%	0%	100%
12	Kendall County	FME	LWC at Ammann Rd and Post Oak Creek	121000092	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Kendall County	FME	LWC at Old Fredericksburg Rd and Balcones Creek	121000093	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC No 113-116 and Associated Channel Improvements	121000070	2030	\$	917,273.93	\$2,748,766	\$3,666,040	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC# 101 Rittiman Creek @ Gibbs Sprawl	121000097	2030	\$	3,994,964.80	\$6,978,475	\$10,973,440	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC# 91 Weidner 500 ft N of Schertz	121000071	2030	\$	699,298.91	\$2,419,306	\$3,118,605	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC#156 New Sulphur Springs Rd – btwn S. Foster & Gardner	121000024	2030	\$	2,290,161.37	\$20,555,629	\$22,845,791	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC#41 Vance Jackson 200ft south of Scenic	121000020	2030	\$	283,546.00	\$729,754	\$1,013,300	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC 42 Dreamland south of RR Xing	121000069	2030	\$	770,000.00	\$10,700,000	\$11,470,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC#72 Spencer Lane, east of Balcones Rd.	121000076	2030	\$	487,969.59	\$1,401,362	\$1,889,332	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	LWC157 New Sulphur Springs Rd – East of Beck Rd	121000023	2030	\$	340,796.64	\$601,951	\$942,748	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Mahncke Park Outfall	121000077	2030	\$	1,526,935.61	\$9,265,737	\$10,792,673	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Stockdale	FME	Maintain Drainage System	121000098	2030	\$	2,073,414.46	\$0	\$2,073,414	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%

					Funding Surv	vey							
	Sponsor Entity Name		FMS FMP FME - Name				Estir	nated costs in pla	n		t (share) of total FMS	, FMP, or FME es	timated cost
RFPG #		FMS or FMP or FME		Regional plan's unique FMS/FMP/FME identification number	Target year of full implementation		nstruction osts	Construction- related costs	Total estimated cost	ANTICIPATED SOURCE of Sponsor funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue; dedicated revenue incl. fees)	FUNDING TO BE FINANCED BY SPONSOR (incl. those local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (including state, federal and/ or other funding)	TOTAL (auto) sum must = 100%
12	City of Selma	FME	Master Drainage plan	121000015	2030	\$	577,600.00	\$0	\$577,600	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Bexar County	FME	Master Drainage Plan for Bexar County HALT Low Water	121000154	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	Adjacent counties, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	Bexar County	FME	Master Drainage Plan for Bexar County Unincorporated Areas	121000153	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	Adjacent counties, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	Tivoli Community	FME	Miller Creek on the Smoky Creek Ranch Drainage Improvements	121000112	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Poth	FME	Mitigate local flooding in identified problem areas	121000035	2030	\$	5,000.00	\$0	\$5,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	San Antonio River Authority	FME	Natural capital inventory	121000133	2030	\$	300,000.00	\$0	\$300,000	inner local agreement loans and grants, bond	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Stockdale	FME	New Bridges on 6th and 8th Streets	121000044	2030	\$	651,453.62	\$0	\$651,454	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of La Coste	FME	New Drainage Analysis to Update/Revise Flood Maps	121000113	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	New Sulphur Springs – East of Lodi Rd	121000074	2030	\$	430,557.79	\$1,887,226	\$2,317,784	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	San Antonio River Authority	FME	Nichols Creek Stabilization	121000152	2030	\$ 1	1,000,000.00	\$0	\$1,000,000	inner local agreement loans and grants, bond	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Normoyle Ditch - Alt 1	121000068	2030	\$	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	general revenue	100%	0%	100%
12	City of Castroville	FME	North Lorenzo, Athens Street, Naples Street Storm Drainage Improvements	121000117	2030	\$	300,000.00	\$0	\$300,000	bonds, grants, drainage fees	50%	50%	100%
12	City of Helotes	FME	Parrigin Road Drainage Improvements	121000053	2030	\$	295,579.52	\$975,648	\$1,271,228	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	San Antonio River Authority	FME	Port of San Antonio Floodproofing	121000136	2030	\$	250,000.00	\$0	\$250,000	inner local agreement, grant	0%	100%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 10 - E. BLANCO ROAD AT UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A	123000010	2025	\$	505,635.99	\$1,010,716	\$1,516,352	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 11 - RIVER ROAD AT UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A	123000011	2035	\$	477,595.80	\$849,212	\$1,326,808	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 12 - PLANT CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT	123000013	2030	\$	438,073.99	\$793,962	\$1,232,036	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 13 - HERFF AND ESSER ROAD IMPROVEMENTS AT CURREY AND CIBOLO CREEK	123000012	2035	\$ 4	1,836,253.84	\$9,663,859	\$14,500,113	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 14 - EAST BOERNE REGIONAL LID	123000014	2030	\$	275,976.00	\$387,428	\$663,404	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 15 - NORTH CURREY CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	123000015	2030	\$	278,321.61	\$385,082	\$663,404	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 16 - SOUTH CURREY CREEK CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	123000016	2030	\$	507,030.08	\$914,550	\$1,421,580	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 1A - ADLER ROAD AT CURREY CREEK AND UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A	123000001	In Design (2025)	\$	296,597.35	\$1,314,526	\$1,611,124	general revenue	25%	75%	100%

					Funding Surv	vey							
			FMS FMP FME - Name				Esti	mated costs in pla	n	•	t (share) of total FMS	, FMP, or FME es	timated cost
RFPG #	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME		Regional plan's unique FMS/FMP/FME identification number	Target year of full implementation	Non-const cost		Construction- related costs	Total estimated cost	ANTICIPATED SOURCE of Sponsor funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue; dedicated revenue incl. fees)	FUNDING TO BE FINANCED BY SPONSOR (incl. those local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (including state, federal and/ or other funding)	
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 2 - UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A REGIONAL DETENTION FACILITY	123000002	2030	\$ 2,3	359,462.12	\$4,653,664	\$7,013,126	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 3 - CURREY CREEK REGIONAL DETENTION FACILITY	123000003	2030	\$ 2,9	969,774.70	\$5,938,791	\$8,908,566	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 4 - SCHOOL STREET AT CIBOLO CREEK AND FREDERICK CREEK	123000004	2025	\$ 1,6	88,854.66	\$3,334,060	\$5,022,915	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 5D - OLD SAN ANTONIO STREET AT MENGER CREEK	123000005	In Design (2025)	\$ 8	312,921.20	\$2,693,642	\$3,506,563	general revenue	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 6 - JOHNS ROAD NEAR CIBOLO CROSSING SUBDIVISION	123000006	2025	\$ 4	184,512.26	\$937,067	\$1,421,580	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 7 - SCHWEPPE AND HICKMAN STREET	123000007	2025	\$ 6	581,292.06	\$1,308,919	\$1,990,212	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 8 - JOHNS AND LOHMANN STREET	123000008	2030	\$ 6	509,952.45	\$1,095,943	\$1,705,896	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Boerne	FMP	PROJECT 9 - UNNAMED TRIBUTARY A- SUBDIVISION FLOOD PROTECTION & MOBILITY PROJECT	123000009	2035	\$ 1,6	512,886.39	\$3,220,484	\$4,833,371	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Floresville	FME	Property acquisition and demolition and/or relocations	121000148	2030	\$ 1,5	500,000.00	\$0	\$1,500,000	grants and loans	0%	100%	100%
12	City of La Coste	FMS	Public Education & Outreach	122000014	2030	\$	35,000.00	\$0	\$35,000	grants	0%	100%	100%
12	City of La Vernia	FMS	Public education and outreach	122000015	2030	\$	5,000.00	\$0	\$5,000	Fees, loans, grants	50%	50%	100%
12	Wilson County	FME	Recommend for Wilson Roadways-Project 3- CR 122 & Mariana Creek	121000116	2030	\$ 1	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, fees, loans, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	Wilson County	FME	Recommend for Wilson Roadways - Project 4 - Mariana Rd & Mariana Creek	121000100	2030	\$ 1	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, fees, loans, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	Wilson County	FME	Recommend for Wilson Roadways - Project 5 - CR 108 & Mariana Creek	121000101	2030	\$ 1	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, fees, loans, grants	50%	50%	100%
12	Wilson County	FME	Recommend for Wilson Roadways - Project 7 - CR 119 & Mariana Creek	121000147	2030	\$ 1	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, fees, loans, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	City of La Vernia	FME	Repetitive loss properties	121000151	2030	\$ 1	150,000.00	\$0	\$150,000	Fees, loans, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	San Antonio River Authority	FME	River Authority WWTP Resilience	121000137	2030	\$ 6	500,000.00	\$0	\$600,000	utility revenue, grant	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FMP	Rock Creek - Alt 1	123000021	2030	\$ 5,9	38,555.98	\$11,702,161	\$17,640,717	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Bexar County	FME	Rockwood Creek (SA-39)	121000157	2030	\$ 1	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	Adjacent counties, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Fair Oaks Ranch	FME	Rolling Acres Trail LWC Flow-activated Sensors	121000008	2030	\$ 3	359,584.50	\$0	\$359,585	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Karnes City	FMS	San Antonio River drainage ownership mapping	122000003	2030	\$	30,000.00	\$0	\$30,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Kenedy	FMS	San Antonio River drainage ownership mapping	122000004	2030	\$	30,000.00	\$0	\$30,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Runge	FMS	San Antonio River drainage ownership mapping	122000005	2030	\$	30,000.00	\$0	\$30,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%


					Funding Surv	/ey							
			FMS FMP FME - Name	Regional plan's unique FMS/FMP/FME identification number			Estir	nated costs in pla	n	·	(share) of total FMS	, FMP, or FME es	timated cost
RFPG #	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME	P or		Target year of full implementation	Non	-construction costs	Construction- related costs	Total estimated cost	ANTICIPATED SOURCE of Sponsor funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue; dedicated revenue incl. fees)	FUNDING TO BE FINANCED BY SPONSOR (incl. those local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (including state, federal and/ or other funding)	
12	City of Falls City	FMS	San Antonio River drainage ownership study	122000002	2030	\$	30,000.00	\$0	\$30,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FMP	Seeling Drainage Improvements	123000018	2030	\$	9,862,734.96	\$18,504,720	\$28,367,455	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FMP	Shady Lane Dr. Voluntary Property Acquisition	123000027	2030	\$	1,306,981.79	\$0	\$1,306,982	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Karnes County	FMS	Shelter requirement for RV parks	122000013	2030	\$	10,000.00	\$0	\$10,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Poth	FMS	Strengthen floodplain management ordinances	122000006	2030	\$	25,000.00	\$0	\$25,000	taxes, grants, loans	20%	80%	100%
12	City of Falls City	FMS	Study the San Antonio River and its tributes	122000001	2030	\$	250,000.00	\$0	\$250,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Runge	FME	Study the San Antonio River, Ojo de Agua Creek and its tributaries	121000001	2030	\$	250,000.00	\$0	\$250,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FMP	Symphony Lane Voluntary Property Acquisition	123000023	2030	\$	33,019,314.45	\$0	\$33,019,314	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FMP	Thames Drainage Channel Replacement - Alt 1	123000026	2030	\$	8,818,036.90	\$20,172,711	\$28,990,748	taxes, grants, loans	10%	90%	100%
12	Medina County	FME	Trumbo Rd at Palo Blanco Creek (DC-MRP)	121000143	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Falls City	FME	Update flood information and policies	121000037	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Karnes City	FME	Update flood information and policies	121000033	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Kenedy	FMS	Update flood information and policies	122000012	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Runge	FME	Update flood information and policies	121000039	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	Karnes County	FME	Update flood information and policies	121000160	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of La Vernia	FMS	Updating floodplain ordinances and development code	122000017	2030	\$	50,000.00	\$0	\$50,000	Fees, loans, grants	50%	50%	100%
12	City of San Antonio	FME	Upper Martinez Creek Improvements	121000099	2030	\$	1,673,872.15	\$2,426,984	\$4,100,856	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of La Coste	FME	Wet-Proof Wastewater System	121000144	2030	\$	50,000.00	\$0	\$50,000	grants	0%	100%	100%
12	Wilson County	FME	Wilson 10 - Acquisitions of Flooded Structures	121000122	2030	\$	100,000.00	\$0	\$100,000	taxes, fees, loans, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	Wilson County	FME	Wilson County LWC Study	121000121	2030	\$	300,000.00	\$0	\$300,000	taxes, fees, loans, grants	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Balcones Heights	FME	Woodlawn Lawn Lake Option 1(Phase 1-3)	121000067	2030	\$	2,529,303.16	\$8,743,469	\$11,272,772	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%
12	City of Balcones Heights	FME	Woodlawn Lawn Lake Option 2	121000066	2030	\$	1,166,858.91	\$5,121,688	\$6,288,547	taxes, grants, loans	25%	75%	100%




Appendix B. Maps


- Map 1. Existing Flood Infrastructure (2.1 Task 1 Planning Area Description)
- Map 2. Proposed or Ongoing Flood Mitigation Projects (2.1 Task 1 Planning Area Description)
- Map 3. Nonfunctional or Deficient Infrastructure (2.1 Task 1 Planning Area Description)
- Map 4. Existing Condition Flood Hazard (2.2.A.1 Existing Condition Flood Hazard Analysis)
- Map 5. Existing Condition Flood Hazard Gaps in Inundation Boundary Mapping including Identification of Known Flood-Prone Areas (2.2.A.1 Existing Condition Flood Hazard Analysis)
- Map 6. Existing Condition Flood Exposure (2.2.A.2 Existing Condition Flood Exposure Analysis)
- Map 7. Existing Condition Flood Vulnerability including Critical Infrastructure (2.2A.3 Existing Condition Vulnerability Analysis)
- Map 8. Future Condition Flood Hazard (2.2.B.1 Future Condition Flood Hazard Analysis)
- Map 9. Future Condition Flood Hazard Gaps in Inundation Boundary Mapping including Identification of Known Flood-Prone Areas (2.2.B.1 Future Condition Flood Hazard Analysis)
- Map 10. Extent of Increase of Flood Hazard Compared to Existing Condition (2.2.B.1 Future Condition Flood Hazard Analysis)
- Map 11. Future Condition Flood Exposure (2.2.B.2 Future Condition Flood Exposure Analysis)
- Map 12. Future Condition Flood Vulnerability including Critical Infrastructure (2.2.B.3 Future Condition Vulnerability Analysis)
- Map 13. Floodplain Management (2.3.A Task 3A Evaluation and Recommendations on Floodplain Management Practices)
- Map 14. Greatest Gaps in Flood Risk Information (2.4.A Task 4A Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis)
- Map 15. Greatest Flood Risk (2.4.A Task 4A Flood Mitigation Needs Analysis)


- Map 16. Extent of Potential Flood Management Evaluations and Existing Mapping Needs (2.4.B Task 4B- Identification and Evaluation of Potential Flood Management Evaluations and Potentially Feasible Flood Management Strategies and Flood Mitigation Projects)
- Map 17. Extent of Potential Flood Mitigation Projects (2.4.B Task 4B)
- Map 18. Extent of Potential Flood Management Strategies (2.4.B Task 4B)
- Map 19. Recommended Flood Management Evaluations (2.5.A Flood Management Evaluations)
- Map 20. Recommended Flood Mitigation Projects (2.5.B Flood Mitigation Projects)
- Map 21. Recommended Flood Management Strategies (2.5.C Flood Management Strategies)
- Map 22. Model Coverage (2.4.C Task 4C Prepare and Submit Technical Memorandum)





FINAL













REGION 12





HOR REGION

REGION 12 - MAP 4D - SAN ANTONIO LOWER BASIN - EXISTING FLOOD HAZARD




FINAL






HDR 12

FINAL




FOR REGION

REGION 12 - MAP 4H - SAN ANTONIO LOWER BASIN - EXISTING FLOOD HAZARD TYPE




REGION 12 - MAP 5 - EXISTING CONDITIONS FLOOD HAZARD KNOWN DATA GAPS
FINAL

H: ZAPROJECTS\TWDB\TWDB_RFPG\TRFP2023_REGION12_ELECTRONICFILES_HDR__WORKING\MAP_DOCS\EXHIBITS\TM_FIGURES\MAP5_EXISTGAPMAP_11X17.MXD - USER: PDUNNING - DATE: 12/29/2022




FOR REGION 12

REGION 12 - MAP 6 - EXISTING CONDITION EXPOSURE ANALYSIS







REGION 12 - MAP 7 - EXISTING CONDITION FLOOD VULNERABILITY ANALYSIS (SVI > 0.75)




REGION 12 - MAP 8A - SAN ANTONIO UPPER BASIN - FUTURE FLOOD HAZARD







12 September 1997





FOR REGION 12 PROGRAM

REGION 12 - MAP 8D - SAN ANTONIO LOWER BASIN - FUTURE FLOOD HAZARD













REGION 12


REGION 12 - MAP 8H - SAN ANTONIO LOWER BASIN - FUTURE FLOOD HAZARD TYPE














PR REGION 12


REGION 12 - MAP 10D - SAN ANTONIO LOWER BASIN - EXTENT OF INCREASE OF FLOOD HAZARD COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITION



-DR REGION









FOR REGION 12

REGION 12 - MAP 10H - SAN ANTONIO LOWER BASIN - EXTENT OF INCREASE OF FLOOD HAZARD COMPARED TO EXISTING CONDITION






REGION 12 - MAP 11 - FUTURE CONDITION EXPOSURE ANALYSIS






REGION 12 - MAP 12 - FUTURE CONDITION VULNERABILITY ANALYSIS (SVI > 0.75)





SIRFP2023_REGION12_ELECTRONICFILES_HDR_WORKING\MAP_DOCS\EXHIBITS\TM_FIGURES\MAP13_FLOODPLAINMANAGEMENTPRACTICES\MAP_11X17.MXD - USER: PDUNNING - DATE: 12/30/2022




REGION 12

REGION 12 - MAP 14 - GREATEST GAPS AND RISK SCORE









REGION 12 - MAP 16B - MODELING GAPS AND FLOOD MANAGEMENT EVALUATIONS
FINAL


HOR 12



EGION 12 - MAP 10C - MODELING GAPS AND FLOOD MANAGEMENT EVALUATIONS EXISTING




2 ELECTRONICEILES, HDD WORKINGMAR DOCSEVHIRITSTM EIGHRESMART, DEGIONAL EMR 11717 MYD.




REGION 12 - MAP 18 - FLOOD MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES
FINAL


FOR The second s




REGION 12 - MAP 19 - RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT EVALUATIONS




REGION 12 - MAP 20 - RECOMMENDED FLOOD MANAGEMENT PROJECTS





REGION 12 - MAP 22 -MODELING MAP FINAL

HOR 12



Appendix C. Public Outreach Meeting Reports

San Antonio RFPG Public Meeting – Bandera County

San Antonio RFPG Public Meeting - St. Hedwig

San Antonio RFPG Public Meeting - Virtual

San Antonio RFPG Public Meeting – San Antonio

San Antonio RFPG Public Meeting – Schertz

San Antonio RFPG Public Meeting - Floresville

Public Meeting Presentation

Public Outreach Flood Concern Table

PLEASE SEE DIGITAL SUBMITTAL FOR FULL REPORTS

This page is intentionally left blank.



San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group Public Meeting Documentation

Planning Region

Region 12 consisting of parts of Aransas, Atascosa, Bandera, Bexar, Caldwell, Calhoun, Comal, DeWitt, Goliad, Guadalupe, Karnes, Kendall, Kerr, Medina, Refugio, Victoria, and Wilson counties.

Meeting Location, Time, and Date

Thursday, December 9, 2021
10 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.
Bandera County River Authority and Conservation District (BCRAGD)

Presenters

Ronald Branson, P.E., Project Manager, HDR, Inc.
Troy Dorman, P.E., Assistant Project Manager, Halff, Inc.
David Mauk, CFM, General Manager, BCRAGD
Larry Thomas, CFM, Natural Resource Specialist, BCRAGD

Elected Officials in Attendance

3

Total Number of Attendees (approx.)

10

Number of Comments Submitted at Meeting



San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group Public Meeting Documentation

Planning Region

Region 12 consisting of parts of Aransas, Atascosa, Bandera, Bexar, Caldwell, Calhoun, Comal, DeWitt, Goliad, Guadalupe, Karnes, Kendall, Kerr, Medina, Refugio, Victoria, and Wilson counties.

Meeting Location, Time, and Date

Tuesday, January 11, 2021 6:30 p.m. – 8 p.m. Tradition Elementary School Cafeteria 12885 FM 1346, St. Hedwig, TX 78152

Presenters

Ronald Branson, P.E, Project Manager, HDR, Inc.

Elected Officials in Attendance

1

Total Number of Attendees (approx.)

7

Number of Comments Submitted at Meeting



San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group Virtual Public Meeting Documentation

Planning Region

Region 12 consisting of parts of Aransas, Atascosa, Bandera, Bexar, Caldwell, Calhoun, Comal, DeWitt, Goliad, Guadalupe, Karnes, Kendall, Kerr, Medina, Refugio, Victoria, and Wilson counties.

Virtual Meeting Date, Time and Location

Monday, February 7, 2022 6 p.m. – 7 p.m. Webex link at www.region12texas.org

Presenters

Ronald Branson, P.E, Project Manager, HDR, Inc.

Elected Officials in Attendance None

Total Number of Attendees (approx.)

3

Number of Comments Submitted

Any comments submitted by meeting participants can be found at www.region12texas.org and clicking the link in the Comment Map section of the webpage.



San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group Public Meeting Documentation

Planning Region

Region 12 consisting of parts of Aransas, Atascosa, Bandera, Bexar, Calhoun, Comal, DeWitt, Goliad, Guadalupe, Karnes, Kendall, Kerr, Medina, Refugio, Victoria, and Wilson counties.

Meeting Date, Time, and Location

Monday, June 6, 2022, 6:30 p.m. to 8 p.m.
Sam Rayburn Middle School
1400 Cedarhurst Dr.
San Antonio, TX 78227

Presenters

Ronald Branson, P.E, Project Manager, HDR, Inc.

Elected Officials in Attendance

0

Total Number of Attendees (approx.)

5

Number of Comments Submitted at Meeting



San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group Public Meeting Documentation

Planning Region

Region 12 consisting of parts of Aransas, Atascosa, Bandera, Bexar, Calhoun, Comal, DeWitt, Goliad, Guadalupe, Karnes, Kendall, Kerr, Medina, Refugio, Victoria, and Wilson counties.

Meeting Date, Time, and Location

Tuesday, June 7, 2022, 6:30 p.m. to 8 p.m. City of Schertz North Center 3501 Morning Dr. Schertz, TX 78108

Presenters

Ronald Branson, P.E, Project Manager, HDR, Inc.

Elected Officials in Attendance

1
Total Number of Attendees (approx.)

Number of Comments Submitted at Meeting

1



San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group Public Meeting Documentation

Planning Region

Region 12 consisting of parts of Aransas, Atascosa, Bandera, Bexar, Calhoun, Comal, DeWitt, Goliad, Guadalupe, Karnes, Kendall, Kerr, Medina, Refugio, Victoria, and Wilson counties.

Meeting Location, Time, and Date

Thursday, June 16, 2022, 6:30 p.m. to 8 p.m. Jack's Café
507 Tenth Street
Floresville, TX 78114

Presenters

Ronald Branson, P.E, Project Manager, HDR, Inc.

Elected Officials in Attendance

2
Total Number of Attendees (approx.)

Number of Comments Submitted at Meeting



San Antonio Regional Flood Plan

January 11, 2022



Agenda

- Introductions
- Plan Objectives and Benefits
- Background
- Planning Process and Other Studies
- Stakeholder Input
- Next Steps



Meeting Purpose: Introduce the regional flood planning process and gather local knowledge of flood-prone areas, flood mitigation projects and needs. Local management team has dedicated their careers to San Antonio Basin

Ron Branyon, PE, CFM

Project Manager
Point of contact/HDR

Added Value To SARFPG

- Local, Responsive Project Manager
- 20 years of experience delivering TWDB flood mitigation studies, drainage master plans, and floodplain mapping studies, in San Antonio River Basin
- Extensive experience in public outreach related to flood mitigation and mapping projects
- A strong working relationship with members of the Bexar Regional Watershed Management partnership.
- Track record for successful delivery of local high-profile projects, including nature- based solutions

Relevant Experience To SARFP Tasks

- SARA, City of San Antonio Drainage Master Plan TX
- SARA, San Antonio River Watershed Cooperating Technical Partners (CTP) — TX
- SARA/Bexar County, San Pedro Creek Improvements Project TX
- USACE, Leon Creek Master Plan TX
- FEMA, DFIRM-Refugio, Calhoun, Aransas TX
- USACE, Lower San Antonio River Basin Hydraulic Routing Models TX



"I work in Bexar County, reside in Wilson County and ranch in Goliad County, so this watershed is my home! From the headwaters to the Gulf I have seen it all and protecting the watershed and those who live here is what excites me about this opportunity."


What is the Region 12 Flood Plan?

- Historic Flooding Realization of the need for flood planning
- In 2019, the 86th Texas legislature created and funded the first-ever regional and state flood planning process
- Schedule
 - Regional flood plans to be delivered by January 10, 2023, and then every five years thereafter
 - State plan to be adopted by September 1, 2024, and then every five years thereafter
- TWDB Flood Planning website:
- https://www.twdb.texas.gov/flood/plan ning/index.asp



Plan Objectives

- Document existing flood infrastructure and preparedness
- Identify current and future flood risk and hazard
- Develop flood mitigation/management goals
- Identify and evaluate flood management strategies and mitigation projects
- Evaluate benefits/impacts to water supply environment, and economics



Region 12 Background

San Antonio Region Flood Planning Group (SARFPG)

 Created to represent diverse interest and to deliver the 2023 regional flood plan

Sponsor

San Antonio River Authority


Technical Team

 HDR/Halff team selected as consultant to prepare plan

San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group

- Flood Districts- Nefi Garza, City of San Antonio (Chair)
- River Authorities- Derek Boese, SARA (Vice-Chair)
- Water Districts- David Mauk, Bandera Co River Authority & GWD
- Municipalities- Jeffery Carrol, City of Boerne
- Agriculture- Brian Yanta, Goliad County Ag-Extension
- Counties- David Wegmann, Bexar County
- Electric-generating Utilities- Doris Cooksey, CPS Energy
- Environment- Debbie Reed, Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance
- Industries- Cara Tackett, Pape-Dawson Engineers
- Non-Profit- Suzanne Scott, Nature Conservancy
- Public- John Beasley, US Army Environmental Command
- Small Business- Steve Gonzales, Civil Tech Engineering, Inc.
- Water Utilities- Steven Clouse, SAWS

TWDB Flood Planning Tasks



Schedule

Updated Flood Risk Geodatabase – July 2021:

Flood Risk Data used for base map for an interactive website for review and comment.


Draft Technical Memorandum – November

2021: We propose a workshop with you to review and collect comments for incorporation into the final document.

Regular RFPG Meeting
Check-ins – February –
July 2022: We will continue
attending regular RFPG
meetings to provide status
updates on Tasks 5-9

Draft Plan – June 2022: We propose a workshop with you to review and collect comments on the Draft Plan to incorporate into the final Draft Plan.

Sub-regional Public Meeting(s) – September – October 2022: We will hold sub-regional public meetings to present the Draft Plan and incorporate public comments from the meetings and interactive website, along with TWDB's review comments into Final Plan.





Regular RFPG Meeting
Check-ins – July –
November 2021: We will
attend regular RFPG
meetings to provide status
updates on Tasks 1-4
progress and discuss issues,
decisions needed, action
items, and next steps.

MAJOR DELIVERABLE-Technical Memorandum – January 7, 2022 Summary of Proposed Evaluations, Projects, and Strategies – May 2022: We propose a workshop with you to review preliminary list of potential flood management evaluations and potentially feasible flood mitigation projects and strategies. DELIVERABLE: Draft Plan – by August 1, 2022

December 2022: Once the Draft Final Plan is available, we will lead a workshop with you to review and collect final comments.


Additional Relevant Flood Studies and Coordination






Stakeholder Input

- Local knowledge, needs, and goals
 - Flood Prone Areas
 - Existing "Major" Flood Infrastructure
 - Proposed or Ongoing Flood Mitigation Projects
 - Existing flood management practices
 - Short- and long-term management goals
- Stay in touch through the Region 12 Website
- https://region12texas.org
 - Anyone else that needs to be a part of this conversation?



Interactive Comment Map

Region 12 - Public Comments (arcgis.com)



Stakeholder Input

- Your insight is valuable
 - Tell us your experience, where you have seen or know of flood concerns
 - A plan is only as good as the input
 - The flood plan needs to represent ALL community needs
- No one size fits all solutions, unique needs for each basin in the region
- Funding opportunities for your muchneeded projects



Stakeholder Input

HOW TO ENGAGE

- Contact ushttps://region12texas.wpengine.com/contact-us/
- Share the Region 12 Website https://www.region12texas.org
- Regional Flood Plan Meetings (all public)
 - Posted on Region 12 Website
- Stakeholder Surveys/ Interactive Map

MORE INFORMATION ON STATE FLOOD PLANNING

https://www.twdb.texas.gov/flood/planning/index.asp



Q Search sile Search

Connect with us: (1 (2) (in (2) (2) (2)

Home Board Financial Assistance Water Planning Groundwater Surface Water Flood Conservation Innovative Water Data & Apps

Flood Planning

The 2019 Texas Legislature and Governor Abbott greatly expanded the TWDB's role in flood planning. The TWDB will be administering a new state and regional flood planning process with flood planning regions based on river basins. The initial regional flood planning groups were formed on October 1, 2020; the first regional flood plans will be due in January 2023, and the first state flood plan will be due September 1, 2024.

(a) Sign up for emails on TWDB's new flood programs

Flood Infrastructure Fund and other project financial assistance programs

Key Updates

- Request for Applications Posted for Regional Flood Planning Grants (11/20/20)
- Designation of Initial Voting Members of Regional Flood Planning Groups (RFPGs) (10/01/20)
- Regional and State Flood Planning Rules (5/21/20)
- Flood Planning Region Boundaries (4/09/20)

Request for Applications Posted for Regional Flood Planning Grants

The TWDB's Request for Applications for Regional Flood Planning Grants was posted on November 20, 2020. Political subdivisions that have been designated as a Planning Group Sponsor by a regional flood planning group (RFPG) must submit a Regional Flood Planning Grant application to the TWDB to by January 21, 2021 in order to receive funds for the development of the RFPG's regional flood plan. Please visit our 1st Planning Cycle Documents (2020-2023) webpage for important documents, including application instructions, checklist, and draft scope of work.

Learn About Flooding
Flood Infrastructure Fund (FIF)

Flood Planning

- · Flood Planning Group Meeting Schedule
- 1st Planning Cycle Documents (2020-2023)
- · Planning Group Information
- · New Members Resources
- · Frequently Asked Questions
- · Flood Planning Useful Links and Resources
- Flood Planning Data

Flood Financial Assistance Programs

National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)

Flood Mapping

Floodplain Management Training

Community Resources

Flood Science and Community Assistance Staff

Flood Planning Staff

TNRIS



		Flood Concern				How Long
Comment Type	County	Туре	Flood Freq	When Did It Start	Description	(Yrs)
Feedback Form	Bandera		Frequently	8/2/2021	Frequent road and land that is getting worse every year	12
			, ,		Attended to support low impact solutions to address water quality and flood oncerns while protecting natural	
Feedback Form	Bandera				infrastructure. Want county wide regulatory authority to manage just flood issues.	
Feedback Form	Bandera		Frequently	1997, 2002	Frequent Land flooding	30
				2016, 2015, 2002 - Major flood		
Online Map	Bandera	Road	Few_Occasions	events	Closes the road down which is the main access for citizens	19
			_	2015, 2016, 2002 - Major Flood		
Online Map	Bandera	Road	Few_Occasions	Events	Prevents access to citizens from the city	19
Online Map	Bandera	Road	Few_Occasions	Major storms	This low water crossing can sometimes remain flooded for months	12
Online Map	Bandera	Road	Few_Occasions	1978, 1998, 2002, 2015, and 2016	FM 2107 is the only path for residents to access community lifelines.	40
Online Map	Bandera	Road	Frequently	Minor and major flood events.	Impairs travel for citizens to reach community lifeline services.	40
Online Map	Bandera	Road	Frequently	Minor and major flood events	Lower Mason Creek and Bandera Creek contribute to flooding at SH 16.	40
Online Map	Bandera	Building	Frequently	Many minor and all major events	Wastewater treatment plant is in 100 yr floodplain	40
Online Map	Bandera	Building	Few_Occasions	Major flood events (1978)	Electrical sub-station	40
Online Map	Bandera	Road	Frequently	Rain, minor, and major flood events.	Bridge drainage is clogged.	40
Online Map	Bandera	Channel	Frequently	minor and major events	culverts are clogged at bridge.	40
Online Map	Bandera	Road	Frequently	Minor and Major Flood Events	blocks public access to lifelines in Bandera	40
Online Map	Bandera	Road	Frequently	Minor and Major Flood Events	Blocks people of Tarpley from EMS and other lifelines in the city of Bandera	40
					Road Overtops frequently in rain events at this low water crossing. In 2002 a fatality occurred at this location	
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Frequently	<null></null>	when car tried to drive thru the water.	20
				overtops frequently. loss of life at		
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Frequently	his location in 2002	<null></null>	20
					major intersection overtopped, limiting emergency response to area. see you tube video	
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Few_Occasions	Memorial Day 2015	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qJJ6-2cFlNg	20
Online Map	Kendall	Other	Few_Occasions	<null></null>	recent SARA studies show this location no longer providers 100-yr protection to City of Boerne.	20
Online Map	Kendall	Other	Few_Occasions	<null></null>	recent SARA studies show this location no longer providers 100-yr protection to City of Boerne.	20
Online Map	Kendall	Other	<null></null>	<null></null>	recent SARA studies show this location no longer providers 100-yr protection to City of Boerne.	20
Online Map	Kendall	Other	Few_Occasions	<null></null>	recent SARA studies show this location no longer providers 100-yr protection to City of Boerne.	20
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Frequently	<null></null>	road overtops frequently after small rain events	20

				•	Flood Concerns Table	
Comment Type	County	Flood Concern Type	Flood Freq	When Did It Start	Description	How Long (Yrs)
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Frequently	<null></null>	road overtops frequently after small rain events	20
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Frequently	<null></null>	road overtops frequently after small rain events	20
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Frequently	<null></null>	road overtops frequently after small rain events	20
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Frequently	<null></null>	road overtops frequently after small rain events	20
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Few_Occasions	<null></null>	TxDOT structure undersized	20
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Few_Occasions	<null></null>	TxDOT structure undersized	20
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Frequently	<null></null>	road overtops frequently after small rain events	20
Online Map Online Map Online Map	Kendall Kendall Kendall	Road Road Road	Frequently Few_Occasions Few_Occasions	<null> <null> Memorial Day 2015</null></null>	road overtops frequently after small rain events existing road structure undersized River Road (hwy46) is 6-8 feet underwater during rain event	20 20 20
Online Map Online Map	Kendall Kendall	Road Road	Frequently Frequently	<null></null>	road overtops frequently after small rain events road overtops frequently after small rain events	20
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Few Occasions	5 Year + Rain Events at Min	<null></null>	8
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Few_Occasions	5 Year + Rain Events	In addition to going over the road, it is also flooding several homes near by.	8
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Frequently	5 Year + Rain Events	Flooding over the road, keeps BPD from being able to get to Boerne at fastest route.	8
Online Map	Bexar	Land	Few_Occasions	mid 2021	New development on old golf course causes flooding that affects the adjacent homes that are backing up to the course 17	
Online Map	Kendall	Road	Frequently	<null></null>	Old Fredericksburg Rd crosses Balcones Creek at the Kendall/Bexar County line. This low water crossing is frequently impacted.	14
Online Map	Bexar	Channel	Frequently	14-Oct-21	Our house and property are located in the southeast corner of Cedar springs neighborhood in Helotes. The tail and of the French Creek drainage project passes along 430 feet of our property line between our house and the ditch is a green belt approximately 60 to 80 ft wide. On October 13 or 14 The ditch overflowed and put about 6 in of water up on our driveway, One about 170 ft from the ditch. Our neighbors on the other side of the ditch the Fores received several feet of water in their house. This is the second or third time their house has flooded because of the ditch. I have submitted comments on January 11th at the region 12 flood planning public meeting held in St Hedwig the.	3

County	Flood Concern	Flood Frea	When Did It Start	Description	How Long (Yrs)
County	.,,,,	riodarieq	Tricii Biu it start		(113)
				We built our home in 2000. Since construction development and Frenchcreek flood project it occurred twice last year. When we built home their was only a small part of creek that was in flood zone. Since construction and especially being at the end of the Frenchcreek project the surface water has been directed at our home. The water is rushing and we have no way of escaping. The project did not consider the creek bottles necks below our property making the increase of water to rush at our home placing us in danger. We would appreciate any help you can give us to prevent flooding of our home and neighbors. We did not flood at all until county did land across the creek. Now that we have more water directed at us we fear for our lives.	
Boyar	Ruilding	Frequently	Last date Oct 12		21 years
Белаг	Dunung	rrequently	Last date Oct 12.	The flooding of Strong Cedar street in Helotes has caused the cul-de-sac street to fill up with water. The wate from the French Creek drainage project has risen above the curbs and goes a few feet up past the sidewalks	r
Bexar	Channel	Few Occasions	Oct-21		20
Wilson	Road	Frequently	last time was 9/10/2020	The Marcelinas Creek has caused erosion to progress close to the county road right of way threatening the loss of the roadway.	20 yrs
Bexar	Road	Frequently	Oct-21	<null></null>	35
Bexar	Land	Few_Occasions	<null></null>	flooding in heavy rain occasion	35
Bexar	Road	Few_Occasions	<null></null>	complete road flooding on heavy rain occasion	35
Bexar	Road	Few Occasions	<null></null>	complete road flooding on heavy rain occasion	35
		_		Alley runoff floods abutting garage and has crossed street to enter onto other property. Additional 18" of	
Bexar	Building	Frequently	2001 - current	base added to drives to prevent water from entering home.e	27 years
Medina	Channel	Frequently	<null></null>	Widespread creek flooding.	<null></null>
Medina	Channel	Frequently	<null></null>	Widespread creek flooding	<null></null>
IVICAIIIA	Chainer	гециспиу	STAMP	Triacopicaa arcek nooding.	STAMILE
Medina	Building	Frequently	<null></null>	Frequent localized flooding of structures	<null></null>
Medina	Building	Frequently	<null></null>	Frequent flooding of structures	<null></null>
	Bexar Bexar Bexar Bexar Medina	Bexar Building Bexar Channel Wilson Road Bexar Road Bexar Road Bexar Road Bexar Road Bexar Road Medina Channel Medina Channel Medina Building	Bexar Building Frequently Bexar Channel Few_Occasions Wilson Road Frequently Bexar Road Frequently Bexar Land Few_Occasions Bexar Road Few_Occasions Bexar Road Few_Occasions Bexar Road Few_Occasions Bexar Road Few_Occasions Channel Frequently Medina Channel Frequently Medina Channel Frequently Medina Building Frequently	Bexar Building Frequently Last date Oct 12. Bexar Channel Few_Occasions Oct-21 Wilson Road Frequently last time was 9/10/2020 Bexar Road Frequently Oct-21 Bexar Land Few_Occasions <null> Bexar Road Few_Occasions <null> Medina Channel Frequently <null> Medina Channel Frequently <null></null></null></null></null></null></null></null></null></null></null></null></null></null>	County Type

		Flood Concern				How Long
Comment Type	County	Туре	Flood Freq	When Did It Start	Description	(Yrs)
					Green Valley and Creek roads in northern Guadalupe County flood from Santa Clara Creek during rainfall	
Online Map	Guadalupe	Road	Few_Occasions	After any significant rainfall	events	4-5 years
					Decades of illegal fill placement in Indian Creek north of 410 south has essentially dammed the stream and high flow times now flood Somerset Road as well as adjacent properties. This has significantly elevated the	
					100 year flood plane in these areas. IMPORTANTLY, Somerset Road is a major thoroughfare and rectifying	
					this flooding in the future will be extremely expensive. Indian Creek should be rechannelized to its original	
Online Map	Bexar	Road	Few Occasions	1998 was most severe	state.	35 years
Ommie Wap	Белаг	Noda	Tew_Gecasions	1556 Was 111656 Severe		33 years
Online Map	Bexar	Land	Frequently	May-21	51 neighbor's property flood, water in houses and garages, 10 acres	12 years
				Several times every year when it		
Online Map	Guadalupe	Road	Frequently	rains	Green Valley and Creek and parts of Weil roads flood frequently.	5 years
					The vegetation is overgrown causing it to slow the flow of stormwater. In the vicinity of 640 Meadow Arbor Lane, Universal City, TX east branch of Salatrillo Creek, where it crosses under 1604 near Kitty Hawk, to Meadowland Drive (and beyond) is overgrown, slowing runoff of storm waters. Last major rains it almost overflowed to houses on Meadow Arbor. City of UC does not adequately mow and/or dredge this area. They claim they can't mow it because it is always wet. They need special equipment to help them clean up this area, or, for someone else to come in and gain control of it.	
Online Map	Bexar	Land	Unknown	<null></null>	It's not a "big" flood concern, unless, you live there! (I don't, but have friends who do!)	<null></null>
- mile map	Dena.	20110			Culvert improvement on Hatch St in Tivoli. The bridge on Hatch Street in Tivoli was replaced with a culvert	
Feedback Form	Refugio	Road	<null></null>	<null></null>	which drains slow and causes the water to breach the levee.	<null></null>
					Culvert Improvement on Highway 239 in Tivoli. Some culverts on Highway 239 in Tivoli are too small causing	
Feedback Form	Refugio	Channel	Frequently	<null></null>	water to get in houses.	<null></null>
					Underground Drain Maintenance in Tivoli. Underground drains in Tivoli on Highway 239, William Street and	
Feedback Form	Refugio	Channel	Unknown	<null></null>	Wilson Street need cleaning. The blockage causes water to drain slow and creates potential flooding hazards	<null></null>
					Ditches and culverts Maintenance in Tivoli. Ditches and culverts in Tivoli need cleaning on Scott Street,	
					Dedear Road, Bissett Road, Oleander Avenue, Garza Street, Villarreal Street, Lee Street, Eugen Lane and	
Feedback Form	Refugio	Channel	Frequently	<null></null>	Raymond Lane, Layton Lane, and Bickford Road	<null></null>
Feedback Form	Refugio	Land	Frequently	<null></null>	Miller Creek on the Smoky Creek Ranch Drainage Improvements	<null></null>
Feedback Form	Refugio	Road	Unknown	<null></null>	The bridge on J.W. Johnson in Tivoli is in bad shape and needs to be replaced.	<null></null>
					Old Fredericksburg Rd crosses Balcones Creek at the Kendall/Bexar County line. This low water crossing is	
Online Map	Kendall				frequently impacted.	14

Appendix D. Draft 2023 San Antonio Regional Flood Plan Comments

TWDB Comments

TWDB Comments Response Log

Public Comments

Great Springs Project

Texas Parks and Wildlife Department

Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance

Camp Bullis Sentinel Landscape Partnership

National Wildlife Federation

Other

Public Draft Plan Comments Response Log

Great Springs Project

Texas Parks and Wildlife Department

Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance

Camp Bullis Sentinel Landscape Partnership

National Wildlife Federation

Other

This page is intentionally left blank.



P.O. Box 13231, 1700 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78711-3231, www.twdb.texas.gov Phone (512) 463-7847, Fax (512) 475-2053

October 21, 2022

Mr. Brian Mast Manager of Government Affairs San Antonio River Authority 100 E Guenther St, San Antonio, TX 78204

RE: Texas Water Development Board Comments on Region 12 San Antonio RFPG's Draft Regional Flood Plan Contract No. 210792497

Dear Mr. Brian Mast:

Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) staff has performed a review of the draft regional flood plan submitted by August 1, 2022, on behalf of the Region 12 San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG). The attached comments will follow this format:

- **LEVEL 1**: Comments and questions that must be satisfactorily addressed to meet specific statute, rule, or contract requirements; and,
- **LEVEL 2**: Comments and suggestions for consideration that may improve the readability and/or overall understanding of the regional flood plan

Please note that while Level 2 comments are provided for the planning group's consideration, Level 1 comments <u>must</u> be addressed prior to the submission of final Regional Flood Plans by the January 10, 2023, deadline.

It is expected that the data contained in all written report sections, tables, excel spreadsheets, and the geodatabase will be consistent throughout. In cases where there are any discrepancies in data, the geodatabase dataset will supersede other data and the TWDB will utilize the geodatabase dataset when developing the state flood plan.

TWDB review of the draft regional flood plans is comprised of many spot checks of data across several deliverables and is not an all-encompassing review. Please note that TWDB's review does not imply accuracy of the data or draft regional flood plan. Each RFPG is responsible for ensuring the completeness and accuracy of all data.

To facilitate efficient and timely completion, and Board approval, of your final regional flood plan, please provide your TWDB Regional Flood Planner with a draft of your response to these comments (e.g., informally via email) on the draft RFP as soon as possible. This will allow TWDB staff to provide preliminary feedback on proposed RFPG responses to assist you in meeting your RFPG's timeline for approval and submission to TWDB of the final plan by the deadline. It will also help to minimize the need for subsequent follow-ups after final regional flood plan submission to TWDB.



P.O. Box 13231, 1700 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78711-3231, www.twdb.texas.gov Phone (512) 463-7847, Fax (512) 475-2053

Title 31 TAC §361.50(c) requires the regional flood planning group to consider any written or oral Comment received from the public on the draft regional flood plan (RFP); and the EA's written comment on the draft RFP prior to adopting a final RFP. Section 361.50(d) requires the final adopted plan include summaries of all timely written and oral comments received, along with a response, for each, explaining any resulting revisions or why changes are not warranted. Copies of TWDB's Level 1 and 2 written comments and the RFPG's responses must be included in the final, adopted RFP. While the comments included in this letter represent TWDB's review to date, please anticipate the need to respond to additional comments or questions, as necessary, regarding data integrity related to the Board's State Flood Plan Database (that is built from the 15 regional databases), even after submission of the final plan to TWDB.

Standard to all RFPGs is the need to include certain content in the final RFPs that was not yet available at the time that drafts were prepared and submitted. In your final RFP, please be sure to incorporate in the final submitted plan, documentation, for example, that a public meeting to receive comments was held as required and that comments received on the draft RFP were considered in the development of the final plan [31 TAC §361.50(d)].

If you have any questions regarding these comments or would like to discuss your approach to addressing any of these comments, please do not hesitate to contact Anita Machiavello at (512) 463-5158 via email at anita.machiavello@twdb.texas.gov. TWDB staff are available to assist you in any way possible to ensure successful completion of your final regional flood plan.

Lastly, on behalf of TWDB, I would like to thank you, the sponsor, the RFPG members and the technical consultants for accomplishing this major milestone of a herculean effort and advancing the flood risk reduction mission in our state.

Sincerely,

Reem J. Zoun, PE, CFM, ENV SP Director Flood Planning

Attachment: TWDB Comments

Cc: Derek Boese, RFPG Chair
Ronald Branyon, HDR, Inc.
Troy Dorman, Halff Associates
Matt Nelson, TWDB
James Bronikowski, TWDB
Anita Machiavello, TWDB

TWDB Comments on Region 12 San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group's Draft Regional Flood Plan

Level 1: Comments and questions must be satisfactorily addressed to meet statutory, agency rule, and/or contract requirements.

General Comments

1. Please ensure that all "Submittal requirements" identified in each of the Exhibit C Guidance document sections are submitted in the final flood plan.

SOW Task 1

- 2. Existing Infrastructure GIS Feature Class, ExFldInfraPt: Please include all low water crossings (LWCs) identified during the flood planning process in this feature layer. The ExFldExpAll feature class appears to contain LWCs that are not included in the ExFldInfraPt feature class. Note: This is required in contrast to the optional LWC feature class. See Exhibit D Table 7 for a list of valid entries [31 TAC §361.31]. Existing Projects (Exhibit C Table 2): Some of the projects in Table 2 do not appear to include an Expected Year of Completion. Please populate the expected year of completion field for all ongoing projects. [31 TAC §361.32(3)].
- 3. Existing Projects GIS Feature Class, *ExFldProjs*: Some required fields appear to be missing entries, including 'EXHAZ_ID', 'COST', and 'COMP_YR'. For 'EXHAZ_ID', please leave NULL or '999999' if there is no data. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per [31 TAC §361.32 & Exhibit D Table 8].

SOW Task 2A

- 4. Existing Condition Flood Exposure (Exhibit C Table 3):
 - a. The day and night populations in Table 3 do not appear to match the *ExFldExpAll* feature class counts. Please review and reconcile.
 - b. The Structure and Residential Structure counts in Table 3 do not appear to match the *ExFldExpAll* feature class counts. Please review and reconcile. [31 TAC §361.33 & Exhibit C 2.2.A.3].
- 5. Existing Condition Flood Vulnerability GIS Feature Class, *ExFldExpAll*:
 - a. The day and night populations in Table 3 do not appear to match the *ExFldExpAll* feature class counts. Please review and reconcile.
 - b. The Structure and Residential Structure counts in Table 3 do not appear to match the *ExFldExpAll* feature class counts. Please review and reconcile. [31 TAC §361.33(c), (d) & Exhibit C 2.2.A.2].
- 6. Model Coverage GIS Feature Class, *ModelCoverage*: It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'MODEL_DESCR'. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per TWDB email Jan 31, 2022. [31 TAC §361.33(b)(2)].

SOW Task 2B

7. Future Condition Flood Hazard Vulnerability, *Text*: Please expand the description of the future conditions vulnerability analysis by considering factors such as proximity to a floodplain, proximity to other bodies of water, past flooding issues, emergency management plans, and location of critical systems like primary and back-up power. [31 TAC §361.34 & Exhibit C 2.2.B.3].

SOW Task 3B

- 8. Goals, *Text*: Tables 3-5 through 3-9 in Chapter 3 contain 36 goals, while the Exhibit C Table 11 and *Goals* feature class appears to contain 33 goals. Please review and reconcile for consistency. [31 TAC §361.36 & Exhibit C 2.3.B].
- 9. Goals (Exhibit C Table 11):
 - a. It appears that some fields are missing entries, including Residual Risk. Please complete all required fields with valid entries
 - b. Tables 3-5 through 3-9 in Chapter 3 contain 36 goals, while the Exhibit C Table 11 and *Goals* feature class appears to contain 33 goals. Please review and reconcile for consistency. [31 TAC §361.36 & Exhibit C 2.3.B].
- 10. Goals GIS Feature Class, *Goals*:
 - a. It appears that the required field 'RESIDUAL' contains only NULL values. Please ensure required fields are populated with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 21 [31 TAC §361.36].
 - b. Tables 3-5 through 3-9 in Chapter 3 contain 36 goals, while the Exhibit C Table 11 and *Goals* feature class appears to contain 33 goals. Please review and reconcile for consistency. [31 TAC §361.36].

SOW Task 4B

- 11. Flood Management Evaluation (Exhibit C Table 12): Some FMEs list \$0 for Estimated Study Cost (i.e., FME_IDs 121000015 and 121000033). Please review these FMEs for accuracy and reconcile as needed. [31 TAC §361.38(i) & Exhibit C 2.4.B].
- 12. Flood Management Evaluations GIS Feature Class, *FME*: It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'NEW_MODEL', 'HUC8', 'FLD_TP_RIV', and 'FLD_TP_LOC'. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 23.
- 13. Flood Management Evaluation (Exhibit C Map 16): Please indicate on the map whether the identified FME area is associated with a previously studied area that requires an update or if the identified study area does not have any existing or anticipated flood mapping, models, etc., and therefore requires an initial study. [31 TAC §361.38(m)].
- 14. Flood Mitigation Project GIS Feature Class, *FMP*: It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'HUC8', 'FLD_TP_RIV', 'FLD_TP_LOC', and 'ASSOCIATED'. Please populate all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 24. [31 TAC §361.38(c-e) & Exhibit D 3.11.1].
- 15. Flood Mitigation Strategies GIS Feature Class, *FMS*: It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'ENTITY_ID', 'NEG_IMPACT', and 'ASSOCIATED'. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 26. For ENTITY_ID, leave NULL or '999999' if there is no data.

SOW Task 5

- 16. Flood Management Evaluation Recommendations (Exhibit C Table 15): Some FMEs list \$0 for Estimated Study Cost (i.e., FME_IDs 121000015 and 121000033). Please review these FMEs for accuracy and reconcile as needed. [31 TAC §361.39(c), (f) & Exhibit C 2.5.A].
- 17. Flood Management Evaluation Recommendations GIS Feature Class, FME:
 - a. It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'NEW_MODEL', 'HUC8', 'FLD_TP_RIV', and 'FLD_TP_LOC'. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 23.
- 18. Flood Mitigation Projects, *Text*:
 - a. The description of No Negative Impact Determinations on pages 5-30 and 5-31 references Table 5-4 that would include "A general description of the scope of work and a summary of the expected impacts of the proposed improvements for each potentially feasible FMP", however, this table could not be located. Please reconcile. [31 TAC §361.39 & Exhibit C 2.5.B].
 - b. Each recommended FMP must be accompanied with an associated model or supporting documentation to show no negative impact. Please confirm that this was done and provide reference to supporting materials. As per the draft report (page 5-31), "A comparative assessment of pre- and post-project conditions for the 1% annual chance event (100-yr flood) was performed for each potentially feasible FMP based on their reported hydrologic and hydraulic model results. Study results for floodplain boundary extents, resulting water surface elevations, and peak discharge values were reviewed to verify potential FMPs conform to the no negative impacts requirements." For each recommended FMP, please identify in the plan how no negative impact was determined as required by the Exhibit C Section 3.6.A (page 108), either via a model or a study, and submit the associated model or include the study name in tabular format.
- 19. Flood Mitigation Projects Recommendations (Exhibit C Table 16):
 - a. FMP_ID 123000021 does not appear to include a BCR in Table 13, Table 16, FMP_Details table, and the *FMP* feature class. Please populate the BCR field Table 13, Table 16, and FMP Details table, and populate the 'BC_RATIO' field in the *FMP* feature class as required. If no BCR is available, please remove this FMP from the recommended FMP list in the plan.
 - b. Twenty-seven recommended FMPs list "Y" for Negative Impact and are blank for Negative Impact Mitigation. Please review these FMPs to ensure accuracy of these data fields.§361.39
 - c. It appears that some fields are missing entries, including Water Supply Benefit. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit C Table 16. [31 TAC §361.39 & Exhibit C 2.5.B].
- 20. Flood Mitigation Project Recommendations GIS Feature Class, FMP:
 - d. It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'HUC8', 'FLD_TP_RIV', 'FLD_TP_LOC', and 'ASSOCIATED'. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 24.
 - e. Twenty-seven recommended FMPs list "Yes" for 'NEG_IMPACT' and "No" for 'NEG_MITIG'. Please review these FMPs to ensure accuracy of these data fields. [31 TAC §361.39 & Exhibit D 3.11.1].
- 21. Flood Mitigation Project Details Geodatabase, *FMP_Details*: The FMP Details table provided in the geodatabase appears blank. Please complete as required in §361.40

22. Flood Mitigation Strategies Recommendations GIS Feature Class, FMS: It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'ENTITY_ID', 'NEG_IMPACT', and 'ASSOCIATED'. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 26. For 'ENTITY_ID', leave NULL or 999999 if there is no data. [31 TAC §361.39 & Exhibit D 3.10].

Level 2: Comments and suggestions for consideration that may improve the readability and overall understanding of the regional flood plan.

General Comments

23. To better align with our agency's preferred nomenclature, please consider using the name, "Cursory Floodplain Data" instead of "Fathom" or Cursory Fathom Data" throughout the regional flood plan.

SOW Task 1

- 24. Watersheds GIS Feature Class, *Watersheds*: Please populate the applicable ID fields to associate the *Watersheds* feature class with identified FME/FMS/FMP.
- 25. Existing Infrastructure, Text: Please provide a description of how Low Water Crossings were identified within the text of Chapter 1.
- 26. Existing Infrastructure GIS Feature Class, *ExFldInfraPt*: There appear to be Low Water Crossings in the TNRIS dataset which do not appear to be included in the *ExFldInfraPt* feature class. Please consider reviewing the TNRIS dataset for potential inclusion.
- 27. Deficient Infrastructure (Exhibit C Map 3): Please consider renaming map to Non-Functional or Deficient Infrastructure since the map includes dams and levees.
- 28. Existing Projects, *Text*:
 - a. Please refer to Table 2 in the text of Chapter 1.
 - b. Please ensure Map 2 is referenced in a similar manner. Chapter 4 is referenced in the text of Chapter 1 (and Chapter 4 references Map 2), however, for the sake of ease and convenience, please consider providing the reference to the Map 2 in Chapter 1 (in addition to the map's reference in Chapter 4). It appears all of this can be accomplished by referencing Table 2 and Map 2 within the following sections: "1.12.4 Proposed or Ongoing Flood Mitigation Projects" and "1.12.5 Implementation of Nonstructural Flood Mitigation Projects" in Chapter 1 (as well as Chapters 4).
- 29. <u>SOW Task 2A</u>Existing Condition Flood Exposure GIS Feature Class, *ExFldExPol*:
 - a. The agricultural coverage layers appear to have irregular triangle and rectangular features that may be a result of the conversion of a raster to polygon.
 - b. The agricultural coverage layers appear to have irregular triangle and rectangular features that may be a result of the conversion of a raster to polygon. Please review and revise, as appropriate.
- 30. Existing Condition Flood Exposure Vulnerability GIS Feature Class, *ExFldExpAll*: It appears that some entries with 'EXP_TYPE' listed as "Other" may better fit in the provided 'EXP_TYPE' valid entries. Please consider reviewing and revising as appropriate using the updated 'CRIT_TYPE' valid entry list: "Medical, Police, Fire, EMS, Shelter, School, Infrastructure, Water Treatment, Wastewater Treatment, Power Generation, Other".
- 31. Existing Condition Vulnerability: Please consider modifying the map color scheme to enhance critical infrastructure legibility.

32. Model Coverage, *Text*: Please consider providing a table of models within Chapter 2 or appendix that includes the modeling information contained in the *ModelCoverage* feature class.

SOW Task 2B

- 33. Future Condition Flood Hazard Map Gaps (Exhibit C Map 9): Please consider changing the colors used for the Unknown future flood hazard and the areas where Cursory Floodplain Data (Fathom data) was used.
- 34. Future Condition Flood Exposure GIS Feature Class, FutFldExpPol:
 - a. The agricultural coverage layers appear to have irregular triangle and rectangular features that may be a result of the conversion of a raster to polygon. Please review and revise.
 - b. Bldg_IDs 6025014 and 6331393 both appear to be within the extent of the *FutFldHazard* layer but do not appear to be identified in the *FutFldExpPol* feature class.
 - c. Bldg_ID 6080782 (A Hospital) appears to be within the extent of the *FutFldHazard* layer but does not appear to be identified in the *FutFldExpPol* feature class.
 - d. Bldg_ID 6028788 (A power generating facility) appears to be within the extent of the extent of the *FutFldHazard* layer but does not appear to be identified in the *FutFldExpPol* feature class.
 - e. Please review the FutFldHazard layer confirm that buildings within the extent are properly identified in the *FutFldExpPol* feature class. Some buildings do not appear to include the entire building footprints.
- 35. Future Condition Flood Exposure Vulnerability GIS Feature Class, FutFldExpALL: FTEXPALLID 156611 is the site of San Antonio Fire Department Station 49, however, it does not appear to be identified as critical infrastructure. Please consider reviewing all critical infrastructure layers and modify, as appropriate, to identify them in the *FutFldExpAll* feature class.

SOW Task 4B

- 36. Streams GIS Feature Class, Streams:
 - a. Please consider linking this feature class to any relevant FMEs, FMSs, or FMPs when appropriate by populating the associated ID fields.
 - b. Please ensure that identified streams are within the boundary of the associated FME, FMP, and FMS.
- 37. Flood Management Evaluation, *Text*: In areas where there is an ongoing TWDB-funded FIF Category 1 study, please consider describing how duplication of efforts would be avoided and how FIF Category 1 study data would be incorporated into the proposed FMEs. For example, several FMEs appear to overlap spatially with current FIF Category 1 funded Karnes County Flood Protection Planning Study (FIF ID 40011).
- 38. Flood Management Evaluation (Exhibit C Table 12) In areas where there is an ongoing TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 study, please consider describing how duplication of efforts would be avoided and how FIF Category 1 study data would be incorporated into the proposed FMEs. For example, several FMEs appear to overlap spatially with current FIF Category 1 funded Karnes County Flood Protection Planning Study (FIF ID 40011).

- 39. Flood Management Evaluation (Exhibit C Map 16):
 - a. Map 16 does not include region-wide FMEs. Please consider providing an additional map that would show all of the FMEs within the region.
 - b. Please include TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 studies in the indication of a previously studied area.
- 40. Flood Mitigation Projects (Exhibit C Table 13): Some FMPs list "0" for Project Area. Please review and ensure that these values are accurate.
- 41. Flood Mitigation Projects GIS Feature Class, *FMP_HazPost*: Please consider developing a *FMP_HazPost* feature class showing an updated hazard area that accounts for the impact of recommended FMPs.
- 42. Flood Mitigation Project (Exhibit C Map 17): Consider providing a zoomed in "inset" map of the San Antonio area to improve the legibility of the FMP extents.
- 43. Flood Mitigation Strategies GIS Feature Class, *FMS*: For county-wide watershed strategies where majority of the county falls outside of the RFPG boundary, please include justification how the strategy benefits the region and please coordinate with other RFPGs to make sure the efforts are not duplicated.

SOW Task 5

- 44. Flood Management Evaluation Recommendations, *Text*: In areas where there is an ongoing TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 study, please consider describing how duplication of efforts would be avoided and how FIF Category 1 study data would be incorporated into the proposed FMEs. For example, several FMEs appear to overlap spatially with current FIF Category 1 funded Karnes County Flood Protection Planning Study (FIF ID 40011).
- 45. Flood Management Evaluation Recommendations (Exhibit C Table 15): In areas where there is an ongoing TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 study, please consider describing how duplication of efforts would be avoided and how FIF Category 1 study data would be incorporated into the proposed FMEs. For example, several FMEs appear to overlap spatially with current FIF Category 1 funded Karnes County Flood Protection Planning Study (FIF ID 40011). Flood Management Evaluations GIS Feature Class, *FME*: Please consider adding the 'ASSOCIATED' field to the *FME* feature class and populating as applicable.

SOW Task 9

- 46. Please consider providing the supporting calculation and supporting data that is the basis for the statement: "Of this \$1,184,840,000 it is projected that \$1,005,017,000 in state and federal grant funding is needed for implementation of these projects". (Page 9-16).
- 47. Flood Infrastructure Financing Analysis text: Please review section for language accuracy. Please consider revising "rant" to "grant" in the subtitle of Chapter 9.1.6.
- 48. Water Supply, Text:
 - a. Table 6-6 in Section 6.6 does not appear to include the estimated, quantified annual volume of water associated with the three identified FMPs. Please review and reconcile. [31 TAC §361.41 & Exhibit C 2.6.B].
 - b. On p. 6-6, there is a brief discussion about coordination with RWPGs to determine impacts on WMSs. The text states that the results of coordination are presented in "the following tables", but the tables appear to not be included. Please include a

summary and a table identifying any negative impacts to water supply. If no negative impacts are identified, please include a statement to that effect.

Draft 2023 San Antonio Regional Plan TWDB Comment Response

	Comment	Comm	ent Location		
Level	#	Document	Page / Section	TWDB Draft Plan Comment	RFPG Response
Level 1	1	Plan	General Comment	1.Please ensure that all "Submittal requirements" identified in each of the Exhibit C Guidance document sections are submitted in the final flood plan.	Agree.
Level 1	2	GIS	SOW Task 1	a. Existing Infrastructure GIS Feature Class, ExFldInfraPt: Please include all low water crossings (LWCs) identified during the flood planning process in this feature layer. The ExFldExpAll feature class appears to contain LWCs that are not included in the ExFldInfraPt feature class. Note: This is required in contrast to the optional LWC feature class. See Exhibit D Table 7 for a list of valid entries [31 TAC §361.31]. b.	a. There are a total of 496 LWC's identified in the ExFldInraPt layer, this was reduced/modified from the original TNRIS LWC dataset based on the comment from March 7th about locations of the ExFldExpPt layer not lining up with Road and Stream CL. Of the 496 LWC identified in the ExFldInfraPt layer 443 were identified in the submittal ExFldExpPt layer. However after doing a select by location on the LWC in the ExFldInfraPt layer only 441 LWC's were selected. This indicated that there was a change that was not capture in the submittal. Reran the ExFldExpPt layer to fix.
				Existing Projects (Exhibit C Table 2): Some of the projects in Table 2 do not appear to include an Expected Year of Completion. Please populate the expected year of completion field for all ongoing projects. [31 TAC §361.32(3)].	b. Agree. Years of completion have been updated based on the most up to date available information.
Level 1	3	GIS	SOW Task 1	3.Existing Projects GIS Feature Class, ExFldProjs: Some required fields appear to be missing entries, including 'EXHAZ_ID', 'COST', and 'COMP_YR'. For 'EXHAZ_ID', please leave NULL or '999999' if there is no data. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per [31 TAC §361.32 & Exhibit D Table 8].	Agree, attributes have been updated based on the most up to date available information. Some of the ExFldProjs do not intersect with the floodplains, the EXHAZ_ID for those will be NULL.
Level 1	4	Plan	SOW Task 2A	4.Existing Condition Flood Exposure (Exhibit C Table 3):	a. After spot checking some counties it does appear to match.
				 a. The day and night populations in Table 3 do not appear to match the ExFldExpAll feature class counts. Please review and reconcile. b. The Structure and Residential Structure counts in Table 3 do not appear to match the ExFldExpAll feature class counts. Please review and reconcile. [31 TAC §361.33 & Exhibit C 2.2.A.3]. 	b. However, there a instances where buildings are in more than one county and to prevent duplicate counting the location of the ExFldExpAll point is taken into account and only reported for whichever county it falls within.
Level 1	5	GIS	SOW Task 2A	5. Existing Condition Flood Vulnerability GIS Feature Class, ExFldExpAll:	a. After spot checking some counties it does appear to match.
				a. The day and night populations in Table 3 do not appear to match the ExFldExpAll feature class counts. Please review and reconcile. b. The Structure and Residential Structure counts in Table 3 do not appear to match the ExFldExpAll feature class counts. Please review and reconcile. [31 TAC §361.33(c), (d) & Exhibit C 2.2.A.2].	b. However, there a instances where buildings are in more than one county and to prevent duplicate counting the location of the ExFldExpAll point is taken into account and only reported for whichever county it falls within.
Level 1	6	GIS	SOW Task 2A	6. Model Coverage GIS Feature Class, <i>ModelCoverage</i> : It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'MODEL_DESCR'. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per TWDB email Jan 31, 2022. [31 TAC §361.33(b)(2)].	Agree, will update.

Draft 2023 San Antonio Regional Plan TWDB Comment Response

Laural	Comment	Comme	ent Location	TWDD Dueff Plan Command	DED0 D
_evel	#	Document	Page / Section	TWDB Draft Plan Comment	RFPG Response
Level 1	7	Plan	SOW Task 2B	7. Future Condition Flood Hazard Vulnerability, <i>Text</i> : Please expand the description of the future conditions vulnerability analysis by considering factors such as proximity to a floodplain, proximity to other bodies of water, past flooding issues, emergency management plans, and location of critical systems like primary and back-up power. [31 TAC §361.34 & Exhibit C 2.2.B.3].	Agree, added more explanation.
evel 1	8	Plan	SOW Task 3B	8. Goals, <i>Text</i> : Tables 3-5 through 3-9 in Chapter 3 contain 36 goals, while the Exhibit C Table 11 and <i>Goals</i> feature class appears to contain 33 goals. Please review and reconcile for consistency. [31 TAC §361.36 & Exhibit C 2.3.B].	Agree, updated Goal IDs.
Level 1	9	Plan	SOW Task 3B	9.Goals (Exhibit C Table 11): a.	a. Filled in "Unknown" for Residual Risk field, per additional guidance.
				b. Tables 3-5 through 3-9 in Chapter 3 contain 36 goals, while the Exhibit C Table 11 and Goals feature class appears to contain 33 goals. Please review and reconcile for consistency. [31 TAC §361.36 & Exhibit C 2.3.B].	b. Agree, will update to match.
evel 1	10	Plan	SOW Task 3B	a.	a. Filled in "Unknown" for Residual Risk field, per additional guidance. b. Agree, will update to match.
evel 1	11	Plan	SOW Task 4B	11.Flood Management Evaluation (Exhibit C Table 12): Some FMEs list \$0 for Estimated Study Cost (i.e., FME_IDs 121000015 and 121000033). Please review these FMEs for accuracy and reconcile as needed. [31 TAC §361.38(i) & Exhibit C 2.4.B].	Agree, will update.
evel 1	12	Plan	SOW Task 4B	12.Flood Management Evaluations GIS Feature Class, FME: It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'NEW_MODEL', 'HUC8', 'FLD_TP_RIV', and 'FLD_TP_LOC'. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 23.	Agree, will update.
evel 1	13	Plan	SOW Task 4B	13.Flood Management Evaluation (Exhibit C Map 16): Please indicate on the map whether the identified FME area is associated with a previously studied area that requires an update or if the identified study area does not have any existing or anticipated flood mapping, models, etc., and therefore requires an initial study. [31 TAC §361.38(m)].	Agree, will update.

Draft 2023 San Antonio Regional Plan TWDB Comment Response

	. Comment Comment Locati					
Level	#	Document	Page / Section	TWDB Draft Plan Comment	RFPG Response	
Level 1	14	Plan	SOW Task 4B	14.Flood Mitigation Project GIS Feature Class, FMP: It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'HUC8', 'FLD_TP_RIV', 'FLD_TP_LOC', and 'ASSOCIATED'. Please populate all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 24. [31 TAC §361.38(c-e) & Exhibit D 3.11.1].	Agree, will update.	
Level 1	15	Plan	SOW Task 4B	15. Flood Mitigation Strategies GIS Feature Class, FMS: It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'ENTITY_ID', 'NEG_IMPACT', and 'ASSOCIATED'. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 26. For ENTITY_ID, leave NULL or '999999' if there is no data.	Agree, will update.	
Level 1	16	Plan	SOW Task 5	16.Flood Management Evaluation Recommendations (Exhibit C Table 15): Some FMEs list \$0 for Estimated Study Cost (i.e., FME_IDs 121000015 and 121000033). Please review these FMEs for accuracy and reconcile as needed. [31 TAC §361.39(c), (f) & Exhibit C 2.5.A].	Agree, will update.	
Level 1	17	Plan	SOW Task 5	17.Flood Management Evaluation Recommendations GIS Feature Class, FME: a. It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'NEW_MODEL', 'HUC8', 'FLD_TP_RIV', and 'FLD_TP_LOC'. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 23.	Agree, will update.	
Level 1	18	Plan	SOW Task 5	18.Flood Mitigation Projects, Text: a.The description of No Negative Impact Determinations on pages 5-30 and 5-31 references Table 5-4 that would include "A general description of the scope of work and a summary of the expected impacts of the proposed improvements for each potentially feasible FMP", however, this table could not be located. Please reconcile. [31 TAC §361.39 & Exhibit C 2.5.8]. b. Each recommended FMP must be accompanied with an associated model or supporting documentation to show no negative impact. Please confirm that this was done and provide reference to supporting materials. As per the draft report (page 5-31), "A comparative assessment of pre- and post-project conditions for the 1% annual chance event (100-yr flood) was performed for each potentially feasible FMP based on their reported hydrologic and hydraulic model results. Study results for floodplain boundary extents, resulting water surface elevations, and peak discharge values were reviewed to verify potential FMPs conform to the no negative impacts requirements." For each recommended FMP, please identify in the plan how no negative impact was determined as required by the Exhibit C Section 3.6.A (page 108), either via a model or a study, and submit the associated model or include the study name in tabular format.	a. Corrected to "Table 5-5". Scope descriptions are included. b. Agree, per TWDB guidance added a column "No Negative Impacts Designation".	
Level 1	19	Plan	SOW Task 5	19.Flood Mitigation Projects Recommendations (Exhibit C Table 16): a. FMP_ID 123000021 does not appear to include a BCR in Table 13, Table 16, FMP_Details table, and the FMP feature class. Please populate the BCR field Table 13, Table 16, and FMP Details table, and populate the 'BC_RATIO' field in the FMP feature class as required. If no BCR is available, please remove this FMP from the recommended FMP list in the plan. b. Twenty-seven recommended FMPs list "Y" for Negative Impact and are blank for Negative Impact Mitigation. Please review these FMPs to ensure accuracy of these data fields.§361.39 c. It appears that some fields are missing entries, including Water Supply Benefit. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit C Table 16. [31 TAC §361.39 & Exhibit C 2.5.B].	Agree, will update. Agree, will update. Agree, will update.	

	Comment	Comment Location			
Level	#	Document	Page / Section	TWDB Draft Plan Comment	RFPG Response
Level 1	20	Plan	SOW Task 5	20.Flood Mitigation Project Recommendations GIS Feature Class, FMP: d. It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'HUC8', 'FLD_TP_RIV', 'FLD_TP_LOC', and 'ASSOCIATED'. Please	Agree, will update.
				complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 24. e.	Agree, will update.
				Twenty-seven recommended FMPs list "Yes" for 'NEG_IMPACT' and "No" for 'NEG_MITIG'. Please review these FMPs to ensure accuracy of these data fields. [31 TAC §361.39 & Exhibit D 3.11.1].	
Level 1	21	Plan	SOW Task 5	21.Flood Mitigation Project Details Geodatabase, FMP_Details: The FMP Details table provided in the geodatabase appears blank. Please complete as required in §361.40	Agree, will update.
Level 1	22	Plan	SOW Task 5	22.Flood Mitigation Strategies Recommendations GIS Feature Class, FMS: It appears that some fields are missing entries, including 'ENTITY_ID', 'NEG_IMPACT', and 'ASSOCIATED'. Please complete all required fields with valid entries per Exhibit D Table 26. For 'ENTITY_ID', leave NULL or 999999 if there is no data. [31 TAC §361.39 & Exhibit D 3.10].	Agree, will update.
Level 2	23	Plan	General Comment	23.To better align with our agency's preferred nomenclature, please consider using the name, "Cursory Floodplain Data" instead	
				of "Fathom" or Cursory Fathom Data" throughout the regional flood plan.	The regional flood plan will be updated in the report and associated maps to reflect TWDBs preferred nomenclature. No changes will be made to the GIS feature classes, specifically ExFldHazard and FutFldHazards layers.
Level 2	24	Plan	SOW Task 1	24. Watersheds GIS Feature Class, Watersheds: Please populate the applicable ID fields to associate the Watersheds feature class with identified FME/FMS/FMP.	Agree, these fields have been updated.
Level 2	25	Plan	SOW Task 1	25.Existing Infrastructure, Text: Please provide a description of how Low Water Crossings were identified within the text of Chapter 1.	Agree - Expanded on how some LWCs were evaluated. Added "Low-water crossings were all evaluated, some were moved to be more in line with the stream centerline and road centerline, and some were removed that did not correlate with a road that was overtopping."
Level 2	26	Plan	SOW Task 1	26.Existing Infrastructure GIS Feature Class, ExFldInfraPt: There appear to be Low Water Crossings in the TNRIS dataset which do not appear to be included in the ExFldInfraPt feature class. Please consider reviewing the TNRIS dataset for potential inclusion.	LWC's were all evaluated, some were moved to be more in line with the stream CL and road CL, and some were removed that did not seem to be correct based on road overtopping, based on the March 7th TM comments. In short, ExFldInfraPt layer was modified which was used to identify LWC's that intersected the ExFldHazard layer to produce the ExFldExpPt layer that then fed into the ExFldExpAll (vulnerability) layer.
Level 2	27	Plan	SOW Task 1	27.Deficient Infrastructure (Exhibit C Map 3): Please consider renaming map to Non- Functional or Deficient Infrastructure since the map includes dams and levees.	Agree, will update.

Lovel	Comment	Comme	ent Location	TWDB Draft Plan Comment	DEDC Page and	
Level	#	Document	Page / Section	I WDB Draft Plan Comment	RFPG Response	
Level 2	28	Plan	SOW Task 1	28.Existing Projects, Text: a.	a. Agree, updated to Table 2.	
				b. Please refer to Table 2 in the text of Chapter 1. b. Please ensure Map 2 is referenced in a similar manner. Chapter 4 is referenced in the text of Chapter 1 (and Chapter 4 references Map 2), however, for the sake of ease and convenience, please consider providing the reference to the Map 2 in Chapter 1 (in addition to the map's reference in Chapter 4). It appears all of this can be accomplished by referencing Table 2 and Map 2 within the following sections: "1.12.4 Proposed or Ongoing Flood Mitigation Projects" and "1.12.5 Implementation of Nonstructural Flood Mitigation Projects" in Chapter 1 (as well as Chapters 4).	b. Agree, will update.	
Level 2	29	Plan	SOW Task 2A	29. Existing Condition Flood Exposure GIS Feature Class, ExFldExPol: a. The agricultural coverage layers appear to have irregular triangle and rectangular features that may be a result of the conversion of a raster to polygon.	 a. Based on the March/April comments we reprocessed the Agricultural raster into polygons that were rectangles as opposed to triangles. The August submittal had the rectangles. b. Same comment 	
				b. The agricultural coverage layers appear to have irregular triangle and rectangular features that may be a result of the conversion of a raster to polygon. Please review and revise, as appropriate.		
Level 2	30	Plan	SOW Task 2A	30.Existing Condition Flood Exposure Vulnerability GIS Feature Class, ExFldExpAll: It appears that some entries with 'EXP_TYPE' listed as "Other" may better fit in the provided 'EXP_TYPE' valid entries. Please consider reviewing and revising as appropriate using the updated 'CRIT_TYPE' valid entry list: "Medical, Police, Fire, EMS, Shelter, School, Infrastructure, Water Treatment, Wastewater Treatment, Power Generation, Other".	a. "Other" was used in EXP_TYPE for Gas pipelines, Electrical Transmission lines and Railroad Segments. There did not seem to be a better category available for this field. However we categorized Gas and Transmission line as "Yes" in the CRITICAL field and use the "Infrastructure" classification in the CRIT_TYPE field. For the Railroad segments we cannot consider as critical similar to the logic for the Roadway segments.	
Level 2	31	Plan	SOW Task 2A	31.Existing Condition Vulnerability: Please consider modifying the map color scheme to enhance critical infrastructure legibility.	Agree, changed the infrastructure to orange.	
Level 2	32	Plan	SOW Task 2A	32. Model Coverage, Text: Please consider providing a table of models within Chapter 2 or appendix that includes the modeling information contained in the ModelCoverage feature class.	Agree, due to the amount of H&H models available, we will provided a link to the D2MR website in the section 2.1.1 Existing H&H Model Availability.	
Level 2	33	Plan	SOW Task 2B	33. Future Condition Flood Hazard Map Gaps (Exhibit C Map 9): Please consider changing the colors used for the Unknown future flood hazard and the areas where Cursory Floodplain Data (Fathom data) was used.	Agree, updated color to red.	

Comment Location TWDP Dreft Blon Comment		2-2-2-2			
Level	#	Document	Page / Section	TWDB Draft Plan Comment	RFPG Response
Level 2	34	Plan	SOW Task 2B	34.Future Condition Flood Exposure GIS Feature Class, FutFldExpPol: a. The agricultural coverage layers appear to have irregular triangle and rectangular features that may be a result of the conversion of a raster to polygon. Please review and revise. b. Bldg_IDs 6025014 and 6331393 both appear to be within the extent of the FutFldHazard layer but do not appear to be	a. Based on the March/April comments we reprocessed the Agricultural raster into polygons that were rectangles as opposed to triangles. The August submittal had the rectangles.
				identified in the FutFldExpPol feature class. c. Bldg_ID 6080782 (A Hospital) appears to be within the extent of the extent of the FutFldHazard layer but does not appear to be identified in the FutFldExpPol feature class.	b. After rechecking the August submittal these buildings do appear to be shown in the FutFldExpPol layer as is expected.
				d. Bldg_ID 6028788 (A power generating facility) appears to be within the extent of the extent of the FutFldHazard layer but does not appear to be identified in the FutFldExpPol feature class. e. Please review the FutFldHazard layer confirm that buildings within the extent are properly identified in the FutFldExpPol feature class. Some buildings do not appear to include the entire building footprints.	c. After rechecking the August submittal this building does appear to be shown in the FutFldExpPol layer as is expected and classified as a critical Medical facility in the FutFldExpAll layer.
				reactare classification of appear to include the entire saliding rootprints.	d. After rechecking the August submittal this building does appear to be shown in the FutFldExpPol layer as is expected and classified as a critical Power Generation facility in the FutFldExpAll layer.
Level 2	35	Plan	SOW Task 2B	35. Future Condition Flood Exposure Vulnerability GIS Feature Class, FutFldExpALL: FTEXPALLID 156611 is the site of San Antonio Fire Department Station 49, however, it does not appear to be identified as critical infrastructure. Please consider reviewing all critical infrastructure layers and modify, as appropriate, to identify them in the FutFldExpAll feature class.	This is captured in the FutFldExpAll layer as a Fire facility but the ID's don't match up. The issue could be from reviewing potentially out dated data and not the August submittal. The ID I see is FTEXPALLID 120176170
Level 2	36	Plan	SOW Task 4B	36.Streams GIS Feature Class, Streams: a. Please consider linking this feature class to any relevant FMEs, FMSs, or FMPs when appropriate by populating the associated ID fields. b. Please ensure that identified streams are within the boundary of the associated FME. FMB, and FMS.	a. Agree, this was previously done. b. Agree, done.
				Please ensure that identified streams are within the boundary of the associated FME, FMP, and FMS.	
Level 2	37	Plan	SOW Task 4B	37.Flood Management Evaluation, Text: In areas where there is an ongoing TWDB-funded FIF Category 1 study, please consider describing how duplication of efforts would be avoided and how FIF Category 1 study data would be incorporated into the proposed FMEs. For example, several FMEs appear to overlap spatially with current FIF Category 1 funded Karnes County Flood Protection Planning Study (FIF ID 40011).	Agree, will expand on the on the text in section 5.1.3. We are also working with the TWDB contractors to coordinate any developing studies in future amendments.
Level 2	38	Plan	SOW Task 4B	38.Flood Management Evaluation (Exhibit C Table 12) In areas where there is an ongoing TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 study, please consider describing how duplication of efforts would be avoided and how FIF Category 1 study data would be incorporated into the proposed FMEs. For example, several FMEs appear to overlap spatially with current FIF Category 1 funded Karnes County Flood Protection Planning Study (FIF ID 40011).	Agree, added the "ASSC_FIF" field to the FME/FMP/FMS layers and have spatially joined the overlapping FIF projects using the FIF ID.
Level 2	39	Plan	SOW Task 4B	39.Flood Management Evaluation (Exhibit C Map 16):	a. Agree, added table to Map 16.A a list of Region wide FMEs.
				a. Map 16 does not include region-wide FMEs. Please consider providing an additional map that would show all of the FMEs within the region.	b. Agree, FIF Category 1 studies will be added to the FME map (Exhibit C Map 16) prior to submittal of the final plan.
				b.Please include TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 studies in the indication of a previously studied area.	
Level 2	40	Plan	SOW Task 4B	40.Flood Mitigation Projects (Exhibit C Table 13): Some FMPs list "0" for Project Area. Please review and ensure that these values are accurate.	Agree, will add.
Level 2	41	Plan	SOW Task 4B	41.Flood Mitigation Projects GIS Feature Class, FMP_HazPost: Please consider developing a FMP_HazPost feature class showing an updated hazard area that accounts for the impact of recommended FMPs.	Agree, will add.
Level 2	42	Plan	SOW Task 4B	42. Flood Mitigation Project (Exhibit C Map 17): Consider providing a zoomed in "inset" map of the San Antonio area to improve the legibility of the FMP extents.	Agree, updated map.

	Comment	nment Comment Location		TWDD D (C.D.)	
Level	#	Document	Page / Section	TWDB Draft Plan Comment	RFPG Response
Level 2	43	Plan	SOW Task 4B	43. Flood Mitigation Strategies GIS Feature Class, FMS: For county-wide watershed strategies where majority of the county falls outside of the RFPG boundary, please include justification how the strategy benefits the region and please coordinate with other RFPGs to make sure the efforts are not duplicated.	Agree, There was coordination with other Regions, see text in Chapter 10. Only one FMS identified has the majority of the boundary outside of the SAFPR, see description for strategy benefits.
Level 2	44	Plan	SOW Task 5	44.Flood Management Evaluation Recommendations, Text: In areas where there is an ongoing TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 study, please consider describing how duplication of efforts would be avoided and how FIF Category 1 study data would be incorporated into the proposed FMEs. For example, several FMEs appear to overlap spatially with current FIF Category 1 funded Karnes County Flood Protection Planning Study (FIF ID 40011).	Agree, will expand on the on the text in section 5.1.3. We are also working with the TWDB contractors to coordinate any developing studies in future amendments.
Level 2	45	Plan	SOW Task 5	45.Flood Management Evaluation Recommendations (Exhibit C Table 15): In areas where there is an ongoing TWDB-funded, FIF Category 1 study, please consider describing how duplication of efforts would be avoided and how FIF Category 1 study data would be incorporated into the proposed FMEs. For example, several FMEs appear to overlap spatially with current FIF Category 1 funded Karnes County Flood Protection Planning Study (FIF ID 40011). Flood Management Evaluations GIS Feature Class, FME: Please consider adding the 'ASSOCIATED' field to the FME feature class and populating as applicable.	
Level 2	46	Plan	SOW Task 9	46.Please consider providing the supporting calculation and supporting data that is the basis for the statement: "Of this \$1,184,840,000 it is projected that \$1,005,017,000 in state and federal grant funding is needed for implementation of these projects". (Page 9-16).	Agree, expanded on.
Level 2	47	Plan	SOW Task 9	47. Flood Infrastructure Financing Analysis text: Please review section for language accuracy. Please consider revising "rant" to "grant" in the subtitle of Chapter 9.1.6.	Agree, corrected.
Level 2	48	Plan	SOW Task 9	48.Water Supply, Text: a. Table 6-6 in Section 6.6 does not appear to include the estimated, quantified annual volume of water associated with the three identified FMPs. Please review and reconcile. [31 TAC §361.41 & Exhibit C 2.6.B]. b. On p. 6-6, there is a brief discussion about coordination with RWPGs to determine impacts on WMSs. The text states that the results of coordination are presented in "the following tables", but the tables appear to not be included. Please include a summary and a table identifying any negative impacts to water supply. If no negative impacts are identified, please include a statement to that effect.	Agree, will add.

Proposed Flood Management Evaluation (FME) of Great Springs Project

Submitted to: San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group c/o San Antonio River Authority 100 East Guenther St.
San Antonio, Texas 78283-9980
Ludivine.Varga@hdrinc.com.

Submitted by: Great Springs Project Attn: Lyda Creus Molanphy Chief Strategy & Operations Officer Great Springs Project (512) 751-1636 PO Box 12331 Austin, TX 78711 lyda@greatspringsproject.org

Submitted on: September 16, 2022

Purpose


The purpose of this proposed Flood Management Evaluation (FME) is to:

- 1. Assess the flood mitigation potential and benefits of the Great Springs Project in the Region 12 Flood Plan,
- 2. Identify opportunities to enhance the flood mitigation features of the Great Springs Project and to increase the benefit-cost ratio of related flood mitigation efforts by others,
- 3. Quantify the flood mitigation and other associated benefits of the Great Springs Project,
- 4. Identify potential collaboration with flood mitigation efforts by local governments, regional authorities and state agencies,
- 5. Quantify the added benefits of collaborative efforts, and
- 6. Recommend subsequent Flood Management Strategies (FMSs) and Flood Management Projects (FMPs) to cost-effectively reduce flood risk in the San Antonio Flood Planning Region.

Background

Established as a 501(c)3 organization in 2018, the Great Springs Project (GSP) is conserving an additional 50,000 acres of sensitive land in the Austin-San Antonio corridor and building a spring-to-spring trail.¹ As shown in Figure 1, the GSP geography of interest overlaps with the Region 12 area in northern Bexar, southern Comal, and southwestern Guadalupe County.

Figure 1. Overlap of Region 12 and GSP areas. Courtesy of Jim Carrillo, FAICP, Halff Associates.



Land conservation is generally recognized as contributing to flood mitigation² and has been identified as such in the draft of Chapter 3 of the Draft 2023 San Antonio Regional Flood Plan.

¹ See the GSP website for more information: https://greatspringsproject.org/

² Johnson, Kris A., et al. "A benefit—cost analysis of floodplain land acquisition for US flood damage reduction." *Nature Sustainability* 3.1 (2020): 56-62.

In fact, the draft Region 12 Flood Plan has goals of a 10% increase in protected open space by 2033 and an unspecified increase by 2053.

Great Springs Project intends to acquire aquifer recharge and contributing land which is strategically valuable for flood mitigation purposes since this would simultaneously reduce flood risk while enhancing the recharge of the Edwards Aquifer. In addition, the trail portion of GSP can reinforce and enhance the benefits of the land conservation by:

- 1. Incorporating swales and other features to facilitate the infiltration of stormwater,
- 2. Stabilizing creek and river banks,
- 3. Providing connected segments of conserved lands to enhance the value of the habitat for native species,
- 4. Potentially providing access to flood monitoring equipment and other facilities, and
- 5. Generally adding recreational, public health, transportation, education, carbon sequestration, economic development, wildfire mitigation, and other benefits to flood mitigation efforts in the Region 12 flood planning area.

Chapter 6 of the Draft 2023 San Antonio Regional Flood Plan states that conserved lands for flood plains are often utilized for hiking and biking trails and that the San Antonio RFPG will encourage secondary benefits, such as recreational opportunities. This proposed FME would bring these opportunities into focus.

Scope of Work

Great Springs Project would recruit and manage consultants to conduct the following tasks as part of the FME:

- 1. Assemble relevant information about the land parcels that are, or may be, included in GSP and related trail development as well as adjacent, relevant flood planning FMEs, FMSs and FMPs,
- 2. Determine the flood risks involved in the affected area,
- 3. Assess and quantify the flood mitigation impacts of GSP land conservation and trail development as well as how GSP may contribute to adjacent flood mitigation efforts,
- 4. Identify possible and appropriate modifications to open space and trail features that would enhance the flood mitigation of GSP and adjacent flood mitigation efforts,
- 5. Quantify the added benefits of combining GSP efforts with Region 12 flood mitigation projects,
- 6. In cooperation with the affected local governments, develop appropriate proposals for FMS(s) and FMP(s) for inclusion in the San Antonio Regional Flood Plan, and
- 7. Submit a final report within one year of FME funding.

Note that, based on this FME, GSP would, in cooperation with relevant local governments, apply for funding of the resulting FMSs and/or FMPs.

Budget

The budget for this FME is estimated to be \$250,000 which includes administrative overhead by GSP.



Life's better outside.

Nefi Garza, Chair San Antonio Flood Planning Region c/o San Antonio River Authority 100 E. Gunter Street San Antonio, Texas 78283

Commissioners

Arch "Beaver" Aplin, III Chairman Lake Jackson

> Dick Scott Vice-Chairman Wimberley

James E. Abell Kilgore

> Oliver J. Bell Cleveland

Paul L. Foster El Paso

Anna B. Galo Laredo

Jeffery D. Hildebrand Houston

Robert L. "Bobby" Patton, Jr. Fort Worth

> Travis B. "Blake" Rowling Dallas

> > Lee M. Bass Chairman-Emeritus Fort Worth

T. Dan Friedkin Chairman-Emeritus Houston

Carter P. Smith Executive Director Re: 2023 San Antonio Regional Flood Plan

Dear Mr. Garza,

In 2019 Senate Bills 7 and 8 established a regional and state flood planning process for Texas, aimed at better managing flood risk to reduce loss of life and property. As part of the process, Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (TPWD) was identified as a member of the regional flood planning groups (Texas Water Code Sec. 16.062). The mission of TPWD is to manage and conserve the natural and cultural resources of Texas and its ability to provide opportunities of hunting, fishing, and outdoor recreation for the use and enjoyment of present and future generations. TPWD values this opportunity to contribute to the flood planning process with the goal of enhancing flood risk management and achieving beneficial flood mitigation outcomes. Toward this effort TPWD members serve a dual role of supporting the voting membership in development of the plans and representing the natural resource interests of the state.

TPWD applauds the San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group (SARFPG) for their efforts in completing the inaugural regional flood plan (RFP) especially considering the abbreviated timeline. Through the exceptional efforts of the RFPG, this plan will be a meaningful tool for reducing flood impacts to society, especially in those disastrous events that cause loss of life and injury. Because this represents the initial region-wide plan, it has the potential to be precedent setting for subsequent iterations. As such, it is important this plan recognizes the role nature and nature-based solutions can play in flood risk management and promotes opportunities to protect, enhance and restore the flood mitigation benefits provided by natural landforms.

TPWD is supportive of the planning process outlined by the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) because it aims to achieve an integrative flood risk management (FRM) approach that prioritizes risk reduction through implementation of floodplain management, land use regulations, policy, and a balanced use of grey and natural and nature-based (NNBS) flood mitigation measures that are formed by inclusive participation at all levels of society. TPWD believes this integrative approach when implemented holistically will achieve the maximum benefits for society and natural ecosystems while minimizing environmental impacts. Recent published works on FRM and NNBS (Bridges et al 2021, Glick et al 2020, World Wildlife Fund 2016, Sayers et al 2013) support TWDB integrative flood management approach and provide extensive resources for flood planners.

In the interest of achieving the state's flood risk management goals while protecting the state's fish and wildlife resources, TPWD reviewed regional flood plans based on the TWDB guidance principals as described in 31 Texas Administrative Code Chapters 361 and 362. Special focus was provided on the following subset of guidance principals due to its relevance to fish and wildlife management.

- Does the draft flood plan use the best available science, data, models, and flood risk mapping?
- Does the draft flood plan consider the potential upstream and downstream effects, including environmental, of potential flood management strategies (and associated projects) of neighboring areas?
- Does the draft flood plan include strategies and projects that provide for a balance of structural and non-structural flood mitigation measures, including projects that use nature-based features that lead to long-term mitigation of flood risk?
- Does the draft flood plan consider natural systems and beneficial functions of floodplains, including flood peak attenuation and ecosystem services?
- Does the draft flood plan encourage flood mitigation design approaches that work with, rather than against, natural patterns and conditions of floodplains?
- Does the draft flood plan seek to not cause long-term impairment to the designated water quality as shown in the state water quality management plan as a result of a recommended flood management strategy or project?
- Does the draft flood plan consider benefits of flood management strategies to water quality, fish and wildlife, ecosystem function, and recreation, as appropriate?
- Does the draft flood plan minimize adverse environmental impacts and conform with adopted environmental flow standards?
- Does the draft flood plan consider multi-use opportunities such as green space, parks, water quality, or recreation, portions of which could be funded, constructed, and or maintained by additional, third-party project participants?

Additionally, TPWD emphasizes that the following FRM concepts identified in the forementioned literature be incorporated into the RFP.

- Flood is a natural process that has many benefits to human and natural systems.
- Promoting some flooding as desirable and making room for water promotes native species, maintains vital ecosystem services, and reduces the chance of flooding elsewhere.
- Natural landscapes and watersheds provide flood mitigation functions that should be promoted, protected, enhanced, and restored.
- Prioritize risk reduction over flood control by focusing first on reducing loss of life and injury.
- Utilize limited resources fairly.

- Address flood risk using a portfolio approach to first implement nonstructural (policy, land management, emergency management) followed by structural (grey and natural and nature-based) strategies.
- Criteria for assessing projects strategies should include a comprehensive suite of measures spanning economical, operational, societal, and environmental advantages and disadvantages. Assessments focusing on economics alone (number of buildings, acres) should be avoided.

San Antonio Regional Flood Plan Comments

Texas Conservation Action Plan (TCAP) is a guiding document for conservation in the state of Texas, with the goals of realizing conservation benefits, preventing species listings, and preserving our natural heritage for future generations. Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) include numerous aquatic species such as fish, freshwater mussels, and salamanders. The TCAP handbook (Texas Parks and Wildlife Department, 2012) includes six types of priority habitats, three of which are aquatic: water resources;

riparian and floodplains; and caves and karst. Issues affecting these environments include environmental flows, impoundments and dam operations, and water quality issues (including stormwater runoff).

The Draft San Antonio Regional Flood Plan (SARFP) calculated and mapped flood risk analysis for both 1% and 0.2% annual chance storm events for current and future conditions. A model of the current conditions risk of flooding was created by compiling local knowledge, United States Geological Survey (USGS) gage information, San Antonio River Authority (SARA) data, National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) data, FEMA Base Level Engineering data, Fathom data, and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Atlas-14 rainfall data. TPWD appreciates and supports the use of the best available science and most relevant data and encourages the consideration of environmental flow standards for the San Antonio River, Medina River, Mission River, Cibolo Creek, and San Antonio Bay. These environmental flow standards were established by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality to ensure that natural flow regimes are maintained which include large seasonal pulse flows.

The goals of the Draft SARFP include education and outreach, improving flood warning and readiness, increasing the number of flood studies, increasing the prevention of flooding, and supporting flood infrastructure projects. TPWD encourages the inclusion of the ecological and societal benefits of flooding in any education program and appreciates the repeated mention of nature-based solutions in the education and outreach goals of the SARFP.

The SARFP identified 29 potentially feasible Flood Management Projects (FMPs), 165 potentially feasible Flood Management Evaluations (FMEs), and 20 potentially feasible Flood Management Strategies (FMSs). It appears that most of the recommended FMPs are infrastructure based with only one nature-based solution being put forward. TPWD appreciates that the Draft SARFP acknowledges the gap in flood risk and mitigation in relation to nature-based infrastructure in the region. TPWD understands that the goal of

September 30, 2022 Page 5

the RFP is to mitigate floods to reduce risk to life and property but would like to encourage the use of nature-based solutions where possible. The Draft SARFP states that none of the projects or strategies are anticipated to have negative downstream effects.

TPWD would like to encourage all the FMX (an FMP, FME, or FMS) proponents to consider stream crossing designs that allow for sediment transport and passage of aquatic organisms and do not impound water. Basically, designs that are invisible to the creek. This includes bridges that span the creek where possible or culverted crossings designed with the culvert(s) in the active channel area lower than those in the floodplain benches so that the flow in the channel is not overly spread out. The central/low-flow culvert(s) should be large enough to handle a 1.5-year flow without backing up water. The bottoms of these lower culverts should be set at least a foot below grade (i.e., recessed) to allow natural substrate to cover the culvert bottom and to allow for aquatic organism passage. These lower, recessed culverts should be installed in the thalweg or deepest part of the channel and be aligned with the low flow channel (Clarkin et al., 2006).

The Draft SARFP includes a number of channel improvement projects which may include widening, deepening, and straightening streams. Channelization and over-widening of streams slows flow, which increases deposition of sediment, decreases fish habitat, increases water temperatures, and can result in channel erosion. Streams in good condition naturally reach bankfull and start spilling onto the floodplain during a 1.5 to 2-year flood event. Widening and deepening a stream channel to force it to contain the 100-year flow negatively impacts the adjacent water table and riparian area and has geomorphic effects upstream and downstream of the modification. If channelization is necessary, constructing a two-stage channel with a low-flow channel and a floodplain allows for the continued transport of sediment, habitat for aquatic wildlife, and can reduce maintenance (Rosgen 1996). TPWD encourages the RFPG to protect existing streams, riparian areas, and floodplains.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. TPWD looks forward to continuing to work with the planning group to develop flood plans that protect life and property that are also beneficial to the environment. Please contact me at (512) 389 – 8214 or at Marty.Kelly@TPWD.Texas.gov if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Marty Kelly

Water Resources Program Coordinator

September 30, 2022 Page 5

References

Bridges, T. S., J. K. King, J. D. Simm, M. W. Beck, G. Collins, Q. Lodder, and R. K. Mohan, eds. 2021. International Guidelines on Natural and Nature-Based Features for Flood Risk Management. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

Clarkin, K. Keller, G., Warhol, T., Hixson, S. 2006. Low-Water Crossings: Geomorphic, Biological, and Engineering Design Considerations. 0625 1808P. San Dimas, CA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, San Dimas Technology and Development Center. 366 p. http://www.fs.fed.us/eng/pubs/pdf/LowWaterCrossings/index.shtml

Glick, P., E. Powell, S. Schlesinger, J. Ritter, B.A. Stein, and A. Fuller. 2020. The Protective Value of Nature: A Review of the Effectiveness of Natural Infrastructure for Hazard Risk Reduction. Washington, DC.

P. Sayers, Y. L.i, G. Galloway, E. Penning-Rowsell, F. Shen, K. Wen, Y. Chen, and T. Le Quesne. 2013. Flood Risk Management: A Strategic Approach. Paris, UNESCO.

Rosgen, D. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs.

Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. 2012. Texas Conservation Action Plan 2012 - 2016: Overview. Editor, Wendy Connally, Texas Conservation Action Plan Coordinator. Austin, Texas.

World Wildlife Fund. 2016. Natural and Nature-based Flood Management: A Green Guide. Washington, DC: World Wildlife Fund. http://envirodm.org/flood-management 2016 WWF.



Alamo, Austin, and Lone Star chapters of the Sierra Club

Bexar Audubon Society

Austin, Bexar and Travis Green Parties

Bexar Grotto

Boerne Together

Bulverde Neighborhood Alliance

Bulverde Neighbors for Clean Water

Cibolo Center for Conservation

Citizens for the Protection of Cibolo Creek

Comal County Conservation Alliance

Environment Texas

First Universalist Unitarian Church of SA

Friends of Canyon Lake

Friends of Dry Comal Creek

Friends of Government Canyon

Fuerza Unida

Green Society of UTSA

Guadalupe River Road Alliance

Guardians of Lick Creek

Headwaters at Incarnate Word

Helotes Heritage Association

Hill Country Alliance

Kendall County Well Owners Association

Kinney County Ground Zero

Leon Springs Business Association

Native Plant Society of Texas - SA

Northwest Interstate Coalition of

Neighborhoods

Pedernales River Alliance - Gillespie Co.

Preserve Castroville

Preserve Lake Dunlop Association

Preserve Our Hill Country Environment

RiverAid San Antonio

San Antonio Audubon Society

San Antonio Conservation Society

San Geronimo Valley Alliance

San Marcos Greenbelt Alliance

San Marcos River Foundation

Save Barton Creek Association

Save Our Springs Alliance

Scenic Loop/Boerne Stage Alliance

Securing a Future Environment

SEED Coalition

Signal Hill Area Alliance

Sisters of the Divine Providence

Solar San Antonio

Texas Cave Management Association

Trinity Edwards Spring Protection Assoc.

Water Aid – Texas State University

Wildlife Rescue & Rehabilitation

Wimberley Valley Watershed Association

PO Box 15618 San Antonio, Texas 78212 (210) 320-6294 October 7, 2022

Chairman Derek Boese and Stakeholders Regional Flood Planning Group 12

Re: Recommendations to the TWDB Promoting the Protection of Natural Flood Mitigation Features and Use of Nature Based Flood Mitigation Solutions

Dear Chairman Boese and Appointed Stakeholders of RFPG 12,

These comments are submitted on behalf of the fifty-five member groups of the Greater Edwards Aguifer Alliance and the undersigned supporting organizations.

Background

State legislation enabling the Regional Flood Plan process provided guidelines and deliverables to be accomplished by each flood planning group, with regional plans becoming the basis of a state flood plan. Included in deliverable was the request for proposed flood mitigation projects to be considered for future funding. Enabling legislation also directed the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) to identify and evaluate natural flood mitigation features and include Nature Based Solutions (NBS) within proposed flood mitigation projects.

While TWDB has been very responsive to the questions and concerns expressed by the various Regional Flood Planning Groups (RFPG), the process highlighted several areas of concern regarding the evaluation of natural flood mitigation features for their level of function and use in flood mitigation. This process highlighted the current lack of data specific to Texas regions needed to accurately evaluate natural flood mitigation features and, therefore, the need for methods beyond a traditional Hydrologic Engineering Center's - River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) approach. In addition, Technical Consultant outreach to communities demonstrated the need to increase knowledge on incorporating not only the protection and restoration of natural flood mitigation features but also in general, NBS into flood control strategies.

Nature Based Solutions will need to be woven into every facet of this program and incorporated into future policies and strategies in order to empower community collaboration and leveraging the state's vast network of natural ecosystems in building resilient communities.

Recommendations

Broad and specific recommendations have been collected across the state from RFPG committee members and collaborators, including:

- 1. Increase funding for and use of Nature Based Solutions, and reduce hurdles to their incorporation into the Regional Flood Plans as Flood Mitigation Strategies, Evaluations and Projects by:
 - a. Increasing number of trainings and workshops on accurate cost benefit analysis and use of NBS;

- b. Improving modeling methods to provide greater sensitivity beyond traditional hydrological models to include soil porosity and moisture holding capacity, plant interception, evaporation, and transpiration; and other processes that affect flows and interactions with groundwater; as well as water quality improvements and groundwater recharge that can be realized with NBS;
- c. Expanding the TWDB's concept of "adverse impact" to include loss of functioning floodplains and the resiliency that they provide;
- d. Incentivizing collaboration across watersheds and jurisdictions towards a regional approach to floodplain management using NBS by prioritizing such projects.
- 2. Ensure that the TWDB's cost benefit analysis appropriately weights projects offering:
 - a. Increased social and environmental benefits,
 - b. Reduced negative environmental impact,
 - c. Reduced cost avoidance for infrastructure replacement (for data on gray infrastructure replacement costs: https://mediaspace.du.edu/media/David+Skuodas+-+Seeing+the+Forest+and+the+Trees/1_g90zp1xz), and
 - d. Increased flood prevention for future conditions while also creating resiliency to recover after natural disasters.
- 3. Recognize the role that land development codes and location of infrastructure have on flood impacts:
 - a. Educate on the need for counties to use their ability provided by the State to exert authority to influence development and reduce negative impacts to natural features that mitigate flooding and enable counties to levy stormwater/drainage utility fees to retrofit and maintain natural flood infrastructure.
 - b. Promote and fund the use of NBS throughout watersheds with the understanding that most natural flood mitigation features, including floodplains, are in some state of degradation and can be improved with appropriate land use policies,
 - c. Recommend policy changes that enable Counties or Groundwater Conservation Districts to protect Natural Aquifer Storage and Recovery features (e.g., karst, fracture zones, and sinkholes) that help mitigate flood severity while transferring potential flood water into aquifers, and
 - d. Partner with other agencies to incorporate flood considerations into applicable agency activities (e.g., ensure TxDOT builds to 1% annual probability ("100-year") standards and uses updated flood maps defined by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (currently the Atlas 14 data) and that such infrastructure does not increase downstream flooding nor damage floodplains and riparian corridors.
- 4. Specific project recommendations:
 - a. Fund a Texas Watershed Initiative similar to Louisiana's¹ with a robust program on use and adoption of NBS,

¹ https://watershed.la.gov/nature-based-solutions

- Provide training and technical resources to flood districts, river authorities, municipal utility districts, water control and improvement districts, and municipal and county floodplain managers to advance understanding and adoption of NBS and best practices for maintaining floodplains and other natural flood mitigation features to fully realize potential benefits,
- c. Use all available federal and state programs to prioritize the preservation and restoration of natural flood mitigation features throughout watersheds,
- d. Develop a compendium of Nature-Based resources for non-coastal communities, and
- e. Review submitted FMPs, FMEs and FMSs submitted for this first 5-year cycle to determine the feasibility to augment with NBS aspects.

Conclusions

If preventative flood mitigation strategies are not prioritized for funding, then flood events will be more frequent and cause greater harm, leading to much higher costs for Texas taxpayers. Similarly, if natural infrastructure that mitigates flooding is degraded, undoing the damage to some of these features may be cost-prohibitive. Retrofitting with flood control projects is also not cost-effective, given pathways for prevention already in use in many other states. Conversely, strategically protecting natural infrastructure and placing Nature Based Solutions throughout a watershed can significantly reduce flood risks along tributaries and major riverine systems alike.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit these comments.

Respectfully,

Annalisa Peace Executive Director Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance

Luke Metzger Executive Director Environment Texas

Suzanne Scott State Director, Texas Chapter The Nature Conservancy

Antonio Diaz Spokesperson Texas Indigenous Council Co-Chair Bexar County Green Party

Britt Coleman President Bexar Audubon Society

education

conservation

cooperation



San Antonio Regional Flood Planning Group c/o San Antonio River Authority 100 East Guenther St.
San Antonio, Texas 78283-9980

October 11, 2022

Dear Regional Flood Planning Group 12,

Thank you for your ongoing work to create a comprehensive flood plan for the San Antonio River Basin planning area. I am writing to encourage the Planning Group (i) to consider use of nature-based solutions as a primary tool for mitigating flooding and extreme weather events, as well as (ii) to engage the Camp Bullis Sentinel Landscape Partnership as we implement and learn from nature-based solutions in a multi-county focal area around Joint Base San Antonio's Camp Bullis, in the Upper San Antonio River Basin.

JBSA-Camp Bullis provides training for 266 partners, including the institutional and field training component for all Department of Defense enlisted and officer medical training. The continuation and protection of the Camp Bullis training mission directly and significantly affect strategic national defense initiatives as articulated in the National Defense Strategy. Several stressors to the military installation, including encroachment, drought, and flooding, threaten the training mission.

In 2020, the Camp Bullis Sentinel Landscape Partnership—a collaborative now of over 50 organizations—was created to address these and other stressors by enhancing natural resources conservation, agricultural productivity, military readiness, and resilience to extreme weather events such as drought and flooding. Camp Bullis is drained by several creeks, including Cibolo and Salado Creeks, subject to flooding during high rainfall periods. Several personnel have been killed on base from flash floods. The CBSL Partnership is advancing nature-based solutions to enhance groundwater replenishment and mitigate inland flooding to benefit Camp Bullis and surrounding communities.

For example, Texas A&M Natural Resources Institute recently secured an \$8.57 million grant from the USDA on behalf of the CBSL Partnership to work with volunteering private landowners to advance nature-based solutions (e.g. enhancing soil health and infiltration). The City of Boerne is protecting and quantifying impacts of riparian stewardship for flood mitigation and groundwater recharge; the University of Texas-San Antonio is assessing how four different permeable pavement designs can mitigate the water quality and quantity of stormwater runoff compared to impermeable pavement surfaces over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone; and the Edwards Aquifer Authority, along with the University of Texas at San Antonio, is studying the impacts of land stewardship practices (e.g. oncontour berms and swales, as well as log and rock structures) on soil infiltration, surface water runoff, and aquifer recharge at the Authority's new Field Research Park.

We invite the RFPG to learn with and support us on how we can most effectively implement naturebased solutions to mitigate flooding, while achieving other co-benefits such as groundwater replenishment, habitat, agricultural productivity, and public recreation in the Upper San Antonio River Basin.

We appreciate your efforts to protect the people and places that define this region. Please let me know if you have any questions or would like to discuss the CBSL Partnership at your convenience. I can be reached by cell phone at 210-287-0478 or by e-mail at Daniel@HillCountryAlliance.Org.

Respectfully,

Daniel Oppenheimer

HCA Land Program Director &

Camp Bullis Sentinel Landscape Partnership Coordinator

CC:

John Anderson, JBSA Community Initiatives, john.anderson.127@us.af.mil

Richard King, JBSA Community Initiatives, richard.king.44@us.af.mil

Mike Waldrop, JBSA Camp Bullis, michael.waldrop.1@us.af.mil

Ed Roberson, JBSA Camp Bullis, edward.roberson@us.af.mil

Hyder Salih, JBSA, hyder.salih@us.af.mil

Fernando Hernandez, JBSA, fernando.hernandez.11@us.af.mil

Karen Bishop, San Antonio River Authority, kbishop@sariverauthority.org

Shaun Donovan, San Antonio River Authority, sdonovan@sariverauthority.org

Erin Cavazos, San Antonio River Authority, ecavazos@sariverauthority.org

Diane Rath, Alamo Area Council of Governments, drath@aacog.com

Ryan Bass, City of Boerne, rbass@boerne-tx.gov

Jeff Carroll, City of Boerne, jcarroll@boerne-tx.gov

Hollie Bierbauer, Texas Division of Emergency Management, Hollie.Bierbauer@tdem.texas.gov

Jim Blount, Texas Division of Emergency Management, james.blount@tdem.texas.gov

John Foster, Texas State Soil & Water Conservation Board, jfoster@tsswcb.texas.gov

Rob Ziehr, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, robert.ziehr@usda.gov

Roel Lopez, Texas A&M Natural Resources Institute, roel.lopez@ag.tamu.edu

Alison Lund, Texas A&M Natural Resources Institute, alison.lund@ag.tamu.edu

David Mauk, Bandera County River Authority & Groundwater District, dmauk@bcragd.org

Luke Whitmire, Bandera County River Authority & Groundwater District, whitmire@bcragd.org

Annalisa Peace, Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance, annalisa@aquiferalliance.org

Debbie Read, Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance, deborah@aquiferalliance.org

Katherine Romans, Hill Country Alliance, katherine@hillcountryalliance.org

Marisa Bruno, Hill Country Alliance, marisa@hillcountryalliance.org

Ben Eldredge, Cibolo Center for Conservation, ben@cibolo.org

Suzanne Scott, The Nature Conservancy, suzanne.scott@tnc.org

Brock Curry, Edwards Aquifer Authority, bcurry@edwardsaquifer.org

Jim Boenig, Edwards Aquifer Authority, jboenig@edwardsaquifer.org

Lani May, University of Texas San Antonio, lani, may@utsa.edu

Saugata Datta, University of Texas San Antonio, saugata.datta@utsa.edu

Troy Dorman, Halff Associates, tdorman@halff.com

National Wildlife Federation's Letter of Recommendations to Region 12 Regional Flood
Planning Group Promoting an Equitable Regional Flood Plan, the Protection of Natural Flood
Mitigation Features, and Use of Nature Based Flood Mitigation Solutions

Background

State legislation enabling the Regional Flood Plan process provided guidelines and deliverables to be accomplished by each flood planning group, with regional plans becoming the basis of a state flood plan. These plans would be developed through the creation and identification of projects to be considered for future funding. Enabling legislation also directed the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) to identify and evaluate natural flood mitigation features and include Nature Based Solutions (NBS) among proposed flood mitigation projects.

Region 12, along with all the other Regional Flood Planning Groups (RFPGs) have had to work under a tight timeline during the initial planning round – and we appreciate the work the Region has put into making a holistic flood plan. In particular, in addition to the various flood mitigation evaluations, strategies, and projects that incorporate nature-based solutions, we are encouraged by the following items included in Region 12's draft Regional Flood Plan:

- Regulatory and Administrative Recommendations:
 - 8.1.3. (TxDOT should employ roadway design criteria to require all new and reconstructed state roadways to be designed and constructed, to the extent practicable, at elevations at or above the 1.0% annual chance event water surface elevation. TxDOT should also consider future conditions, such as urbanization and changing rainfall, in its roadway design criteria for drainage and flood risk reduction);
 - 8.1.4 (Establish programs and funding to evaluate and update development code and educate local and regional officials to the floodplain management tools they have available along with nature-based solutions);
 - 8.1.7 (Revise the scoring criteria for funding associated with stormwater and flood-related projects that benefit nature based solutions and agricultural activities);
 - 8.1.8 (Provide financial or technical assistance and training to smaller/rural jurisdictions to help educate them on implementing flood mitigation policy, practices, and funding opportunities);
- Legislative Recommendations:
 - 8.2.1 (Direct state funding to counties to maintain drainage and stormwater infrastructure in unincorporated areas);

- 8.2.2. (Provide funding and/or technical assistance to develop regulatory floodplain maps)
- 8.2.3. (Provide funding and/or technical assistance to update drainage criteria and development standards that prevents development in or impacts to the Effective FEMA floodplain); and
- 8.2.9 (Establish perpetual and dedicated funding to implement projects identified in the state flood plan).
- Regional Flood Planning Process Recommendations:
 - 8.3.2 (Develop a fact sheet and/or other publicity measures to encourage entities to participate in the SAFPR effort);
 - 8.3.4 (Develop a process to efficiently amend approved regional flood plans to incorporate additional recommended FMEs, FMSs, and FMPs, and to allow the San Antonio RFPG to advance the recommended FMEs to FMPs);
 - 8.3.6 Revise the criteria for the "No Adverse Impact" certification required for FMPs.
 - 8.3.14 Develop guidance and a standardized evaluation criteria for the benefits of nature-based solutions.
- Adopted Flood Protection Goals:
 - Increase the number of participating Community Rating System (CRS) entities in the FPR by 5 (short term) and 100% (long term);
 - Increase the number of entities which regulate to the 1% annual chance future conditions floodplains as part of new development and redevelopment by 10% (short term) and 50% (long term);
 - Increase the number of entities above the established baseline that have adopted a holistic watershed approach using existing Natural Flood Mitigation Features (NFMF) such as headwaters, buffers, and conservation easements for flood risk reduction as a basis for comprehensive subdivision regulations;
 - Establish a baseline and increase the number of acres of publicly protected open space by 10 % as part of land conservation and acquisitions to reduce future impacts of flooding;
 - Reduce the number of NFIP repetitive-loss properties in the FPR by 25% (short term) and 75% (long term);
 - Reduce the number of vulnerable critical facilities located within the existing and future 1% annual chance (100-year) floodplain by 50%;
 - Increase the number of structural projects by 10% (short term) and 50% (long term) that include a NBS or Green Infrastructure (GI) component.

While Region 12 and the TWDB has been very responsive to the questions and concerns expressed by the public and various RFPGs, the process and initial regional planning round has highlighted several areas of concern regarding the evaluation of natural flood mitigation features for their level of function and the incorporation of NBS into flood control strategies.

This process highlighted the current lack of data specific to Texas regions needed to accurately evaluate natural flood mitigation features and, therefore, the need for methods beyond a traditional Hydrologic Engineering Center's - River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) approach. In addition, Technical Consultant outreach to communities demonstrated the need to increase knowledge on incorporating Nature Based Solutions into flood control strategies.

Equity and nature-based solutions will need to be woven into every facet of this program and incorporated into future policies and strategies in order to empower community collaboration and leverage the state's vast network of natural ecosystems in building resilient communities.

The following comments and recommendations specific to Region 12 seek to better ensure an equitable flood plan, and one that centers natural infrastructure and nature-based projects. We recognize that the region will not be able to address some comments provided, however it is our hope that during subsequent rounds, these comments will be taken into consideration.

I. <u>Adopt NFIP participation as a minimum floodplain management standard</u>

Region 12 did not adopt any minimum floodplain management standards into its draft plan. Minimum floodplain management standards can be adopted by the region, which local entities must adopt before a FME, FMS, or FMP is included under the Regional Flood Plan, and therefore eligible for funding under FIF.

We encourage Region 12 to consider NFIP participation as a minimum floodplain management standard. Participation in the NFIP requires participants to adopt a floodplain management ordinance and to designate a floodplain administrator who is responsible for understanding and interpreting local floodplain management regulations and reviewing them for compliance with NFIP standards.

Since floodplain management ordinances and designation of a floodplain administrator are essential to proper flood planning at the local level, requiring the remaining communities to participate in the NFIP seems like an appropriate baseline, before entities can potentially receive funding for flood mitigation projects. We recommend that the Region uses its power to adopt minimum floodplain standards, by requiring NFIP participation as a minimum standard.

II. Refine Assessment and Identification of Flood Mitigation Needs

Critical facilities in particular need additional attention when assessing and identifying flood mitigation needs. Certain critical facilities pose higher risk to surrounding communities during flooding, such as superfund sites and refineries. We recommend that the Region include in its weighted approach risks based on the number of industrial facilities that pose environmental

justice risks to neighboring and fenceline communities. If facilities are identified that are within floodplains and are not adequately protected, the region should propose legislative, administrative, and regulatory recommendations to better ensure facilities do not pose a risk to neighboring communities during flooding.

III. Revise description of Nature-Based Features under section 5.1

Section 5.1 defines multiple structural and nonstructural strategies to mitigate flooding. Nature-based features is defined in the structural section as the following:

"FMPs can include nature-based features as part of flood mitigation solutions where applicable including, but not limited to, stream and coastal restorations, wetlands, natural channel design, other green infrastructure elements, and land preservation. Although nature-based solutions generally do not provide significant flood risk reduction to 1% annual chance flood hazards (100-year floods), they can improve stormwater quality, provide ecological function uplift, and reduce riverine and coastal erosion risk."

We disagree with the statement that "nature-based solutions generally do not provide significant flood risk reduction to 1% annual chance flood hazards." Nature-based solutions can provide significant benefits to communities, and can provide risk reduction to the 1% annual chance flood. Numerous reports and studies continue to show the benefits of nature-based solutions for flood mitigation – including the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer's International Guidelines on NNBF for Flood Risk Management report released earlier this year. In addition to their ability to provide significant flood mitigation benefits, nature-based solutions are also not associated with negative downstream impacts, commonly associated with traditional gray infrastructure approaches, such as channelization. The description of nature-based features should be revised to acknowledge the considerable mitigation these techniques can have.

IV. <u>Consider discretion when analyzing nature-based FMPs and provide an administrative recommendations to the TWDB on how to apply potential FMP requirements to nature-based projects</u>

Only projects with significant amounts of detail are incorporated as Flood Management Projects in the Draft Regional Flood Plans. We are concerned that since no nature-based projects were recommended by the RFPG, natural infrastructure projects may have been downgraded to FMSs due to lack of data provided to the Region. It is important to note that analyses like the BCR are not always tailored for natural infrastructure projects. For example, while preserving open space within the floodplain helps protect land from development which could negatively impact

.

¹ Region 12, Draft Regional Flood Plan at 5-10.

flooding, a traditional BCR may not adequately account for protection of development that hasn't occurred yet. Since we are unsure where to view which projects were submitted to the Region, but subsequently removed because it didn't align with a goal or other reason, or downgraded to a strategy, we recommend the RFPG to provide discretion to potential FMPs that are largely nature-based. We also encourage the Region to provide an administrative recommendation to the TWDB to provide guidance to the Regions on how to apply potential FMP requirements to nature-based projects.

V. Recommend that the Flood Planning Process be revised to remove the TWDB minimum screening requirement of "the evaluation /strategy/project addresses a flood problem with drainage area of 1 square mile or greater."

Many small, distributed projects can provide significant benefits to the floodplain. For example, multiple green stormwater infrastructure projects across a city can reduce runoff. It can also act as a demonstration so that other applicants can implement their own projects. We do not, therefore, believe that the 1 square mile requirement should be included in this criteria. We appreciate that Region 12 did not exclude good flood reduction projects that had a drainage area less than 1 mile.²

VI. <u>Include impact to natural infrastructure when analyzing "No Negative Impacts"</u>

There seemed to be considerable discretion from the Region on which projects to incorporate, using engineering judgment. Open spaces, such as parks, provide significant flood mitigation benefits to neighboring communities. The analysis of "No Negative Impacts" should therefore include impacts to natural infrastructure, which should be mitigated to the greatest extent possible.

VII. Add a Flood Protection Goal to decrease number of FMPs that have negative impacts associated with the project and add an administrative recommendation to provide best management practices to local entities on how to avoid negative impacts

In the draft Flood Plan, the majority of recommended FMPs showed "#N/A" under the negative impacts analysis. TThe region, therefore, should strive to better analyze negative impacts, and decrease the amount of projects with negative impacts over time – which could be reflected in a Flood Protection Goal. Further, Region 12 can provide an administrative recommendation to the TWDB to provide best management practices to local entities on how to reduce negative impacts associated with projects.

VIII. Add a Flood Protection Goal to have increased enforcement of floodplain ordinances

_

² Region 12, Draft Regional Flood Plan at 5-22.

Region 12 noted that approximately 10 out of 14 entities within the region have moderate, low, or no enforcement of floodplain regulations. These entities have a significant opportunity to improve the effectiveness of their ordinance or court order by increasing the enforcement of their existing floodplain ordinances. In order to address this shortfall, we recommend that Region 12 adopt a Goal to increase enforcement of floodplain ordinances.

IX. We applaud Region 12's use of local studies to determine "future conditions analysis"

For Region 12, the existing 0.2% flood risk areas were used as a proxy for the future 1% flood risk areas in areas where future 1% flood risk areas did not exist, per Method 2 in TWDB's guidance. Method 3, a San Antonio RFPG method, was used to calculate the 0.2% future storm event risk area given as a buffer value utilizing the 2018 San Antonio River Basin Future Precipitation Study, developed by SARA. This analysis showed the average increase in the 0.2% annual chance storm event peak flows throughout the basin were between 30% and 40% for the 20- and 40-year future projections, respectively. From this data, HDR estimated a 35% increase in 0.2% annual chance storm event peak flows for a 30-year future event. While we applaud Region 12 for utilizing local studies to determine future 500 year floodplain, we believe there should be some discussion of whether this methodology comports with the State Climatologist's recommendations to determine the extent of the future 500 year floodplain.³

We appreciate the work the Region is doing to help better plan for and protect our communities from flooding. Further, we appreciate the opportunity to submit these comments. In addition to the comments, above, we've attached a letter providing additional comments for consideration by the region during future planning cycles.

Sincerely,

Arsum Pathak

Adaptation and Coastal Resilience Specialist, South Central Region National Wildlife Federation PathakA@NWF.org

Danielle Goshen

Policy Specialist/Counsel, Texas Coast and Water Program National Wildlife Federation

³ John Nielsen-Gammon and Savannah Jorgensen, Climate Change Recommendations for Regional Flood Planning Group (April 16, 2021), available at: https://climatexas.tamu.edu/files/CliChFlood.pdf.

GoshenD@NWF.org

Other Public Comments

Туре	Submission Date	Comments
71		Yes, we would be interested in funding some of our problem areas that we have here at
Feedback Form	Aug 22, 2022	the city.
		I am expressing an interest in the flood prevention meeting. I don't think I will make it there but I've lived in Bexar County since 1979. I would agree that the county should do something about the bridges around here and of course it will take tax dollars. For example the bridge going over Salado which is on Fort Sam Houston was very smallish and the water went right over it! Uncle Sam must've created a really good bridge using tax dollars. And I think more of those bridges should be forthcoming because it saves lives. It's not likely that anyone died on this particular bridge but I know a family who died in Comanche Park in 98, And I'm not opposed to building new bridges and I'm not opposed to new infrastructure. Thank you for reading my message Julie M
Feedback Form	Aug 18, 2022	
		I have two homes one here in Bexar and one in NUECES county, the city of San Antonio has undoubtedly the dirtiest roads and streets I watch the main expressway's here the trash that builds up on the sides O watched this one object for 9 months!! on I-10!! Do we not have sweepers Corpus sweeps their main roads and streets weekly cause we are prone to flooding by them sweeping keeps us from flooding . I never see sweepers in San Antonio anymore and why is that if San Antonio would sweep their streets and roads just maybe there would not be so much flooding cause Texas has a lot of inconsiderate trashy people who cares less which is SAD. I would like to see San Antonio get clean. Thank you
Feedback Form	Aug 17, 2022	

Other Public Comments

Туре	Submission Date	Comments
		On page 1-54 of the Draft Flood plan here https://www.region12texas.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/RFP_Region-12_R.pdf, one of the goals of the SA River Watershed master plan is:
		"Identify needs and opportunities related to flood risk, water quality issues, low impact development, stream restoration, nature based park planning, mitigation banking, and conservation easements."
		But in the proposed projects from the 9/20 Technical Committee meeting, there are very few projects involving low impact development, stream restoration, nature based park planning, mitigation banking, and conservation easements. Most projects aim to reduce the floodplain through enhanced conveyance or channelization.
		I was surprised to see on the last page of the agenda packet from the 9/20 meeting, a project aimed at channelizing the SA River through the River Road neighborhood south of Mulberry, in an area that contains a natural section of the San Antonio River within the city itself (a rarity). Hopefully this one isn't implemented.
Feedback Form	Sep 20, 2022	
		Excellent work being done here. The work done at Padre Park in San Antonio, at the Tamöx Talöm food forest is of particular interest in relation to non-structural infrastructure.
		A food forest being introduced on the flood zone will help to sequester carbon, build healthy souls that can better fight erosion, and offer an opportunity to grow food, which brings additional opportunities for education, commerce, and culture.
		The success, and mere idea can be replicated as needed throughout the state. A set it and forget it strategy while engineers come up with additional solutions.
		Thank you for your considerations.
Feedback Form	Sep 17, 2022	
		(from in-person public meeting on 9/15/22) Concern of impact to San Antonio watershed south of projects 121000080 and 121000092 to SA watershed from E Mulbery Ave. to E Craig Ave San Antonio Tx. Flood Impact: "CLOMAR's and LOMAR's" are better than the south of proposed projects 121000080
Feedback Form	15-Sep-22	and 121000092

Other Public Comments

Туре	Submission Date	Comments
Type	Judinission Dute	
		I'm sending you a few photos of Dreamland between Lockhill-selma and Vance Jackson. It will go many feet sbive road during serious flood. I will try to send photos during next big flood. There may have been a death and at least rescue within last 35 years. Actually there was an entire VIA bus stalled duting the flood of October 25 2019—8 people had to be rescued through hatch. Check it out on internet!
Emailed	17-Sep-22	
		Nelson Wolfe stopped his Frenchcreek flood project right at the start of our property line. He directed all flood waters at our house and neighbors across the creek. We have flooded twice in our house twice last year since the finish of his project. He did not take notice the creek narrows and is blocked right below us to 1604 which make our home a lake. Our lives have been endangered. We have no way out to egress. We have called his office with no return calls.
Emailed	6-Oct-22	Can you help us, please

Organization	Great Springs Project

Туре	Comment	Response
Proposed Projects	In order to identify and quantify the possible synergies of the GSP effort combined with the	This FME will be considered in the
	individual flood mitigation projects in the regional flood plan, GSP suggests the inclusion of the	amended plan.
	attached Flood Management Evaluation (FME) in the updated regional flood plan.	
	Thank you for the opportunity to provide input to this important work.	
	Great Springs Project would recruit and manage consultants to conduct the following tasks as	
	part of the FME:	
	 Assemble relevant information about the land parcels that are, or may be, included in GSP and related trail development as well as adjacent, relevant flood planning FMEs, FMSs and FMPs, Determine the flood risks involved in the affected area, Assess and quantify the flood mitigation impacts of GSP land conservation and trail development as well as how GSP may contribute to adjacent flood mitigation efforts, Identify possible and appropriate modifications to open space and trail features that would enhance the flood mitigation of GSP and adjacent flood mitigation efforts, Quantify the added benefits of combining GSP efforts with Region 12 flood mitigation projects, In cooperation with the affected local governments, develop appropriate proposals for FMS(s) and FMP(s) for inclusion in the San Antonio Regional Flood Plan, and Submit a final report within one year of FME funding. 	

Organization Texas Parks and Wildlife Department

Туре	Comment	Response
	The goals of the Draft SARFP include education and outreach, improving flood	
	warning and readiness, increasing the number of flood studies, increasing the	
	prevention of flooding, and supporting flood infrastructure projects. TPWD	
	encourages the inclusion of the ecological and societal benefits of flooding in any	
San Antonio Regional Flood Plan	education program and appreciates the repeated mention of nature-based	Noted, will consideration in future flood plan
Comments	solutions in the education and outreach goals of the SARFP.	goals.
	The CARER idealified 20 and alichly foreible Floridation and Divisity (FAAR)	
	The SARFP identified 29 potentially feasible Flood Management Projects (FMPs),	
	165 potentially feasible Flood Management Evaluations (FMEs), and 20 potentially	
	feasible Flood Management Strategies (FMSs). It appears that most of the	
	recommended FMPs are infrastructure based with only one nature-based solution	
	being put forward. TPWD appreciates that the Draft SARFP acknowledges the gap	
	in flood risk and mitigation in relation to nature-based infrastructure in the region.	
	TPWD understands that the goal of the RFP is to mitigate floods to reduce risk to	
	life and property but would like to encourage the use of nature-based solutions	The Region 12 FPG encourages the use of
San Antonio Regional Flood Plan	where possible. The Draft SARFP states that none of the projects or strategies are	natural design features during the design
Comments	anticipated to have negative downstream effects.	phase of the project.
	TPWD would like to encourage all the FMX (an FMP, FME, or FMS) proponents to	
	consider stream crossing designs that allow for sediment transport and passage	
	of aquatic organisms and do not impound water. Basically, designs that are	
	invisible to the creek. This includes bridges that span the creek where possible or	
	culverted crossings designed with the culvert(s) in the active channel area lower	
	than those in the floodplain benches so that the flow in the channel is not overly	
	spread out. The central/low flow culvert(s) should be large enough to handle a 1.5-	
	year flow without backing up water. The bottoms of these lower culverts should be	
	set at least a foot below grade (i.e., recessed) to allow natural substrate to cover	
	the culvert bottom and to allow for aquatic organism passage. These lower,	
San Antonio Regional Flood Plan	recessed culverts should be installed in the thalweg or deepest part of the channel	
Comments	and be aligned with the low flow channel (Clark in et at., 2006).	Will encourage this during the design phase.
	The Draft SADED includes a number of channel improvement projects which	
	The Draft SARFP includes a number of channel improvement projects which may	
	include widening, deepening, and straightening streams. Channelization and over-	
	widening of streams slows flow, which increases deposition of sediment, decreases	
	fish habitat, increases water temperatures, and can result in channel erosion.	
	Streams in good condition naturally reach bank full and start spilling onto the	
	floodplain during a 1.5 to 2 year flood event. Widening and deepening a stream	
	channel to force it to contain the 100-year flow negatively impacts the adjacent	
	water table and riparian area and has geomorphic effects upstream and	
	downstream of the modification. If channelization is necessary, constructing a two-	
	stage channel with a low-flow channel and a floodplain allows for the continued	
Con Antonio Dogianal Fland Div	transport of sediment, habitat for aquatic wildlife, and can reduce maintenance	Engagerages the consideration of the control of
San Antonio Regional Flood Plan	(Rosgen 1996). TPWD encourages the RFPG to protect existing streams, riparian	Encourages the consideration of these topics
Comments	areas, and floodplains.	during the design phase.

Organization Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance

Туре	Comment	Response
	1.	
Increase fundin	g for and use of Nature Based Solutions, and reduce hurd Flood Mitigation Strategies, Evaluat	lles to their incorporation into the Regional Flood Plans as ions and Projects by:
1	a. Increasing number of trainings and workshops on accurate cost benefit analysis and use of NBS;	This is captured in the Goals of the RFPG
1	b. Improving modeling methods to provide greater sensitivity beyond traditional hydrological models to include soil porosity and moisture holding capacity, plant interception, evaporation, and transpiration; and other processes that affect flows and interactions with groundwater; as well as water quality improvements and groundwater recharge that can be realized with NBS;	Improved accepted floodplain modeling and mapping methodology by SARA/FEMA is being release next year. TWDB is also developing guidance on NBS.
1	c. Expanding the TWDB's concept of "adverse impact" to include loss of functioning floodplains and the resiliency that they provide;	Will provide this comment to the TWDB.
1	d. Incentivizing collaboration across watersheds and jurisdictions towards a regional approach to floodplain management using NBS by prioritizing	
	such projects. 2. Ensure that the TWDB's cost benefit analysis appr	Will provide this comment to the TWDB. opriately weights projects offering:
2	a. Increased social and environmental benefits,	Will provide this comment to the TWDB.
2	b. Reduced negative environmental impact,	Will provide this comment to the TWDB.
2	c. Reduced cost avoidance for infrastructure replacement (for data on gray infrastructure replacement costs: https://mediaspace.du.edu/media/David+Skuodas+- +Seeing+the+Forest+and+the+Trees/1_g90zp1xz), and	Will provide this comment to the TWDB.
2	d. Increased flood prevention for future conditions while also creating resiliency to recover after natural disasters.	·

Organization Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance

Туре	Comment	Response
	3.	
	Recognize the role that land development codes and locat	ion of infrastructure have on flood impacts:
3	a. Educate on the need for counties to use their ability provided by the State to exert authority to influence development and reduce negative impacts to natural features that mitigate flooding and enable counties to levy stormwater/drainage utility fees to retrofit and maintain natural flood infrastructure,	These topics were included in chapter 8 Legislative Recommendations
3	b. Promote and fund the use of NBS throughout watersheds with the understanding that most natural flood mitigation features, including floodplains, are in some state of degradation and can be improved with appropriate land use policies,	These topics were included in chapter 8 Legislative Recommendations
3	c. Recommend policy changes that enable Counties or Groundwater Conservation Districts to protect Natural Aquifer Storage and Recovery features (e.g., karst, fracture zones, and sinkholes) that help mitigate flood severity while transferring potential flood water into aquifers, and	These topics were included in chapter 8 Legislative Recommendations
3	d. Partner with other agencies to incorporate flood considerations into applicable agency activities (e.g., ensure TxDOT builds to 1% annual probability ("100-year") standards and uses updated flood maps defined by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (currently the Atlas 14 data) and that such infrastructure does not increase downstream flooding nor damage floodplains and riparian corridors.	These topics were included in chapter 8 Legislative Recommendations

Organization Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance

Туре	Comment	Response			
- 71	4.	·			
	Specific project recommendations:				
4	a. Fund a Texas Watershed Initiative similar to Louisiana's with a robust program on use and adoption of NBS,	Will provide this comment to the TWDB.			
4	b. Provide training and technical resources to flood districts, river authorities, municipal utility districts, water control and improvement districts, and municipal and county floodplain managers to advance understanding and adoption of NBS and best practices for maintaining floodplains and other natural flood mitigation features to fully realize potential benefits,	This is part of the Region 12 flood planning goals.			
4	c. Use all available federal and state programs to prioritize the preservation and restoration of natural flood mitigation features throughout watersheds,	Will provide this comment to the TWDB.			
4	d. Develop a compendium of Nature-Based resources for non-coastal communities, and	TWDB is also developing guidance on NBS.			
4	e. Review submitted FMPs, FMEs and FMSs submitted for this first 5-year cycle to determine the feasibility to augment with NBS aspects.	The Region 12 FPG encourages the use of natural design features during the design phase of the project.			

Organization Camp Bullis Sentinel Landscape Partnership

Туре	Comment	Response
	(i) to consider use of nature-based solutions as a primary	The Plan does consider Nature-Based solutions when
General	tool for mitigating flooding and extreme weather events	searching for eligible FMXs.
	(ii) to engage the Camp Bullis Sentinel Landscape	
	Partnership as we implement and learn from nature-based	
	solutions in a multi-county focal area around Joint Base	We will continue to engage CBSL as the flood planning
	San Antonio's Camp Bullis, in the Upper San Antonio River	process continues and thereon future flood plans by
General	Basin	including them on in the stakeholders.

Organization National Wildlife Federation

Туре	Comment	Response		
I. Adopt NFIP participation as a minimum floodplain management standard	Region 12 did not adopt any minimum floodplain management standards into its draft plan. Minimum floodplain management standards can be adopted by the region, which local entities must adopt before a FME, FMS, or FMP is included under the Regional Flood Plan, and therefore eligible for funding under FIF. We encourage Region 12 to consider NFIP participation as a minimum floodplain management standard. Participation in the NFIP requires participants to adopt a floodplain management ordinance and to designate a floodplain administrator who is responsible for understanding and interpreting local floodplain management regulations and reviewing them for compliance with NFIP standards. Since floodplain management ordinances and designation of a floodplain administrator are essential to proper flood planning at the local level, requiring the remaining communities to participate in the NFIP seems like an appropriate baseline, before entities can potentially receive funding for flood mitigation projects. We recommend that the Region uses its power to adopt minimum floodplain standards, by requiring NFIP participation as a minimum standard.	We do; "The San Antonio RFPG recommends that entities that are not currently NFIP participants should adopt at least the minimum standards and take the necessary steps in order to become active NFIP participants."		
II. Refine Assessment and Identification of Flood Mitigation Needs	Critical facilities in particular need additional attention when assessing and identifying flood mitigation needs. Certain critical facilities pose higher risk to surrounding communities during flooding, such as superfund sites and refineries. We recommend that the Region include in its weighted approach risks based on the number of industrial facilities that pose environmental justice risks to neighboring and fence line communities. If facilities are identified that are within floodplains and are not adequately protected, the region should propose legislative, administrative, and regulatory recommendations to better ensure facilities do not pose a risk to neighboring communities during flooding.	TWDB sets the criteria		
III. Revise description of Nature-Based Features under section 5.1	Section 5.1 defines multiple structural and nonstructural strategies to mitigate flooding. Nature-based features is defined in the structural section as the following: "FMPs can include nature-based features as part of flood mitigation solutions where applicable including, but not limited to, stream and coastal restorations, wetlands, natural channel design, other green infrastructure elements, and land preservation. Although nature-based solutions generally do not provide significant flood risk reduction to 1% annual chance flood hazards (100-year floods), they can improve stormwater quality, provide ecological function uplift, and reduce riverine and coastal erosion risk." We disagree with the statement that "nature-based solutions generally do not provide significant flood risk reduction to 1% annual chance flood hazards." Nature-based solutions can provide significant benefits to communities, and can provide risk reduction to the 1% annual chance flood. Numerous reports and studies continue to show the benefits of nature-based solutions for flood mitigation – including the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer's International Guidelines on NNBF for Flood Risk Management report released earlier this year. In addition to their ability to provide significant flood mitigation benefits, nature-based solutions are also not associated with negative downstream impacts, commonly associated with traditional gray infrastructure approaches, such as channelization. The description of nature-based features should be revised to acknowledge the considerable mitigation these techniques can have.	We will update the wording in this chapter.		

Organization National Wildlife Federation

Туре	Comment	Response
IV. Consider discretion when analyzing	Only projects with significant amounts of detail are incorporated as Flood	The Region 12 Flood Plan has several
nature-based FMPs and provide an	Management Projects in the Draft Regional Flood Plans. We are concerned that since	goals that encourage the use of
administrative	no nature-based projects were recommended by the RFPG, natural infrastructure	Nature Based Solutions. In addition,
recommendations to the TWDB on	projects may have been downgraded to FMSs due to lack of data provided to the	we have included an FME that will
how to apply potential FMP	Region. It is important to note that analyses like the BCR are not always tailored for	develop the metrics to evaluate
requirements to	natural infrastructure projects. For example, while preserving open space within the	existing NBS and provide a flood
nature-based projects	floodplain helps protect land from development which could negatively impact	prevention value and economic
	flooding, a traditional BCR may not adequately account for protection of	value.
	development that hasn't occurred yet. Since we are unsure where to view which	
	projects were submitted to the Region, but subsequently removed because it didn't	
	align with a goal or other reason, or downgraded to a strategy, we recommend the	
	RFPG to provide discretion to potential FMPs that are largely nature-based. We also	
	encourage the Region to provide an administrative recommendation to the TWDB	
	to provide guidance to the Regions on how to apply potential FMP requirements to	
	nature-based projects.	
V. Recommend that the Flood Planning	Many small, distributed projects can provide significant benefits to the floodplain.	Will provide this comment to the
Process be revised to remove the	For example, multiple green stormwater infrastructure projects across a city can	TWDB.
TWDB minimum	reduce runoff. It can also act as a demonstration so that other applicants can	
screening requirement of "the	implement their own projects. We do not, therefore, believe that the 1 square mile	
evaluation /strategy/project addresses	requirement should be included in this criteria. We appreciate that Region 12 did	
a flood problem	not exclude good flood reduction projects that had a drainage area less than 1 mile.	
with drainage area of 1 square mile or		
greater. "		

Organization National Wildlife Federation

Туре	Comment	Response
VI. Include impact to natural infrastructure when analyzing "No Negative Impacts"	There seemed to be considerable discretion from the Region on which projects to incorporate, using engineering judgment. Open spaces, such as parks, provide significant flood mitigation benefits to neighboring communities. The analysis of "No Negative Impacts "should therefore include impacts to natural infrastructure, which should be mitigated to the greatest extent possible.	Will provide this comment to the TWDB.
VII. Add a Flood Protection Goal to decrease number of FMPs that have negative impacts associated with the project and add an administrative recommendation to provide best management practices to local entities on how to avoid negative impacts	In the draft Flood Plan, the majority of recommended FMPs showed "#N/A" under the negative impacts analysis. The region, therefore, should strive to better analyze negative impacts , and decrease the amount of projects with negative impacts over time – which could be reflected in a Flood Protection Goal. Further, Region 12 can provide an administrative recommendation to the TWDB to provide best management practices to local entities on how to reduce negative impacts associated with projects.	No negative impact was evaluated for all projects as part of the TWDB required criteria. This field was inadvertently entered as #N/A in the draft plan but has been corrected.
VIII. Add a Flood Protection Goal to have increased enforcement of floodplain ordinances	Region 12 noted that approximately 10 out of 14 entities within the region have moderate, low, or no enforcement of floodplain regulations. These entities have a significant opportunity to improve the effectiveness of their ordinance or court order by increasing the enforcement of their existing floodplain ordinances. In order to address this shortfall, we recommend that Region 12 adopt a Goal to increase enforcement of floodplain ordinances.	Several of the Region 12 goals promote increased floodplain regulations and ordinances, see section 3 of the Plan.
IX. We applaud Region 12's use of local studies to determine "future conditions analysis"	For Region 12, the existing 0.2% flood risk areas were used as a proxy for the future 1% flood risk areas in areas where future 1% flood risk areas did not exist, per Method 2 in TWDB's guidance. Method 3, a San Antonio RFPG method, was used to calculate the 0.2% future storm event risk area given as a buffer value utilizing the 2018 San Antonio River Basin Future Precipitation Study, developed by SARA. This analysis showed the average increase in the 0.2% annual chance storm event peak flows throughout the basin were between 30% and 40% for the 20- and 40-year future projections, respectively. From this data, HDR estimated a 35% increase in 0.2% annual chance storm event peak flows for a 30-year future event. While we applaud Region 12 for utilizing local studies to determine future 500 year floodplain, we believe there should be some discussion of whether this methodology comports with the State Climatologist's recommendations to determine the extent of the future 500 year floodplain.	This methodology was identified by the TWDB guidelines and is believed to be the best available data for the region at the time. Future floodplain analysis will be updated in each of the planning cycles as more data becomes available.

Other Public Comment Responses

Other Public Commi	ent Responses		
Туре	Submission Date	Comments	Response
		Yes, we would be interested in funding some of our problem areas that we have here at the city.	From City of Schertz.
Feedback Form	Aug 22, 2022		Follow up with the city with no response.
		I am expressing an interest in the flood prevention meeting. I don't think I will make it there but I've lived in Bexar County since 1979. I would agree that the county should do something about the bridges around here and of course it will take tax dollars. For example the bridge going over Salado which is on Fort Sam Houston was very smallish and the water went right over it! Uncle Sam must've created a really good bridge using tax dollars. And I think more of those bridges should be forthcoming because it saves lives. It's not likely that anyone died on this particular bridge but I know a family who died in Comanche Park in 98, And I'm not opposed to building new bridges and I'm not opposed to new infrastructure. Thank you for reading my message Julie M	
Feedback Form	Aug 18, 2022		Bexar County is proposing various FMXs to upgrade structures. Area has been studied.
reedback Form	Aug 18, 2022	I have two homes one here in Bexar and one in NUECES county, the city of San Antonio has undoubtedly the dirtiest roads and streets I watch the main expressway's here the trash that builds up on the sides O watched this one object for 9 months!! on I-10!! Do we not have sweepers Corpus sweeps their main roads and streets weekly cause we are prone to flooding by them sweeping keeps us from flooding. I never see sweepers in San Antonio anymore and why is that if San Antonio would sweep their streets and roads just maybe there would not be so much flooding cause Texas has a lot of inconsiderate trashy people who cares less which is SAD. I would like to see San Antonio get clean. Thank you	upgrade structures. Area has been studied.
Feedback Form	Aug 17, 2022		Equipment not flood control related.
	7105 27, 2022	On page 1-54 of the Draft Flood plan here https://www.region12texas.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/RFP_Region-12_R.pdf, one of the goals of the SA River Watershed master plan is: "Identify needs and opportunities related to flood risk, water quality issues, low impact development, stream restoration, nature based park planning, mitigation banking, and conservation easements." But in the proposed projects from the 9/20 Technical Committee meeting, there are very few projects involving low impact development, stream restoration, nature based park planning, mitigation banking, and conservation easements. Most projects aim to reduce the floodplain through enhanced conveyance or channelization. I was surprised to see on the last page of the agenda packet from the 9/20 meeting, a project aimed at channelizing the SA River through the River Road neighborhood south of Mulberry, in an area that contains a natural section of the San Antonio River within the city itself (a rarity). Hopefully this one isn't implemented.	Equipment not nood control teated.
Feedback Form	Sep 20, 2022		An FME is proposed to determine feasibility.

Other Public Comment Responses

Other rubile comme	ne neoponises		
Туре	Submission Date	Comments	Response
71-		Excellent work being done here. The work done at Padre Park in San Antonio, at the Tamöx	T-F-
		·	
		Talöm food forest is of particular interest in relation to non-structural infrastructure.	
		A food forest being introduced on the flood zone will help to sequester carbon, build	
		healthy souls that can better fight erosion, and offer an opportunity to grow food, which	
		brings additional opportunities for education, commerce, and culture.	
		The success, and mere idea can be replicated as needed throughout the state. A set it and	
		forget it strategy while engineers come up with additional solutions.	
		lorger it strategy write engineers come up with additional solutions.	
		Thank you for your considerations.	
Feedback Form	Sep 17, 2022		NBS are encouraged on the Plan.
		(from in-person public meeting on 9/15/22)	
		Concern of impact to San Antonio watershed south of projects 121000080 and 121000092	
		to SA watershed from E Mulbery Ave. to E Craig Ave San Antonio Tx.	
		Flood Impact:	
		"CLOMAR's and LOMAR's" are better than the south of proposed projects 121000080 and	
		121000092	
Feedback Form	15-Sep-22		An FME is proposed to determine feasibility.

Other Public Comment Responses

Other Fubilit Confinient Responses				
Туре	Submission Date	Comments	Response	
		I'm sending you a few photos of Dreamland between Lockhill-selma and Vance Jackson. It will go many feet sbive road during serious flood. I will try to send photos during next big flood. There may have been a death and at least rescue within last 35 years. Actually there was an entire VIA bus stalled duting the flood of October 25 2019—8 people had to be rescued through hatch. Check it out on internet!		
Emailed	17-Sep-22		FME 121000072 is being proposed for this site.	
	·	Nelson Wolfe stopped his Frenchcreek flood project right at the start of our property line.		
		He directed all flood waters at our house and neighbors across the creek. We have flooded		
		twice in our house twice last year since the finish of his project. He did not take notice the		
		creek narrows and is blocked right below us to 1604 which make our home a lake. Our lives		
		have been endangered. We have no way out to egress. We have called his office with no		
		return calls.	Coordinated with City. Flood Prone Area	
Emailed	6-Oct-22	Can you help us, please	Added, detailed modeling present.	